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PREFACE

In the course of the last ten years there have been conferred upon me two public honours which have given me the greatest pleasure and satisfaction, my election in 1911 as a Fellow of the Royal College of Physicians, and the presentation, on the occasion of my fifty-ninth birthday in February, 1921, of a complimentary address (accompanied by very beautiful presents) signed by a number of representative Persians, expressing their appreciation of the services which, they were kind enough to say, I had rendered to their language and literature.

I hope that this little book may be regarded, not as a discharge, but as an acknowledgment, of this double debt. In it I have sought on the one hand to indicate the part played by the scholars and physicians of Islám, and especially of Persia, in the transmission of medical science through the dark ages from the decline of the ancient to the rise of the modern learning; and on the other to suggest to lovers of Arabic and Persian literature in the wider sense that hitherto they have perhaps allowed the poets and euphuists to occupy a disproportionate amount of their attention, to the exclusion of the scientific Weltanschauung which, to a greater degree in the medieval East than in the modern West, forms the background of these lighter, though more artistic, efforts. Indeed, as I have attempted to show in these
Preface

pages¹, that great Persian poem the Mathnawi of Jalālū’d-Dīn Rūmī will be better appreciated by one who is conversant with the medical literature of the period.

Before I began to prepare the FitzPatrick lectures now offered to the public I consulted Sir Clifford Allbutt, the Regius Professor of Medicine in the University of Cambridge, as to the best books on the history of that science which the Prophet Muḥammad, in a tradition familiar to all Muslims, is said to have linked in importance with Theology². Of the numerous works which Sir Clifford Allbutt indicated, and, in many cases, lent to me for preliminary study, I have derived more profit from none than from Professor Max Neuburger’s excellent Geschichte der Medizin (Stuttgart, 1908). Although the section of this work dealing with Arabian Medicine comprises only 86 pages³, it is extraordinarily rich in facts and accurate in details, and supplies an outline of the subject which is susceptible of amplification but not of correction.

I have thought it better to publish these four lectures in the form in which they were originally delivered than to recast them in a fresh mould, but the proofs have been read by several of my friends and colleagues, namely Dr F. H. H. Guilmard, M.D., Dr E. H. Minns, Litt.D.,

¹ See pp. 87–88 infra.
² “Science is twofold: Theology and Medicine.”
Mírzá Muḥammad Kháń of Qazwín, and Muḥammad Iqbal, to all of whom I am indebted for many valuable corrections and suggestions. I am also deeply indebted to Professor A. A. Bevan and the Rev. Professor D. S. Margoliouth for their help in establishing the text and emending the translation of the clinical case recorded by ar-Rází which will be found on pp. 51–3 infra.

It has afforded me particular pleasure to be allowed to dedicate this little volume explicitly to Sir Norman Moore, as representing that fine tradition of learning, acumen and humanity proper in all countries and ages to the great and noble profession of Medicine, with which living tradition, to my infinite advantage, I was brought in contact in my student days both here at Cambridge and in St Bartholomew’s Hospital; and implicitly to those other great teachers in these two famous schools of medical learning whose methods of investigation and exposition I have endeavoured to apply in other fields of knowledge.

EDWARD G. BROWNE.

April 16, 1921.
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LECTURE I

The extent of my subject and the limitations of the time at my disposal forbid me, even were it otherwise desirable, to introduce into these lectures any unessential or irrelevant matter. Yet I cannot lose this, the first opportunity accorded to me since my election as a Fellow of this College, of expressing publicly my deep sense of gratitude for an honour as highly appreciated as unexpected. I am well aware that this honour was conferred on me on the ground (the only ground on which it could have been conferred in my case) that, having regard to the position occupied by Arabian Medicine in the history of our profession, it was desirable that there should be amongst the Fellows of the College one who could study that system at first hand. There is a proverbial saying amongst the Arabs when the time comes when the services of a person or thing provided for a particular contingency are at last actually required—ما أدّه دّرك يا دّمعي إلا ما بعدتي "I have not stored thee up, O my tear, save for my time of distress"; and when I was invited to deliver the FitzPatrick lectures this year, I felt that this proverb was applicable, and that, even though I felt myself unworthy of this fresh honour on the part of the College, it was impossible to decline, especially in view of the expressed wish of the President of the College, Sir Norman Moore, to whose inspiring teaching in my far-off student days I owe a greater debt of gratitude than I can adequately express. I can only hope that at the conclusion of my lectures you may not apply to me another proverbial saying of the Arabs:

B. A. M.
At the first bout his quarter-staff was broken.

When we speak of “Arabian Science” or “Arabian Medicine” we mean that body of scientific or medical doctrine which is enshrined in books written in the Arabic language, but which is for the most part Greek in its origin, though with Indian, Persian and Syrian accretions, and only in a very small degree the product of the Arabian mind. Its importance, as has long been recognized, lies not in its originality, but in the fact that in the long interval which separated the decay of Greek learning from the Renascence it represented the most faithful tradition of ancient Wisdom, and was during the Dark Ages the principal source from which Europe derived such philosophical and scientific ideas as she possessed. The translation of the Greek books into Arabic, either directly or through intermediate Syriac versions, was effected for the most part under the enlightened patronage of the early ‘Abbásid Caliphs at Baghḑád between the middle of the eighth and ninth centuries of our era by skilful and painstaking scholars who were for the most part neither Arabs nor even Muhammadans, but Syrians, Hebrews or Persians of the Christian, Jewish or Magian faith. Some four or five centuries later European seekers after knowledge, cut off from the original Greek sources, betook themselves with ever increasing enthusiasm to this Arabian presentation of the ancient learning, and rehabilitated it in a Latin dress; and for the first century after the discovery of the art of printing the Latin renderings of Arabic philosophical, scientific and medical works constituted a considerable proportion of the output of the European Press; until the revival of a direct knowledge of the
Recent Revival of Interest

Greek originals in the first place, and the inauguration of a fresh, fruitful and first-hand investigation of natural phenomena in the second, robbed them to a great extent of their prestige and their utility, and changed the excessive veneration in which they had hitherto been held into an equally exaggerated contempt.

In recent years, however, when the interest and importance of what may be called the Embryology of Science has obtained recognition, the Arabian, together with other ancient and obsolete systems of Medicine, has attracted increasing attention, has formed the subject of much admirable and ingenious research, and has already produced a fairly copious literature. The chief Arabic biographical and bibliographical sources, such as the Fihrist or "Index" (377/987), al-Qifti's History of the Philosophers (c. 624/1227), Ibn Abi Uṣaybi'ā's Classes of Physicians (640/1242), the great bibliography of Ḥājji Khalifa (+ 1068/1658) and the like, have been made available in excellent editions, while their most essential contents have been summarized by Wenrich, Wüstefeld, Leclerc, Brockelmann and others; the general character and relations of Arabian Medicine have been concisely yet adequately described by Neuburger, Pagel, Withington and Garrison, to name only a few of the more recent writers on the history of Medicine; while amongst more specialized investigations, to mention one branch only of the subject, the admirable works of Dr P. de Koning and Dr Max Simon have accurately determined the anatomical terminology of the Arabs and its equivalence with that of the Greek anatomists. For the pathological terminology much more remains to be done, and I have been greatly hampered in my reading of Arabic medical books by the difficulty of determining the exact scientific signification of many words used in
the ordinary literary language in a looser and less precise sense than that which they evidently bear in the technical works in question. Nor is much help to be derived from the medieval translations of the “Latino-Barbari,” who too often simply preserve in a distorted form the Arabic term which they pretend to translate. Thus the first section of the first discourse of the first part of the third book of Avicenna’s great Qanûn is entitled in the Latin Version Sermo universalis de Sodâ, but who, not having the original before him, could divine that soda stands for the Arabic صدع, the ordinary Arabic word for a headache, being the regularly formed “noun of pain” from the verb صدع “to split”?

Now the history of Arabian Medicine can only be studied in connection with the general history of Islâm, which, as you all know, first began to assume political significance in A.D. 622. In that year Muḥammad, whose real miracle was that he inspired the warring tribes of Arabia with a common religious and social ideal, welded them into one people, sent them forth to conquer half the then known world, and founded an Empire destined to rival and replace those of Caesar and Chosroes, transferred the scene of his activities from Mecca to al-Madîna. This event marks the beginning of the Muḥammadan era known as the hijra or “Flight,” from which 1338 lunar years have now elapsed. About the middle of this period, viz. in the seventh century of the Flight and the thirteenth of our era, Arabian or, more correctly speaking, Muḥammadan Civilization suffered through the Mongol or Tartar invasion an injury from which it never recovered, and which destroyed for ever the Caliphate, the nominal unity of the Arabian Empire, and the pre-eminence of
Baghdád as a centre of learning. Even before this, however, partly in consequence of the triumph of the narrower and more orthodox doctrines of the Ash‘ari over the more liberal Mu‘tazila school of theology, partly in consequence of the gradual displacement of Arabian and Persian by Turkish influences in the political world, science, and particularly philosophy (which was so closely connected with medicine that the title Hakīm was, and still is, indifferently applied alike to the metaphysician and the physician), had ceased to be cultivated with the same enthusiasm and assiduity which had prevailed in “the Golden Prime of good Hárúnu’r-Rashíd” and his immediate predecessors and successors. This Golden Age of Arabian learning culminated in the century between A.D. 750 and 850, the century succeeding the establishment of the ‘Abbásid Caliphate with its metropolis at Baghdád. Of the ten Caliphs who reigned during this period the second, al-Manṣúr, and the seventh, al-Ma‘mún (whose mother and wife were both Persians, and in whose reign Persian influences, already powerful, reached their culminating point), were conspicuous for their intellectual curiosity and for their love and generous patronage of learning, and for a broad tolerance which scandalized the orthodox and led one of them to change the Caliph’s title of “Commander of the Faithful” (Amīru‘l-Mu‘minūn) into that of “Commander of the Unbelievers” (Amīru‘l-Kāfirīn)¹. To the ancient learning, especially that of the ancient Greeks, they were enthusiastically attached; by purchase, conquest or exchange they possessed themselves of countless precious manuscripts, Greek and other, which they stored in the Royal Library or Baytul-Ḥikmat (“House of Wisdom”) and caused to be

¹ Al-Ya‘qūbī, ed. Houtsma, p. 546.
translated, by the most competent scholars they could attract to their court, into Arabic, either directly from the Greek, or through the intermediary of the Syriac language. In the *Fihrist* or Index (i.e. of Sciences), an Arabic work composed in A.D. 987, more than a century after what I have spoken of as the “Golden Age,” we have at once a mirror of the learning of that time, and an indicator of the appalling losses which it afterwards sustained, for of the books there enumerated it would hardly be an exaggeration to say that not one in a thousand now exists even in the most fragmentary form. The hateful Mongols—“that detestable nation of Satan,” as old Matthew Paris (writing in A.D. 1240) calls them, “who poured forth like devils from Tartarus so that they are rightly called ‘Tartars’”—did their work of devastation only too thoroughly, and the Muhammadan culture which survived the sack of Baghdad and the extinction of the Caliphate in A.D. 1258 was but a shadow of that which preceded it.

I have used the term “Muhammadan Civilization,” which, for reasons to be given shortly, I prefer to “Arabian.” As Latin was the learned language of medieval Europe, so was (and to some extent is) Arabic the learned language of the whole Muhammadan world. There is no objection to our talking of “Arabian Science” or “Arabian Medicine” so long as we never lose sight of the fact that this simply means the body of scientific or medical doctrine set forth in the Arabic language, for it is not until the eleventh century of our era that we begin to meet with what may be called a vernacular scientific literature in Muhammadan lands, a literature typified by such works as al-Biruni’s *Tafhim* on astronomy (eleventh century) and the *Dhakhtira* or
"Thesaurus" of Medicine composed for the King of Khwárazm or Khiva in the twelfth century.

Now this scientific literature in the Arabic language was for the most part produced by Persians, Syrians, Jews, and in a lesser degree by Greeks, but only to a very small extent by genuine Arabs. Ibn Khaldún, who composed his celebrated Prolegomena to the Study of History—one of the most remarkable books in Arabic—about A.D. 1400, judges his countrymen very harshly. He declares that every country conquered by them is soon ruined\(^1\), that they are incapable of evolving a stable and orderly system of government\(^2\), that of all people in the world they are the least capable of ruling a kingdom\(^3\), and that of all people in the world they have the least aptitude for the arts\(^4\). Goldziher, one of the profoundest Arabic scholars of our time and himself a Jew, rightly says that Lagarde goes too far when he asserts that "of the Muhammadans who have achieved anything in science not one was a Semite"; yet he himself is constrained to admit that even in the religious sciences (exegesis of the Qur'án, tradition, jurisprudence, and the like) "the Arabian element lagged far behind the non-Arabian\(^5\)." Much more evidence of this might be adduced, but I will content myself with one instance (hitherto, I believe, unnoticed in Europe) of the mistrust with which Arab practitioners of medicine were regarded even by their own people. The anecdote in question is related by that most learned but discursive writer al-Jáhiç (so called on account of his prominent eyes) in his "Book of Miserers" (Kitábu'l-Bukhálá\(^6\)) and concerns an Arabian physician named

\(^1\) De Slane's transl., i, p. 310. \(^2\) Ibid., i, p. 311.
\(^3\) Ibid., ii, p. 314. \(^4\) Ibid., ii, p. 365.
\(^5\) See my Lit. Hist. of Persia, i, p. 260.
\(^6\) Ed. Van Vloten, pp. 109–110.
Arabian Medicine. I

Asad ibn Jání, who, even in a year of pestilence, and in spite of his recognized learning, skill and diligence, had but few patients. Being asked the reason of this by one of his acquaintances he replied: “In the first place I am a Muslim, and before I studied medicine, nay, before ever I was created, the people held the view that Muslims are not successful physicians. Further my name is Asad, and it should have been Salībā, Marā’il, Yuhannā or Birá [i.e. a Syriac or Aramaic name]; and my kunya is Abū-Ḥārith, and it should have been Abū ‘Īsá, Abū Zakariyyá or Abū Ibráhím [i.e. Christian or Jewish instead of Muhammadan]; and I wear a cloak of white cotton, and it should have been of black silk; and my speech is Arabic, and it should have been the speech of the people of Jundi-Shápúr” [in S.W. Persia].

The Arabs, whose scepticism was not confined to matters of religion, avenged themselves to some extent by disparaging verses about doctors, such as the following on the death of Yuhanná ibn Másawayhi (the Mesues of the medieval writers) in A.D. 857:

إِنَّ الطَّبيِّبَ بِطَنَّهَ وَدَواَهُ،َ لا يَسْتَطِيعُ دِفَاعُ أَمَّرْ قَدَ أَتَىُ
ما للطَّبيِّب يَبْعَتِ بَالْدَا،َ الْذِّيَ،َ قَدْ كَانَ بَرْنَيْتُ مِنْهَا قَدْ مَضَىُ
مَا الْبِداَوَايَ وَالْبِداَوَايَ الْذِّيَ،َ جَلَبَ الدِّيَوَاَةَ وَبَأَعَهَ وَمَنْ أَشَرَّىُ

“Verily the physician, with his physic and his drugs,
Cannot avert a summons that hath come.
What ails the physician that he dies of the disease
Which he used to cure in time gone by?
There died alike he who administered the drug, and he who took the drug,
And he who imported and sold the drug, and he who bought it.”

Similar in purport are the following verses from the popular romance of ‘Antara, the old Bedouin hero:

"Muslim physicians. In the beginning of their school,"

as illustrated by Wallis, “The Alexander and Bedouin,” p. 156 [1902].
Earlier Periods of Arabian History

"The physician says to thee, 'I can cure thee,'
When he feels thy wrist and thy arm;
But did the physician know a cure for disease
Which would ward off death, he would not himself suffer the death agony."

Now in considering the genesis and development of the so-called Arabian Medicine, of which, though the main outlines are clearly determined, many details remain to be filled in, we may most conveniently begin by enquiring what was the state of medical knowledge, or ignorance, amongst the ancient Arabs before the driving force of Islâm destroyed their secular isolation, sent them out to conquer half the then known world, and brought this primitive but quick-witted people into close contact with the ancient civilization of the Greeks, Persians, Egyptians, Indians and others. We have to distinguish three periods antecedent to what I have called the Golden Age, viz.:

(1) The Ḥāhiliyyat, or Pagan Period, preceding the rise and speedy triumph of Islâm, which was fully accomplished by the middle of the seventh century of our era.

(2) The theocratic period of the Prophet and his immediate successors, the Four Orthodox Caliphs, which endured in all, from the hijra or "Flight" to the assassination of 'Ali, less than forty years (A.D. 622–661) and which had its centre at al-Madīna, the ancient Yathrib (ʿIṣlāḥrī ṣuūṭ).

(3) The period of the Umayyad Caliphs, whose immense Empire stretched from Spain to Samarqand, and whose court at Damascus speedily began to show
a luxury and wealth hitherto utterly undreamed of by the Arabs.

For our present purpose it is hardly necessary to consider separately the first and second of these three periods, those namely which preceded and immediately followed the rise of Islám, and which, however widely they differed in their theological, ethical and political aspects, were, as regards scientific knowledge, almost on the same level. The life of the old pagan Arabs was rough and primitive in the highest degree—very much what the life of the Bedouin of Inner Arabia remains to this day;—the different tribes were constantly engaged in savage wars fomented by interminable vendettas; only the strong and resourceful could hold their own, and for the weak and sick there was little chance of survival. On the other hand they were intelligent, resourceful, courageous, hardy, chivalrous in many respects, very observant of all natural phenomena which came within the range of their observation, and possessed of a language of great wealth and virility of which they were inordinately proud, so that to this day, when they still praise God "who created the Arabic language the best of all languages," the poems of that far-off time, describing their raids, their battles, their venturous journeys and their love affairs, remain the standard and model of the chastest and most classical Arabic. Most of these warring tribes acknowledged no authority save that of their own chiefs and princes; only on the borders of the Persian and Roman Empires respectively, in the little kingdoms of Ḥira and Ghassán, did the elements of civilization and science exist.

The first Arab doctor mentioned by those careful biographers of philosophers and physicians, al-Qīḍī and Ibn Abī Uṣaybi‘a, is al-Ḥārith ibn Kalada, an elder
contemporary of the Prophet Muḥammad, who had completed his studies at the great Persian medical school of Jundī-Shápūr, and who had the honour of being consulted on at least one occasion by the great Persian King Khusraw Anūsharwán (the Kisrā of the Arabs and Chosroes of the Greeks) who harboured and protected the Neo-Platonist philosophers driven into exile by the intolerance of the Emperor Justinian. An account of this interview, authentic or otherwise, fills a couple of closely-printed pages of Arabic in Ibn Abī Uṣaybi‘a’s *Classes of Physicians*, and the substance of it is given by Dr Lucien Leclerc in his *Histoire de la Médecine Arabe*. It consists almost entirely of general hygienic principles, sound enough as far as they go, but of little technical interest. A certain tragic interest attaches to Nādr, the son of this al-Ḥārith¹, who like his father seems to have had some skill in medicine and a Persian education. This led him to mock at the biblical anecdotes contained in the Qur’ān, these being, he did not hesitate to say, much less entertaining and instructive than the old Persian legends about Rustam and Ḩafṣ, with which he would distract the attention and divert the interest of the Prophet’s audience. Muḥammad never forgave him for this, and when he was taken prisoner at the Battle of Badr—the first notable victory of the Muslims over the unbelievers—he caused him to be put to death.

Of the Prophet’s own ideas about medicine and

¹ My learned friend Mīrzā Muḥammad of Qazwín, after reading these pages, has proved to me by many arguments and citations that Nādr was not, as Ibn Abī Uṣaybi‘a asserts, a son of al-Ḥārith ibn Kalada, the physician, of the tribe of Thaqif, but of al-Ḥārith ibn ‘Alqama ibn Kalada, a totally different person, though contemporary.
hygiene (partly derived, very likely, from the above-
mentioned al-Hárith) we can form a fairly accurate idea
from the very full and carefully authenticated body of
traditions of his sayings and doings which, after the
Qur’án, forms the most authoritative basis of Muham-
madan doctrine. These traditions, finally collected and
arranged during the ninth and tenth centuries of our
era, are grouped according to subjects, each subject
constituting a “book” (kitâb) and each tradition a
“chapter” (bâb). If we take the Šâhîh of al-Bukhârî,
the most celebrated of these collections, we find at the
beginning of the fourth volume two books dealing with
medicine and the sick, containing in all 80 chapters.
This looks promising; but when we come to examine
them more closely we find that only a small proportion
deal with medicine, surgery or therapeutics as we
understand them, and that the majority are concerned
with such matters as the visitation, encouragement and
spiritual consolation of the sick, the evil eye, magic,
talismans, amulets and protective prayers and formulae.
Although the Prophet declares that for every malady
wherewith God afflicts mankind He has appointed a
suitable remedy, he subsequently limits the principal
methods of treatment to three, the administration of
honey, cupping, and the actual cautery, and he re-
commends his followers to avoid or make sparing use of
the latter. Camel’s milk, fennel-flower (Nigella sativa),
afoes, antimony (for ophthalmia), manna, and, as a
stygic, the ashes of burnt matting, are amongst the
other therapeutical agents mentioned. The diseases
referred to include headache and migraine, ophthalmia,
leprosy, pleurisy, pestilence and fever, which is charac-
terized as “an exhalation of Hell.” The Prophet advises
his followers not to visit a country where pestilence
is raging, but not to flee from it if they find themselves there. The scanty material furnished by these and other traditions (for the Qur'ān, apart from some mention of wounds and a vague popular Embryology, contains hardly any medical matter) has been more or less systematized by later writers as what is termed Tibbu'n-Nabi, or the “Prophet's Medicine,” and I am informed that a manual so entitled is still one of the first books read by the student of the Old Medicine in India, along with the abridgment of Avicenna's Qānūn known as the Qānūn cha.

The ingenious Ibn Khaldūn, whom we have already had occasion to mention, speaks slightly¹ of this “Prophetic Medicine” and of the indigenous Arab Medicine which it summarized and of which it formed part, but judiciously adds that we are not called upon to conform to its rules, since “the Prophet's mission was to make known to us the prescriptions of the Divine Law, and not to instruct us in Medicine and the common practices of ordinary life.” À propos of this he reminds us that on one occasion the Prophet endeavoured to forbid the artificial fecundation of the date-palm, with such disastrous results to the fruit-crop that he withdrew his prohibition with the remark, “You know better than I do what concerns your worldly interests.” “One is then under no obligation,” continues our author, “to believe that the medical prescriptions handed down even in authentic traditions have been transmitted to us as rules which we are bound to observe; nothing in these traditions indicates that this is the case. It is however true that if one likes to employ these remedies with the object of earning the Divine Blessing, and if one takes them with sincere faith, one may derive from

¹ De Slane's transl., iii, pp. 163-4.
them great advantage, though they form no part of Medicine properly so-called."

I hope I have now said enough to show how wide was the difference between what passed for medical knowledge amongst the early Arabs of the pagan, prophetic and patriarchal periods, and the elaborate system built up on a Hippocratic and Galenic basis at Baghdád under the early ‘Abbásid Caliphs. The facts here are certain and the data ample. More difficult is the question how far this system of Medicine was evolved under the Umayyad Caliphs in the intermediate period which lay between the middle of the seventh and the middle of the eighth centuries of the Christian era. These Umayyads, though, indeed, purely Arab, were by this time accustomed to the settled life and the amenities of civilization, and already far removed from the conquerors of Ctesiphon, the Sásánian capital, who mistook camphor for salt and found it insipid in their food; exchanged gold for an equal amount of silver—"the yellow for the white," as they expressed it;—and sold an incomparable royal jewel for a thousand pieces of money, because, as the vendor said when reproached for selling it so cheap, he knew no number beyond a thousand to ask for. Under these Umayyads the Arabian or Islamic Empire attained its maximum extent, for Spain, one of their chief glories, never acknowledged the ‘Abbásid rule. In Egypt and Persia, as well as in Syria and its capital Damascus, where they held their court, they were in immediate contact with the chief centres of ancient learning. How far, we must enquire, did they profit by the opportunities thus afforded them?

In the development of their theology, as von Kremer has shown1, they were almost certainly influenced by

John of Damascus, entitled Chrysorrhoas, and named in Arabic Mansúr, who enjoyed the favour of the first Umayyad Caliph Mu‘áwiya. The first impulse given to the desire of the Arabs for knowledge of the wisdom of the Greeks came from the Umayyad prince Khálid the son of Yazíd the son of Mu‘áwiya, who had a passion for Alchemy. According to the Fihrist¹, the oldest and best existing source of our knowledge on these matters, he assembled the Greek philosophers in Egypt and commanded them to translate Greek and Egyptian books on this subject into Arabic; and these, says the author of the Fihrist, “were the first translations made in Islám from one language to another.” With this prince is associated the celebrated Arabian alchemist Jábir ibn Hayyán, famous in medieval Europe under the name of Geber. Many, if not most, of the Latin books which passed under his name in the Middle Ages are spurious, being the original productions of European investigators who sought by the prestige attaching to his name to give authority and currency to their own writings. The Arabic originals of his works are rare, and the only serious study of them which I have met with is contained in the third volume of Berthelot’s admirable Histoire de la Chimie au Moyen Âge, where the text and French translation of one of his genuine treatises are given. Berthelot points out, what, indeed, has long been recognized, that though the chief pursuit of the old alchemists was the Philosopher’s Stone and the Elixir of Life, they nevertheless made many real and valuable discoveries. How many of these we owe to the Arabs is apparent in such words as alcohol, alembic and the like, still current amongst us. It is indeed generally recognized that it was in the domains of chemistry and

¹ p. 242.
materia medica that the Arabs added most to the body of scientific doctrine which they inherited from the Greeks.

Of medicine proper we find little trace amongst the Arabs at this period, only three or four physicians being specifically mentioned, mostly Christians, and probably non-Arabs. One of them was Ibn Uthál, physician to Mu'áwiya, the first Umayyad Caliph, who was murdered by a man of the tribe of Makhzúm on suspicion of having, at the instigation of the Caliph, poisoned an obnoxious relative named 'Abdu'r-Rahmán. Another, Abu'l-Ḥakam, also a Christian, lived to be a centenarian, as did also his son Ḥakam. In the case of the latter we have a fairly detailed account of his successful treatment of a case of severe arterial haemorrhage caused by an unskilful surgeon-barber. Neither of these men seems to have written anything, but to ‘Ísá the son of Ḥakam is ascribed a large Kunnásh, or treatise on the Art of Medicine, of which no fragment has been preserved. Mention is also made by the Arab biographers of a certain Theodosius or Theodorus¹, evidently a Greek, who was physician to the cruel but capable Ḥajjáj ibn Yúsuf, by whom he was held in high honour and esteem. Some of his aphorisms are preserved, but none of the three or four works ascribed to him. The short list of these medical practitioners of the Umayyad period is closed by a Bedouin woman named Zaynab, who treated cases of ophthalmia. That somewhat more attention began to be paid to public health is indicated by the fact recorded by the historian Ṭabarí² that the Caliph al-Walid in the year 88/707 segregated the lepers, while as-

¹ Ibn Abi Uṣaybi'a (vol. i, pp. 121-123) gives the name in the form of Thiyyáḍhúq (ثييقذوق).
signing to them an adequate supply of food. Amongst the Bedouin the recourse was still to the old charms and incantations, often accompanied by the application to the patient of the operator’s saliva. An instance of this is recorded in connection with the poet Jarīr1, who gave his daughter Umm Ghaylān in marriage to a magician named Ablaq who had cured him in this fashion of erysipelas. The practice of medicine amongst the genuine Arabs of Arabia, both Bedouin and dwellers in towns, at the present day is succinctly described by Zwemer in his book Arabia, the Cradle of Islam2; and his description, so far as we can judge, fairly represents its condition at the remote period of which we are now speaking.

One important question demands consideration before we pass on to the great revival of learning under the early ‘Abbāsid Caliphs at Baghhdād in the eighth and ninth centuries of our era. Leclerc in his Histoire de la Médecine Arabe maintains that already, a century earlier, when the Arabs conquered Egypt, the process of assimilating Greek learning began. In this process he assigns an important part to a certain Yahyā an-Naḥwī, or “John the Grammarian,” who enjoyed high favour with ‘Amr ibnul-‘Āṣ, the conqueror and first Muslim governor of Egypt, and whom he identifies with John Philoponus the commentator of Aristotle. This Yahyā, of whom the fullest notice occurs in al-Qiftī’s “History of the Philosophers” (Tārīkhul-Ḥukamā)3, was a Jacobite bishop at Alexandria, who subsequently repudiated the doctrine of the Trinity, and consequently attracted the favourable notice of the Muslims, to whose strict monotheism this doctrine is particularly obnoxious.

1 Bevan’s ed. of the Naqāṭ, p. 840.
2 pp. 280–4.
He it was, according to the well-known story, now generally discredited by Orientalists, who was the ultimate though innocent cause of the alleged burning of the books in the great library at Alexandria by the Muslims, a story which Leclerc, in spite of his strong pro-Arab and pro-Muhammadan sympathies, oddly enough accepts as a historical fact. This Yahyá, at any rate, was a great Greek scholar, and is said by al-Qifti to have mentioned in one of his works the year 343 of Diocletian (reckoned from A.D. 284) as the current year in which he wrote. This would agree very well with his presence in Egypt at the time of the Arab conquest in A.D. 640, but would prove that he was not identical with John Philoponus, who, according to a note added by Professor Bury to Gibbon's narrative of the event in question, flourished not in the seventh but in the early part of the sixth century after Christ. The precious library of Alexandria had, as Gibbon observes, been pretty thoroughly destroyed by Christian fanatics nearly three centuries before the Muslims over-ran Egypt.

The questions of the fate of the Alexandrian library and the identity of the two Johns or Yahyás are, however, quite subordinate to the much larger and more important question of the state of learning in Egypt at the time of the Arab conquest. Leclerc's view is that the School of Medicine, once so famous, long outlived that of Philosophy, and continued, even though much fallen from its ancient splendour, until the time of the

---

1 The arguments against the truth of this story are well set forth by L. Krehl (Über die Sage von der Verbrennung der Alexandrinischen Bibliothek durch die Araber) in the Acts of the Fourth International Congress of Orientalists (Florence, 1880).

The School of Jundí-Shápur

Arab conquest. This is a difficult point to decide; but Dr Wallis Budge, whose opinion I sought, definitely took the view that the Egyptian writings of this period at any rate, so far as they touched on these topics at all, showed little or no trace of medical science, Greek or other. At the same time we must give due weight to the well-authenticated Arabian tradition as to the translation of Greek works on Alchemy for the Umayyad prince Khalid ibn Yazid in Egypt, and must admit the possibility, if not the probability, that these translations included other subjects, philosophical, medical and the like, besides that which constituted the aforesaid prince's special hobby.

Be this as it may, it was in the middle of the eighth century of our era and through the then newly-founded city of Baghádád that the great stream of Greek and other ancient learning began to pour into the Muhammadan world and to reclothe itself in an Arabian dress. And so far as Medicine is concerned, the tradition of the old Sásánian school of Jundí-Shápur was predominant. Of this once celebrated school, now long a mere name, with difficulty located by modern travellers and scholars on the site of the hamlet of Sháh-ábad in the province of Khúzístán in S.W. Persia, a brief account must now be given.

The city owed its foundation to the Sásánian monarch Shápur I, the son and successor of Ardashír Bábakán who founded this great dynasty in the third century after Christ, and restored, after five centuries and a half of eclipse, the ancient glories of Achaemenian

---

1 See Rawlinson's Notes on a March from Zoháb to Khúzístán in the Journal of the Royal Geographical Society, vol. ix, pp. 71–2, and Layard’s remarks in vol. xvi, p. 86 of the same Journal.
Persia. Shápuṟ, after he had defeated and taken captive the Emperor Valerian, and sacked the famous city of Antioch, built, at the place called in Syriac Béth Lápát, a town which he named Veh-az-Andev-i-Shápuṟ, or "Shápuṟ's 'Better than Antioch,'" a name which was gradually converted into Gundê Shápuṟ or in Arabic Jundí Sábūr. Another "Better than Antioch" was founded in the sixth century of our era by Khusraw Anusharwan, the Chosroes of the Greeks and Kísra of the Arabs, which, to distinguish it from the first, was called Veh-az-Andev-i-Khusraw. This latter town, by a practice which prevailed in Persia even until the sixteenth century, was chiefly populated by the deported citizens—especially craftsmen and artisans—of the foreign town after which it was named; and it seems likely that Jundí-Shápuṟ also received a considerable number of Greek settlers, for the Greek translations of Shápuṟ's Pahlawí inscriptions carved on the rocks at Istakhr in Fárs prove that Greek labour was available at this time even in the interior of Persia. Forty or fifty years later, in the early part of the fourth century, in the reign of the second Shápuṟ, the city had become a royal residence, and it was there that Mání or Manes, the founder of the Manichaean heresy, was put to death, and his skin, stuffed with straw, suspended from one of the city gates, known long afterwards, even in Muhammadan times, as the "Gate of Manes." There also, as appears probable, Shápuṟ II established the Greek physician Theodosius or Theodorus whom he summoned to attend him, and whose system of medicine is mentioned in the Fihrist as one of the Persian books on Medicine after-

2 p. 303.
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wards translated into Arabic and preserved at any rate until the tenth century of our era. This physician, who was a Christian, obtained such honour and consideration in Persia that Shápūr caused a church to be built for him and at his request set free a number of his captive countrymen.

The great development of the school of Jundi-Shápūr was, however, the unforeseen and unintended result of that Byzantine intolerance which in the fifth century of our era drove the Nestorians from their school at Edessa and forced them to seek refuge in Persian territory. In the following century the enlightened and wisdom-loving Khusrasraw Anúsharwán, the protector of the exiled Neo-Platonist philosophers¹, sent his physician Burzúya to India, who, together with the game of chess and the celebrated Book of Kalīla and Dimna, brought back Indian works on medicine and also, apparently, Indian physicians to Persia.

The school of Jundi-Shápūr was, then, at the time of the Prophet Muhammad’s birth, at the height of its glory. There converged Greek and Oriental learning, the former transmitted in part directly through Greek scholars, but for the most part through the industrious and assimilative Syrians, who made up in diligence what they lacked in originality. Sergius of Ra’sul-Ayn, who flourished a little before this time², was one of those who translated Hippocrates and Galen into Syriac. Of this intermediate Syriac medical literature, from which many, perhaps most, of the Arabic translations of the eighth and ninth centuries were made, not much survives, but M. H. Pognon’s edition and French translation of a Syriac version of the Aphorisms³ of Hippocrates, and

¹ About A.D. 531. ² He died at Constantinople about A.D. 536. ³ Une Version Syriaque des Aphorismes d’Hippocrate, Leipzig, 1903.
Dr Wallis Budge’s *Syriac Book of Medicines*, enable us to form some idea of its quality. To the Syrians, whatever their defects, and especially to the Nestorians, Asia owes much, and the written characters of the Mongol, Manchu, Úyghûr and many other peoples in the western half of Asia testify to the literary influence of the Aramaic peoples.

But though the medical teaching of Jundî-Shápûr was in the main Greek, there was no doubt an underlying Persian element, especially in Pharmacology, where the Arabic nomenclature plainly reveals in many cases Persian origins. Unfortunately the two most glorious periods of pre-Islamic Persia, the Achaemenian (B.C. 550–330) and the Sásánian (A.D. 226–640) both terminated in a disastrous foreign invasion, Greek in the first case, Arab in the second, which involved the wholesale destruction of the indigenous learning and literature, so that it is impossible for us to reconstitute more than the main outlines of these two ancient civilizations. Yet the *Avesta*, the sacred book of the Zoroastrians, speaks of three classes of healers, by prayers and religious observances, by diet and drugs, and by instruments; in other words priests, physicians and surgeons. As regards the latter, one curious passage in the *Vendîdâd* ordains that the tyro must operate successfully on three unbelievers before he may attempt an operation on one of the “good Mazdayasnic religion.” And, of course, Greek physicians, of whom Ctesias is the best known, besides an occasional Egyptian, were to be found at the Achaemenian court before the time of Alexander of Macedon.

The medical school of Jundî-Shápûr seems to have been little affected by the Arab invasion and conquest

1 Two vols., text and translation, 1913.
of the seventh century of our era, but it was not till the latter half of the eighth century, when Baghdád became the metropolis of Islám, that its influence began to be widely exerted on the Muslims. It was in the year A.D. 765 that the second ‘Abbásid Caliph al-Manṣúr, being afflicted with an illness which baffled his medical advisers, summoned to attend him Júrjís the son of Bukht-Yishú‘ (a half-Persian, half-Syriac name, meaning ‘Jesus hath delivered’), the chief physician of the great hospital of Jundí-Shápúr. Four years later Júrjís fell ill and craved permission to return home, to see his family and children, and, should he die, to be buried with his fathers. The Caliph invited him to embrace the religion of Islám, but Júrjís replied that he preferred to be with his fathers, whether in heaven or hell. Thereat the Caliph laughed and said, “Since I saw thee I have found relief from the maladies to which I had been accustomed,” and he dismissed him with a gift of 10,000 dinárs, and sent with him on his journey an attendant who should convey him, living or dead, to Jundí-Shápúr, the “Civitas Hippocratica” which he loved so well. Júrjís on his part promised to send to Baghdád to replace him one of his pupils named ‘Ísá ibn Shahlá, but declined to send his son, Bukht-Yishú‘ the second, on the ground that he could not be spared from the Bimáristán, or hospital, of Jundí-Shápúr.

For six generations and over 250 years the Bukht-Yishú‘ family remained pre-eminent in medicine, the last (Jibrá’il son of ‘Ubaydu’lláh son of Bukht-Yishú‘ son of Jibrá’il son of Bukht-Yishú‘ son of Júrjís son of

1 Al-Qiftī’s *Ta’rikhu’l-Hukamá*, p. 158.
2 The explanation of these old Persian names beginning or ending with *bukht* we owe to Professor Th. Nöldeke, *Gesch. d. Artakhshir-i-Pápakán*, p. 49, n. 4.
Jibrá'il), who died on April 10, 1006, being as eminent and as highly honoured by the rulers and nobles of his time as the first. That a certain exclusiveness and unwillingness to impart their knowledge to strangers characterized the physicians of Jundí-Shápúr may be inferred from the treatment received at the beginning of his career by the celebrated translator of Greek medical works into Arabic, Ḥunayn ibn Isháq, known to medieval Europe as “Johannitius.” He was a Christian of Ḥíra with a great passion for knowledge, and acted as dispenser to Yuḥanna ibn Māsawayh (the “Messues” of the Latino-Barbari), whose lectures he also followed. But he was prone to ask too many troublesome questions, and one day his master, losing patience, exclaimed, “What have the people of Ḥíra to do with medicine? Go and change money in the streets!” and drove him forth in tears; “for,” says al-Qīfī, “these people of Jundí-Shápúr used to believe that they only were worthy of this science, and would not suffer it to go forth from themselves, their children and their kin.” But Ḥunayn, more resolved than ever on pursuing knowledge to its source, went away for several years to learn Greek. During this period one of his former acquaintances, Yūsuf the physician, one day saw a man with long hair and unclipped beard and moustaches reciting Homer in the street, and, in spite of his changed appearance, recognized his voice as that of Ḥunayn. He, being questioned, admitted his identity, but enjoined silence on Yūsuf, saying that he had sworn not to continue his medical studies until he had perfected himself in knowledge of the Greek language. When he finally returned, Jibrá'il ibn Bukht-Yishú, to whom he attached himself, was delighted with his Greek scholarship and declared

him to be a miracle of learning, and Ibn Máswayh, who had formerly driven him out with contumely, sought Yúsuf's good offices to effect a reconciliation with him. Later he gained high favour with the Caliph, who, however, was minded first to prove his professional honour by a hard test, for he bade him concoct a poison for one of his enemies, offering him rich rewards if he would do so, but severe punishment—imprisonment or death—if he refused. He refused and was imprisoned for a year, when he was again brought before the Caliph and bidden to choose again between compliance and a rich reward, or the sword of the executioner. "I have already told the Commander of the Faithful," replied Hunayn, "that I have skill only in what is beneficial, and have studied naught else"; and being again threatened with instant death he added, "I have a Lord who will give me my right to-morrow in the Supreme Uprising, so if the Caliph would injure his own soul, let him do so." Then the Caliph smiled and declared that he had only desired to assure himself of Hunayn's probity before yielding him implicit confidence. So the incident ended satisfactorily, but it serves to show that the position of Court Physician at Baghídád in early 'Abbásid times was sometimes a trying one; a fact brought out in the well-known story of the physician Dúbán and King Yúnán (which, however, had a much more tragic ending) in the Arabian Nights.¹

Hunayn was not only the most celebrated but the most productive of these translators. Of the ten Hippocratic writings mentioned by the author of the Fihrist as existing in Arabic translations in his time, seven were his work and three the work of his pupil Ísá ibn Yaḥyá, while the "sixteen books" of Galen were all translated by

¹ Lane's translation (London, 1859), vol. i, pp. 83–6.
him or his pupil Ḥubaysh. Generally, as we learn from the Fihrist, Hunayn translated the Greek into Syriac, while Ḥubaysh translated from Syriac into Arabic, the Arabic version being then revised by Ḥunayn, who, however, sometimes translated directly from Greek into Arabic. All three languages were known to most of these translators, and it is probable, as Leclerc suggests, that whether the translation was made into Syriac or Arabic depended on whether it was primarily designed for Christian or Muslim readers. At the present day comparatively few of these Arabic translations are available, even in manuscript; but good mss. of the Aphorisms and Prognostics exist in the British Museum, besides an epitome of the “sixteen books” of Galen ascribed to Yahyá an-Nahwi, or “John the Grammarian.” Of the Aphorisms in Arabic there is an Indian lithographed edition, which, however, I have not seen. This dearth of texts is very unfortunate for the student of Arabian Medicine, who is thereby much hampered in the solution of two important preliminary questions, viz. the accuracy and fidelity of these early Arabic translations, and the development of the Arabic medical terminology, often unintelligible without reference to the Greek original. As regards the first question, Leclerc is apparently right in his opinion that the translation from Greek into Arabic was generally effected with much greater skill and knowledge than the later translation from Arabic into Latin, and that he who judges Arabian Medicine only by the latter will inevitably undervalue it and do it a great injustice. Indeed it is difficult

1 p. 289.
2 Or. 5914, Or. 6419, Or. 5820, Or. 6386, and Or. 5939.
3 Or. 5914.
4 Arundel, Or. 17.
The so-called Sabaeans of Harrân

to resist the conclusion that many passages in the Latin version of the Qānūn of Avicenna were misunderstood or not understood at all by the translator, and consequently can never have conveyed a clear idea to the reader.

Another group of great translators from Greek into Arabic was provided by the city of Harrân, the classical Charrae, which remained pagan down to the thirteenth century, and, by reason of the high degree of Greek culture long maintained there, was known as Hellenopolis. How the inhabitants of this city came to be known as “Sabaeans” from the ninth century onwards, though they had nothing to do with the true Sabaeans of Chaldaea (of whom a remnant, known to the Muhammdans as al-Mughtasila from their frequent ceremonial batheings and washings, and to Europeans, for the same reason, as “Christians of St John the Baptist,” exist to the present day near Baṣra and along the banks of the Shaṭṭu‘l-‘Arab), is a very curious story, exhaustively set forth, with full documentary evidence, by Chwolson in his great work Die Ssabier und Ssabismus¹. Of these learned Harrâniens the most celebrated were Thâbit ibn Qurra (born A.D. 836, died A.D. 901), his sons Ibrâhim and Sinân, his grandsons Thâbit and Ibrâhim, and his great-grandson Sinân; and the family of Zahruûn. Mention should also be made of another contemporary translator, though his predilection was for mathematics rather than medicine, Qustâ ibn Lúqá, a Christian of Baalbek in Syria, who died about A.D. 923.

Thus by the tenth century the Muslims, to all of whom, irrespective of race, Arabic was not only the language of Revelation and Religion, but also of science, diplomacy and polite intercourse, had at their disposal

¹ St Petersburg, 1856 (2 vols.). See vol. i, ch. vi (pp. 139–157).
a great mass of generally excellent translations of all
the most famous philosophical and scientific writings of
the Greeks. For Greek poetry and drama they cared
little, and of the Latin writers they seem to have known
nothing whatever. Of the Greek medical writers, besides
Hippocrates and Galen, their favourites were Rufus of
Ephesus, Oribasius, Paul of Ægina, and Alexander of
Tralles; and, for materia medica, Dioscorides. In some
cases Greek writings, lost in the original, have been pre-
served to us in Arabic translations. The most notable
instance of this is afforded by the seven books of Galen’s
Anatomy (ix–xv), lost in the original Greek but pre-
served in the Arabic, of which the text, with German
translation and full apparatus criticus, has been published
by Dr Max Simon¹, with an admirable Arabic-Greek-
German vocabulary of technical terms, to which re-
ference has already been made.

Were the materials accessible, it would be interesting
to compare those Arabic translations made directly from
the Greek with those which first passed through the
medium of Syriac. Of the few Syriac versions preserved
to us I cannot myself form an opinion, being unfortu-
nately unacquainted with that language, but they are
rather harshly judged by M. Pogson, of whose edition
and translation of the Syriac Aphorisms of Hippocrates
I have already spoken². “The Syriac version of the
Aphorisms contained in my manuscript,” he writes,
“is a very faithful, or rather too faithful, translation of
the Greek text; sometimes, indeed, it is a literal trans-
lation absolutely devoid of sense. This, unfortunately,
does not allow us to determine the epoch at which it

² Une Version Syriaque des Aphorismes d’Hippocrate, texte et tra-
duction, par M. Pogson, Consul de France à Alep (Leipzig, 1903).
Limitations of the Syrian Translators

was made, since to render too literally has been the defect of many Syrian translators.”

“I will not venture to say,” he continues, “that the Syrians never possessed clear translations written in a correct style, but in most of the translations which have reached us the style is often obscure, the construction incorrect, and words are often employed in a sense not properly belonging to them, this generally arising from the desire of the Syriac translator to reproduce the Greek text too faithfully. The Syrian translators, when they found a difficult passage, too often contented themselves with rendering each Greek word by a Syriac word without in any way seeking to write an intelligible sentence. Thus we find in their translations many incorrect sentences, and even expressions which have absolutely no meaning. In short, I believe that when they did not understand the meaning of a Greek word, the translators did not hesitate to transcribe it in Syriac characters, leaving their readers to conjecture the meaning of these barbarisms which they had created.”

The translation of the Aphorisms, with which he is specially concerned, M. Pognon characterizes as “de-testable,” and adds: “Whenever the translator comes across an obscure passage, his translation is obscure; and whenever he meets with a passage which is susceptible of several different renderings, his translation can be interpreted in several different ways.” This assertion he proves by numerous examples.

The Arab mind, on the other hand, is clear and positive, and the Arabic language nervous, virile and rich both actually and potentially. The old Arabs were an acute and observant people, and for all natural objects which fell under their notice they had appropriate and finely differentiated words. To render the medical
works of the Greeks into their own language they had, of course, in many cases, to invent new terms translated or imitated from the Greek, and often only to be understood by reference to the Greek originals; but they already possessed a fairly copious anatomical vocabulary, which, moreover, they were fond of using in ordinary life, even in their poetry. Thus the Umayyad Caliph Yazid ibn ʿAbdul-Malik, who, in 105/723-4, died of love for the slave-girl Ḥabbába, was deeply stirred by her singing of the following verse:

"Between the clavicles and the wuala is a burning heat
Which cannot be appeased or swallowed down and cooled."

The poet al-Mutanabbí (tenth century) has a poem on a fever by which he was attacked in Egypt in Dhūl-Ḥijja 348 (February 960), and which left him—

"Sick of body, unable to rise up, vehemently intoxicated (i.e. delirious) without wine."

He compares the fever to a coy maiden who will only visit him under cover of darkness:

1 Kitābuʾl-Faḥhrī, ed. Ahlwardt, p. 155.
Al-Mutanabbi’s Ode to a Fever

And it is as though she who visits me were filled with modesty,
For she does not pay her visits save under cover of darkness.
I freely offered her my linen and my pillows,
But she refused them, and spent the night in my bones.
My skin is too contracted to contain both my breath and her,
So she relaxes it with all sorts of sickness.
When she leaves me, she washes me [with perspiration]
As though we had retired apart for some forbidden action.
It is as though the morning drives her away,
And her lacrymal ducts are flooded in their four channels.
I watch for her time [of arrival] without desire,
Yet with the watchfulness of the eager lover.
And she is ever faithful to her appointed time, but faithfulness is an evil
When it casts thee into grievous sufferings.”

Under such astonishing imagery are clearly depicted the delirium and regular nightly recurrence of the fever, the rigors which mark its onset, and the copious perspiration with which it concludes, the latter being fantastically likened to the weeping of a woman torn from her lover’s arms.

That in the days of the Caliphate every educated person was expected to take some interest in Medicine and to know something about Anatomy is shown by the curious story of the equally fair and talented slave-girl Tawaddud in the Arabian Nights. The girl is offered to the Caliph Hárûnu’r-Rashíd for an enormous price (10,000 dīnārs) by her bankrupt master Abū’l-Ḥusn, and the Caliph agrees to pay this sum provided she can answer satisfactorily any questions addressed to her by those most learned in each of the many branches of knowledge in which she claims to excel. Therefore the most notable professors of Theology, Law, Exegesis
of the Qur’an, Medicine, Astronomy, Philosophy, Rhetoric and Chess examine her in succession, and in each case she not only gives satisfactory replies to all their questions, but ends by putting to each of them a question which he is unable to answer. Lane describes this story, which provides material for six of the 1001 Nights¹, as “extremely tiresome to most readers,” but it is very valuable as indicating what was regarded by the medieval Muslims as a good all-round education. The medical portion of the examination includes the outlines of Anatomy and Physiology, according to Arabian ideas, diagnosis from signs and symptoms, humoristic Pathology, Hygiene, Dietetics and the like. The enumeration of the bones is fairly complete, but that of the blood-vessels very vague. Of the branches of the Aorta, says Tawaddud, “none knoweth the tale save He who created them, but it is said that they number 360”—a mystical number, $12 \times 30$, which still plays a great part in the doctrines of certain Muhammadan sects, by whom it is called “The Number of All Things” (عدد كل شيء) for reasons which it would be tedious to enumerate in this place.

I have already taken up too much of your time this afternoon in the discussion of these preliminaries. In my next lecture I propose to speak of four of the most notable early medical writers of the Muslims who succeeded the epoch of the great translators. These were all Persians by race, though they wrote in Arabic; and the Latin versions of the chief works of three of them, known to the Latino-Barbari as Rhazes, Haly Abbas and Avicenna, constituted three of the most highly esteemed medical works current in medieval Europe.

Lecture II

In my last lecture I traced the growth of the so-called "Arabian Medicine" down to the ninth century of our era, the time of the great translators of the early 'Abbásid period; and I showed how, by their diligence and learning, the teachings of the most eminent physicians of Ancient Greece, notably Hippocrates, Galen, Oribasius, Rufus of Ephesus and Paul of Ægina, were rendered accessible to the Muslim world. We must now pass to the independent Arabic writers on medicine, who, starting from this foundation, compiled more or less original works embodying, to some extent, observations of their own, and arranged on their own plan. The great extent of the subject, however, obliges me to impose on myself somewhat strict limitations of region, period and topic, and I shall therefore confine myself to the two centuries immediately succeeding the Golden Age, which lies between A.D. 750 and 850, and to the Eastern lands of the Caliphate, especially Persia. Further, I shall confine myself to four or five of the principal medical writers of this limited period, and, as a rule, to one only of the works of each. Even under such limitations only a very partial and superficial view can be obtained, for a whole series of lectures might evidently be devoted to a single section of any one of the works which I propose briefly to discuss to-day.

Before proceeding further, however, there are one or two preliminary matters on which a few words should be said, and first of all as to the evolution of Arabic scientific terminology. The Syrians, as we have seen, were too much disposed to transcribe Greek words as
they stood, without any attempt at elucidation, leaving the reader to make the best he could of them. The medieval Latin translators from the Arabic did exactly the same, and the Latin Qānūn of Avicenna swarms with barbarous words which are not merely transcriptions, but in many cases almost unrecognizable mis-transcriptions, of Arabic originals. Thus the coccyx is named in Arabic عَضْعَصُ (al-'usz), or, with the definite article, al-'usz (العضعَصُ), which appears in the Latin version as alhosos; al-guțan (القطن), the lumbar region, appears as alchatin; al-'ajuz or al-'ajiz (العجز), the sacrum, variously appears as alhaus and al-hagiazi; and an-nawājīd (النواخذ), the wisdom-teeth, as nuaged or neguegidi. Dozens of similar monstrosities can be gleaned from Dr Hyrtl's Das Arabische und Hebräische in der Anatomie (Vienna, 1879), and it must be confessed that the Arabs also were, in a lesser degree, guilty of a similar mutilation of Greek words, as, for example, the transformation of ἀνθές into anfās (الأنفُس), which in turn, in the hands of the Latino-Barbari, became abgas.

Generally, however, in spite of the fact that the Arabic language almost entirely lacks the Greek facility of forming compound words to express new and complex ideas, the Arabs succeeded in paraphrasing the Greek technical terms with fair success. Diagnosis is fairly rendered by tashkhīs, which primarily means the identification of a person (shakhī); prognosis is more cumbrously rendered by taqdimatul-ma'rifati, literally, the sending forward of knowledge. In the earliest Arabic medical books, like the Firdawsu'l-Hikmat, or “Paradise of Wisdom,” of which I shall speak immediately, strange Syro-Persian words, probably borrowed from the vocabulary of Jundī-Shāpūr,
subsequently replaced by good Arabic equivalents, appear. Thus in the almost unique ms. of the work just mentioned there twice occurs a word for a headache affecting the whole head (as contrasted with *shaqīqa*, which denotes hemicrania or migraine), faultily written in both cases (once as ٍسُورِةٍ and once as ٍسِورِيَأٍ), which only after numerous enquiries of Syriac scholars was identified as the Syriac *sanwāţā* (سَنَوْتَأ), said to be a Persian word meaning primarily a helmet. And in fact it is evidently the Persian *sar-band* (سَرَبَندُ) or *sar-wand* with transposition of the *r* and the *n* (*san-ward* for *sar-wand*) and the addition of the Syriac final emphatic *ā*. This may serve as an instance of the kind of trouble which the reader or translator, or still more the editor, of these old Arabic medical works is apt to meet with, for of scarcely any, even of the few which have been published in the original, do critical editions exist.

On the other hand, apart from the fairly copious anatomical, pathological and medical vocabulary properly belonging to the Arabic language, it has a great power of forming significant derivatives from existing roots, which, when formed, are at once intelligible. Thus there exists in Arabic a special form for the “noun of pain,” wherein the first root-letter is followed by a short *u* and the second by a long *ā* (the form known to Arab grammarians as *فَعَّالُ*, *fu‘āl*), and this is the form assumed by the names of most diseases and ailments; as the already mentioned *ṣudā* (صَدَاعُ), “a splitting headache,” the “*soda*” of the Latino-Barbari; *zukām* (زَخَامَ), “a catarrh”; *judhām* (جُدَحَامَ), “elephantiasis,” etc. On this analogy we get, from the root *dawr* (دوْر), “revolving,” *duwār* (دوْر), “vertigo,” the sickness produced by being whirled round; from *bahr* (بَحْرُ), “the sea,” *buhār* (بُحَارُ),
“sea-sickness”; from khamr (خمر), “wine,” khumár (خمأر), the headache resulting from undue indulgence in wine; and so forth. I never met with the word jubál (جبال) from jabal (جبال), “a mountain,” but, if I did meet with it, I should know that it could mean nothing else but “mountain-sickness.” In other cases the Arabic technical term implies a pathological theory, as, for example, istisgá (إضغأ), mustasqt (مstattئ), which are respectively the verbal noun and the active participle of the tenth, or desiderative, conjugation of the root saqa, yasqt (ئسقئ). “to give drink to,” and in ordinary language mean “craving for drink” and “one who craves for drink,” but in Medicine “dropsy” and “dropsical,” conformably to the familiar Latin adage, Crescit indulgens sibi dirus hydrops. Thus it will be apparent that Arabic is on the whole well adapted for providing a suitable technical terminology, which, in fact, it has done for the whole Muslim world, whether they speak Arabic, Persian, Turkish or Urdú, and which, as the modern Egyptian Press testifies, it continues to do at the present day.

Another point deserving brief notice is the question whether dissection was ever practised by the Muslims. The answer is usually given in the negative, and I must admit that I incline to this view; but in an immense, unfinished, modern Persian biographical dictionary entitled Náma-i-Dánishwarán, “the Book of Learned Men,” compiled by command of the late Náṣiru’d-Dín Sháh by four learned men, to wit Mírzá Abú’l-Fadl of Sáwa the physician, Shaykh Muhammad Mahdí ‘Abdu’r-Rabb-ábádí, entitled Shamsu’l-Ulamá, Mírzá Hasan-i-Ṭālaqání, entitled Adíb, and Mirzá ‘Abdu’l-Wahháb ibn ‘Abdu’l-‘Alí of Qazwín, and lithographed at Ţihrán
25 years ago, it is stated¹ that the celebrated Yuḥanná ibn Māsawayh, being unable to obtain human subjects, dissected apes in a special dissecting-room which he built on the banks of the Tigris, and that a particular species of ape, considered to resemble man most closely, was, by command of the Caliph al-Mu'tasim, supplied to him about the year A.D. 836 by the ruler of Nubia. This story is given on the authority of Ibn Abī Uṣaybi‘a, in whose Classes of Physicians² it in fact occurs in a less clear and detailed form. It is, however, not to be found in al-Qīṭī’s History of the Philosophers, and cannot, I fear, be regarded as affording weighty evidence as to the practice of dissection in the medical schools of the Arabs. This Yuḥanná ibn Māsawayh had a bad temper and a sharp tongue. According to the Fihrist he once said to a courtier who had annoyed him, “If the ignorance wherewith thou art afflicted were converted into understanding, and then divided amongst a hundred beetles, each one of them would be more sagacious than Aristotle!”

To come now to the medical writers of whom I propose to speak this afternoon, the oldest of them is ʿAlī ibn Rabban of Ṭabaristān, the Persian province south of the Caspian Sea. Rabban, as he himself explains at the beginning of his book, was the title, not the name, of his father.

“My father,” he says, “was the son of a certain scribe of the city of Merv...who had a great zeal for the pursuit of virtue...and sought to derive benefit from books on Medicine and Philosophy, preferring Medicine to the profession of his fathers. Herein his object was not so much to seek after praise and profit as to conform himself to the Divine Attributes, and so to earn

the consideration of mankind. Wherefore he received the title of Rabbān, which being interpreted signifies ‘our Master’ and ‘our Teacher.’”

From this title we may infer that our author’s father was a Christian or a Jew, and in fact al-Qīṭī, who gives a short notice of him, says that he professed the latter religion; that the father’s proper name was Sahl, and that the son only made profession of Islām after he entered the service of the Caliph al-Mutawakkil. Previously to this he had been secretary to the celebrated Māzyār, of the noble Persian house of Qāren, who rebelled against the Caliph in the hope of liberating his country from the Arab yoke, and was finally captured and crucified at Baghdad beside the heresiarch Bábak. ‘Ali ibn Rabbān subsequently entered the service of the Caliph, and finally, in the third year of his reign (A.D. 850), succeeded, after many interruptions, in completing the work on Medicine and Natural Philosophy on which he had long been engaged, and which he entitled Firdawsī’s Hikmat, the “Paradise of Wisdom.” This is nearly all that is known of his life, except that from an illustration given in his book it is evident that he was, as his nisba implies, familiar with the mountains and mists of Ţabaristán, and the much more important fact that he was one of the teachers of the great physician ar-Rāzí or Rhazes, a fact which in itself invests his work with considerable interest. According to the Fihrist he only wrote four books, of which the “Paradise of Wisdom” is the most important. It must at one time have been well known and highly esteemed, for, as we learn from Yāqūt’s Dictionary of Learned Men, the great historian Muhammad ibn Jarīr at-

1 p. 231.  2 Brit. Mus. ms. Arundel, Or. 41, f. 15a.
3 p. 296.  4 “E. J. W. Gibb Memorial” Series, vi, 6, p. 429.
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Ṭabarí was reading it while he lay sick in bed; while in another passage of the same work⁴, where that eminent patron of letters the Şāhib Isma‘îl ibn ‘Abbád is censured for imagining himself to be superior to all the greatest authorities in every science and art, the Firdaws, or “Paradise,” of ‘Alî ibn Rabban² is mentioned amongst those authorities. Subsequently this book, like so many other precious Arab works, became almost extinct, and at the present day, so far as I can ascertain, there exist only two manuscripts of it, one fine old copy (Arundel, Or. 41) in the British Museum, which I have had photographed for my use; and another (Landberg, 266) at Berlin; but this latter copy seems, so far as I have been able to learn, to be only an abridgment, or at least to contain a somewhat mutilated or abbreviated text.

The “Paradise of Wisdom,” which I hope some day to edit and perhaps translate, deals chiefly with Medicine, but also to some extent with Philosophy, Meteorology, Zoology, Embryology, Psychology and Astronomy. It is a fair-sized book containing nearly 550 pages, and is divided into 7 parts (Naww), 30 discourses (Magâla), and 360 chapters. The author mentions as his principal sources Hippocrates, Aristotle, Galen, Yuhannâ ibn Mâsawayh (Messues) and Ḥunayn “the Interpreter,” i.e. Ḥunayn ibn Ishāq, the medieval Johannitius. The fourth and last Discourse of the seventh Part contains in 36 chapters a summary of Indian Medicine. It would be tedious to you if I were to read out the abstract of the contents of the book which I have made, nor would the author himself have approved such a procedure, for he says:

“ He who perpends this book with understanding

¹ “E. J. W. Gibb Memorial” Series, vi, 2, p. 279.
² The text erroneously has زين (Zayn) for ربان (Rabban).
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resembles one who wanders in fruitful and pleasant gardens, or in the markets of great cities, wherein is provided for each of the senses its pleasure and delight. But just as he who limits his knowledge of such gardens and cities to the contemplation of their gates is as one who seeth naught of them, so he who enumerates the chapters of this my book without attentively reading what is contained in each, doth not understand the true meaning of what I say....But he who masters this book, and fully fathoms and perpends it, will find in it the greater part of what the young graduate needs of the Science of Medicine and the action of the natural forces in this Microcosm and also in the Macrocosm."

Some justification is perhaps needed for rendering the Arabic word *mutakharrīj* in the above passage in its modern sense of "graduate," which may seem too definite a translation of a word implying one who comes out, or issues forth, from a school or college at which he has completed his studies. It is therefore worth noting that some sort of qualifying examination in medicine, if it did not already exist in A.D. 850, when our author wrote, was instituted 80 years later in the reign of the Caliph al-Muqtadir on account of a case of malpraxis which came to his notice in A.D. 931. He thereupon issued an order, as al-Qiftī informs us, that none should practise medicine in Baghdād unless he was able to satisfy Sinān ibn Thābit of Harrān of his competence and proficiency, with the exception of a few physicians of recognized standing, who, on account of their reputation, were exempted from this test, to which the remainder, numbering some 860, had to submit. That the examination was not always of a very searching character is shown by the following incident. Amongst

1 *Ta’rikhu’ll-Ḥukamā*, pp. 191–2.
the practitioners who presented themselves before Sinán was a dignified and well-dressed old man of imposing appearance. Sinán accordingly treated him with consideration and respect, and addressed to him various remarks on the cases before him. When the other candidates had been dismissed, he said, “I should like to hear from the Shaykh (Professor) something which I may remember from him, and that he should mention who was his Teacher in the Profession.” Thereupon the old gentleman laid a packet of money before Sinán and said, “I cannot read or write well, nor have I read anything systematically, but I have a family whom I maintain by my professional labours, which, therefore, I beg you not to interrupt.” Sinán laughed and replied, “On condition that you do not treat any patient with what you know nothing about, and that you do not prescribe phlebotomy or any purgative drug save for simple ailments.” “This,” said the old man, “has been my practice all my life, nor have I ever ventured beyond sirkangabin (oxymel) and jullāb (jalap).” Next day amongst those who presented themselves before Sinán was a well-dressed young man of pleasing and intelligent appearance. “With whom did you study?” enquired Sinán. “With my father,” answered the youth. “And who is your father?” asked Sinán. “The old gentleman who was with you yesterday,” replied the other. “A fine old gentleman!” exclaimed Sinán; “and do you follow his methods?...Yes?...Then see to it that you do not go beyond them!”

Although, as I have said, a detailed statement of the contents of the “Paradise of Wisdom” would be out of place, the general plan of the book may be briefly indicated.
PART I. Treats of certain general philosophical ideas, the categories, natures, elements, metamorphosis, genesis and decay.

PART II. Treats of embryology, pregnancy, the functions and morphology of different organs, ages and seasons, psychology, the external and internal senses, the temperaments and emotions, personal idiosyncrasies, certain nervous affections (tetanus, torpor, palpitation, nightmare, etc.), the evil eye, hygiene and dietetics.

PART III. Treats of nutrition and dietetics.

PART IV. (The longest, comprising 12 Discourses) treats of general and special pathology, from the head to the feet, and concludes with an account of the number of muscles, nerves and veins, and dissertations on phlebotomy, the pulse and urinoscopy.

PART V. Treats of tastes, scents and colours.

PART VI. Treats of materia medica and toxicology.

PART VII. Treats of climate, waters and seasons in their relation to health, outlines of cosmography and astronomy, and the utility of the science of medicine: and concludes, as already noted, with a summary of Indian Medicine in 36 chapters.

It will be noticed that the book contains very little about anatomy or surgery and a great deal about climate, diet and drugs, including poisons. Part IV, dealing with pathology, is on the whole the most interesting, and I may, perhaps, be permitted to enumerate more fully the contents of the 12 Discourses which it comprises:

Discourse 1 (9 chapters) on general pathology, the signs and symptoms of internal disorders, and the principles of therapeutics.

Discourse 2 (14 chapters) on diseases and injuries of the head; and diseases of the brain, including epilepsy, various kinds of headache, tinnitus, vertigo, amnesia, and nightmare.
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_Discourse 3_ (12 chapters) on diseases of the eyes and eyelids, the ear and the nose (including epistaxis and catarrh), the face, mouth and teeth.

_Discourse 4_ (7 chapters) on nervous diseases, including spasm, tetanus, paralysis, facial palsy, etc.

_Discourse 5_ (7 chapters) on diseases of the throat, chest and vocal organs, including asthma.

_Discourse 6_ (6 chapters) on diseases of the stomach, including hiccough.

_Discourse 7_ (5 chapters) on diseases of the liver, including dropsy.

_Discourse 8_ (14 chapters) on diseases of the heart, lungs, gall-bladder and spleen.

_Discourse 9_ (19 chapters) on diseases of the intestines (especially colic), and of the urinary and genital organs.

_Discourse 10_ (26 chapters) on fevers, ephemeral, hectic, continuous, tertian, quartan and semi-quartan; on pleurisy, erysipelas, and small-pox; on crises, prognosis, favourable and unfavourable symptoms, and the signs of death.

_Discourse 11_ (13 chapters) on rheumatism, gout, sciatica, leprosy, elephantiasis, scrofula, lupus, cancer, tumours, gangrene, wounds and bruises, shock, and plague. The last four chapters deal with anatomical matters, including the numbers of the muscles, nerves and blood-vessels.

_Discourse 12_ (20 chapters) on phlebotomy, cupping; baths and the indications afforded by the pulse and urine.

This Fourth Part constitutes nearly two-fifths of the whole book, occupying 107 out of 276 folios and comprising in all 152 chapters. Each chapter is therefore very short, often less than one page and seldom more than two. There is little attempt to go beyond the
chief signs and symptoms of each disease and the treatment recommended, and, so far as I have seen, there are no references to actual cases, or clinical notes. The book, indeed, except for the First Part—which deals with general philosophic conceptions, and contains some interesting ideas regarding the genesis of the Four Elements (Earth, Air, Fire and Water) from the Four Natures (Heat, Cold, Dryness and Moisture) and their metamorphosis (عَشْقَة)—is little more than a Practitioner’s Vade-mecum, chiefly interesting as one of the earliest extant independent medical works in Arabic written by the teacher of the great physician whom we have now to consider.

Abú Bakr Muḥammad ibn Zakariyyá of Ray, hence called in Arabic ar-Rází, and by the medieval Latinists “Rhazes,” was probably the greatest and most original of all the Muslim physicians, and one of the most prolific as an author. His birth-place, Ray, situated a few miles from Tihrán, the modern capital of Persia, was one of the most ancient Persian cities, being mentioned in the Avesta1 as “Ragha of the three races,” the twelfth of the good lands created by Ahura Mazda. In early life music was his chief interest, and he was a skilful player on the lute. He then devoted himself to Philosophy, but, according to the Qádí Śá‘íd2, “did not fathom Metaphysics, nor apprehend its ultimate aim, so that his judgment was troubled and he adopted indefensible views, espoused objectionable [i.e. heterodox] doctrines, and criticized people whom he did not understand, and whose methods he did not follow.” Herein he stands in sharp contrast with Avicenna, of whom we shall speak

1 *Venêdd*, Fargard ii, v. 16.
2 Ibn Abi Uṣaybi‘a, i, p. 310.
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presently; for Avicenna was a better philosopher than physician, but Rázi a better physician than philosopher.

Rázi, as Ibn Abí Uṣaybi‘a informs us, spent most of his life in Persia, because it was his native country, and because his brother and his kinsmen dwelt there. His interest in Medicine was aroused, when he was of mature age, by visits to the hospital and conversations with an old druggist or dispenser who served in it. Of the hospital at Ray he ultimately became chief physician, and there he attended regularly, surrounded by his pupils and the pupils of his pupils. Every patient who presented himself was first examined by the latter—the clinical clerks, as we should say; and if the case proved too difficult for them it was passed on to the Master’s immediate pupils, and finally, if necessary, to himself. Subsequently Rázi became physician-in-chief to the great hospital at Baghdad, about the foundation of which he is said to have been consulted. Being asked to select the most suitable site, he is said to have caused pieces of meat to be hung up in different quarters of the city, and to have chosen the place where they were slowest in showing signs of decomposition. While in Persia he enjoyed the friendship and patronage of Manṣúr ibn Isháq, the ruler of Khurásán, for whom he composed his Kitábu’l-Manṣúrî (the "Liber Almansorís"). The chronology of his life is very uncertain, for not only do the dates assigned to his death vary between A.D. 903 and 923¹ but he has even been associated by some writers ² with the great Buwayhid

¹ Ibn Abí Uṣaybi‘a, i, p. 314.
² Ibid., pp. 309–310, but the author expresses the correct opinion that Rázi was antecedent to ‘Aḍudú’d-Dawla, and that the hospital with which he was connected only received the name of ‘Aḍudí at a later date.
ruler ‘Aḍudu’d-Dawla, who reigned from A.D. 949–982, and who founded the Bīmāristānul-‘Aḍudī, or ‘Aḍudī Hospital, the site of which Rāzī is said to have selected as described above, at the end of his reign.

One detail occurring in all the accounts of Rāzī is that he became blind towards the end of his life from a cataract, and that he refused to undergo an operation on the ground that he desired to see no more of a world with which he was disgusted and disillusioned. The indirect cause of his blindness is further stated to have been his preoccupation with Alchemy, on which, as we know from the list of his writings given by al-Qīfṭī and Ibn Abī Uṣaybi‘a, he composed twelve treatises. One of them he dedicated and presented to a certain great man, who gave him a large reward, and then bade him apply his science to the actual production of gold. Rāzī made various excuses for declining this test, whereupon the great man lost his temper, accused him of fraud and charlatanism, and struck him a blow on the head which caused him to go blind. Other writers assert that he was secretly strangled for his failure, while others ascribe his blindness to the excessive eating of beans, of which he was very fond. In short his biographers have sought to compensate us for the meagre and conflicting details of his career which they offer us by just such extraordinary stories as gathered round the natural philosophers of the Middle Ages in Europe, where every student of science who transcended his age was suspected of being a magician.

When we turn to the writings of Rāzī, however, we are on surer ground, for there is no reason to doubt the accuracy of the list of those given by the three most trustworthy biographers, and said to be based on the author’s own notes and statements. The Fihrist, our
oldest authority, enumerates 113 major and 28 minor works by him, besides two poems. Most of these are lost, but what remain are amply sufficient to enable us to appraise his learning, though even of these but few are accessible save in manuscript. Of his many monographs the most celebrated in Europe is his well-known treatise on small-pox and measles, first published in the original Arabic with a Latin translation by Channing (London, 1766). Of this a Latin translation had already appeared in Venice in 1565, and an English version by Greenhill was published by the Sydenham Society in 1848. This tract was formerly known as *de Peste or de Pestilentiā*, and, as Neuburger says, "on every hand and with justice it is regarded as an ornament to the medical literature of the Arabs." "It ranks high in importance," he continues, "in the history of epidemiology as the earliest monograph upon small-pox, and shows us Rhazes as a conscientious practitioner, almost free from dogmatic prejudices, following in the footsteps of Hippocrates."

Another monograph by Rází on stone in the bladder and kidneys has been published in the original, with a French translation (Leyden, 1896), by Dr P. de Koning, who has also published the text and translation of the anatomical portion of the *Kitábūl-Ḥawārī*, or "Continens," together with the corresponding portions of the *Kitábūl-Malikī, or "Liber Regius," of 'Alí ibnu'l-'Abbás and the *Qārin* of Avicenna. To Steinschneider we are indebted for German translations of other tracts by Rází, notably his entertaining work on the success of charlatans and quacks in securing a popularity often denied to the competent and properly qualified physician.

Other unpublished monographs by Rázi exist in various public libraries in Europe and the East. Thus a ms. (Add. 3516) recently acquired by purchase by the Cambridge University Library contains the treatises on gout and rheumatism\(^1\) and on colic\(^2\) mentioned by al-Qiftî.

Of general works on Medicine, apart from his numerous monographs, Rázi composed some half dozen, to wit the Jāmi’ or “Compendium,” the Káfi or “Sufficient,” the Lesser and the Greater Madkhal or “Introduction,” the Mutākī or “Royal,” compiled for ‘Ali ibn Veh-Sūdhán the ruler of Tabaristān, the Fākhîr or “Splendid” (of which, however, the authorship seems to be uncertain), and last but not least the Mansūrî or “Liber Almansoris,” of which a Latin translation was published in A.D. 1489, and the Hâwî or “Continens,” of which a Latin translation was published in A.D. 1486 at Brescia, and again at Venice in A.D. 1542. This translation is very rare, and the only copy at Cambridge is in the Library of King’s College\(^3\). It is of the Hâwî or “Continens” only that I propose to speak, since it is by far the largest and most important of Rázi’s works.

Unfortunately the study of the Hâwî is fraught with peculiar difficulties. Not only has it never been published in the original, but no complete manuscript exists, and, indeed, so far as my present knowledge goes, I doubt if more than half of this immense work exists at all at the present day, while the extant volumes are widely dispersed, three volumes in the British Museum, three in the Bodleian, four or five in the Escorial, others at Munich and Petrograd and some abridgments in

\(^1\) Ff. 110–142.  
\(^2\) Ff. 48–62.  
\(^3\) Its class-mark is xv. 4. 2.
Berlin. Moreover there is some uncertainty as to the number and contents of the volumes which the work comprises, for while the *Fihrist*\(^1\) enumerates only 12, the Latin translation contains 25, nor is there any correspondence in subject-matter or arrangement. This confusion arises partly, no doubt, from the fact that the *Hāwī* was a posthumous work, compiled after the death of Rāzī by his pupils from unfinished notes and papers which he left behind him, and lacking the unity of plan and finishing touches which only the author’s hand could give, and partly from the fact that the same title seems to have been sometimes applied to another of his larger works. Moreover the *Hāwī*, on account of its enormous size and the mass of detail which it contained, appalled the most industrious copyists, and was beyond the reach of all save the most wealthy bibliophiles, so that ‘Alī ibnūl-‘Abbās, of whom I shall next speak, and who wrote only 50 or 60 years after Rāzī’s death, tells us that in his day he only knew of two complete copies\(^2\). From what original the Latin translation was made, and whether or where that original now exists, we are unfortunately ignorant, since the medieval translators did not condescend to mention such details. In face of these difficulties all that I have been able to do is to examine superficially the half dozen volumes in the British Museum and the Bodleian Libraries. Of these the most interesting is *Marsh 156* of the latter library, and in particular ff. 239 b–245 b, of which, through the kindness of Dr Cowley and Professor Margoliouth, I have obtained photographs.

I have already said, and indeed it has been generally

---
\(^1\) p. 300.

B. A. M.
recognized by all authorities on this subject, that it is as a clinical observer that Rāżī excels all his compeers; and since the clinical notes of these old “Arabian” physicians are of much greater interest and importance than their obsolete physiology and pathology or their second-hand anatomy, a careful study of the works of Rāżī, especially of his great Ḥāwī or “Continens,” is probably the most repaying field to which the Arabic scholar interested in Medicine can devote himself. Some of his more celebrated and sensational cases are recorded in such collections of anecdotes as the Arabic Kitābū'l-Faraj ba'da 'sh-Shidda ("Book of Relief after Distress") of at-Tanúkhī (d. A.D. 994), and the Persian Chahār Maqāla ("Four Discourses"), compiled by Niẓāmī-i-'Arūḏī of Samarqand about A.D. 1155. Ibn Abī Uṣaybi'a says in his Classes of Physicians¹, "There are many accounts and various valuable observations by ar-Rāżī as to what he achieved by his skill in the Art of Medicine, his unique attainments in the healing of the sick, his deduction of their condition through his skill in prognosis, and the information which he gave as to their symptoms and treatment, unto the like of which but few physicians have attained. He has many narratives of what fell within his experience in these and like matters, which are contained in many of his works."

Now the dozen pages in the Bodleian ms. referred to above (supposed to be the seventh volume of the Ḥāwī, but agreeing better with the seventeenth of the Latin translation²), contain precisely such clinical notes as are mentioned by Ibn Abī Uṣaybi'a. They are

¹ Vol. i, p. 311.
² Book viii of the Latin translation is entitled De passionibus cordis et epatis et splenis; Book xvii De effimerâ et ethicā (? hecticā).
entitled “Illustrative accounts of patients, and narratives of unusual cases about which we were doubtful.” Some twenty-four cases are recorded, the full names of the patients being usually given, with the symptoms, treatment and results. They are not easy to understand, the Arabic text being represented by one manuscript only, and the style, apart from apparent scribe’s errors, being crabbed and technical. The first case, which I interpret as well as I can, may serve as a specimen.

كَانَ يُبَنِي عَبْدِ اللَّهِ بْنِ سَوَادٍ حُمَّاتٍ مَخْلَطَةٍ تَنُوبُ مَرَّةً فِي سَبْعَةٍ
أَيَّامٍ وَمَرَّةَ غَبِ وَمَرَّةً رَجَعَ وَمَرَّةً كَلّ يَومٍ وَيَنْتَقُدُّمَا نَافِضًا وَبِضَا وَ
كَانَ يُبُولُ مِرَّاتٌ كَثِيرَةً وَحَكِمَتْ النَّافِضَةُ أَنَّهُ لَا يُخْلَوْ أَنْ تَكُونُ هَذَا الحُمَّاتُ
تُرِيدُ أَنْ تَنْقُلْ رِبَعًا وَأَمَّا أَنْ يَكُونَ بِهِ خَرَاجٌ فِي صَلَاةٍ فُلْهُ يَلِبَثُ
الَّا مُدِيدًا حَتَّى بَالٍ لَمْ يَأْتِهِ أَعْلَمَهُ أَنَّهُ لَا يُعاوَدُ هَذَا الحُمَّاتَ وَكَانَ
كَذَلِكَ وَأَنَا صَدَنِي فِي أَوْلَى الْأَمَرِ عَن أَنْ أَيْبَتْ الْنُّقُولُ بَأَنَّهُ خَرَاجًا
فِي صَلَاةٍ أَنَّهُ كَانَ يُحَمِّرْ قِبْلَ ذَلِكَ حَمَّاتٌ غَبِ وَحُمَّاتٌ أَخْرَجَ فِي
لَلظَّنِّ بِأَنَّ ذَلِكَ الحُمَّاتُ المَخْلَطَةُ مِنْ اِحْتِرَاْتٍ تُرِيدُ أَنْ تُصِبِّرُ رِبَعًا
مَوْضُعًا اِفْقِدٍ وَلَا يُشْكُّ أَنْ فَقْطُهُ شَهِيْ ثَقِل مَعْتَقٌ مِنْهُ اذَا قَامَ وَ
أُعْقِلْ أَنَا أَيْضًا أَنْ أَسْتَلِعُ عَنْهُ وَقَدْ كَانَ كَثِيرَةُ الْبُولِ يَقُوَى ظَلَّ
بِالخَرَاجِ فِي الصَّلَاةِ إِلَّا أَنْ يُصْنِّفُ لَا أَعْلَمُ أَنَّ أَيَّامٍ أَيْضًا ضَعِيفٌ
الْحُمَّاتُ يَعْتَرِيهِ هَذَا الْدَّاءُ وَهُوَ أَيْضًا أَقَ كَانَ يُعْتِرِهُ فِي صَحْحَتِهِ
فِي نِبِيِّ أَنَّهُ لَا يَفْعَلُ بَعْدَ ذَلِكَ غَاْيَةَ الْتَفْصِّيْلَ أَنْ شَاءَ اللَّهُ وَلَمْ يَلْبِثِ
الْحُمَّاتُ أَيْضًا عِلْمًا بِذَا يُدْرَيْ الْبُولُ حَتَّى صَفَا الْبُولُ مِنْ الْمِهَا طَرِّيسَتَهُ
بَعْدَ ذَلِكَ الطَّيِّبُ مَكْتُومٌ وَالْكَنْدَرُ وَذَمْمِ الدُّخُولِ وَتَحْلُّقُ مِنْ عَلْهِ
وَبِرْأُ بِرْأٍ تَأْمَّلًا سَرِيعًا فِي نَجْحٍ مِنْ شَهِيْ مَعْتَقٌ وَكَانَ الخَرَاجُ صَغِيرًا وَ
أَمَّلَةٌ مِنْ قُصُصِ الْمَرْضِيْ وَحَكَيَاتٌ لَا هَلْتُ نَوَاءٌ
١ أَحْكَمَ
Abdu'llah ibn Sawâda used to suffer from attacks of mixed fever, sometimes quotidian, sometimes tertian, sometimes quartan, and sometimes recurring once in six days. These attacks were preceded by a slight rigor, and micturition was very frequent. I gave it as my opinion that either these accesses of fever would turn into quartan, or that there was ulceration of the kidneys. Only a short while elapsed ere the patient passed pus in his urine. I thereupon informed him that these feverish attacks would not recur, and so it was.

"The only thing which prevented me at first from giving it as my definite opinion that the patient was suffering from ulceration of the kidneys was that he had previously suffered from tertian and other mixed types of fever, and this to some extent confirmed my suspicion that this mixed fever might be from inflammatory processes which would tend to become quartan when they waxed stronger.

"Moreover the patient did not complain to me that his loins felt like a weight depending from him when he stood up; and I neglected to ask him about this. The frequent micturition also should have strengthened my suspicion of ulceration of the kidneys, but I did not know that his father suffered from weakness of the bladder and was subject to this complaint, and it used likewise to come upon him when he was healthy¹, and it ought not to be the case henceforth, till the end of his life, if God will.

"So when he passed the pus I administered to him diuretics until the urine became free from pus, after which I treated him with terra sigillata, Boswellia thurifera, and Dragon's Blood, and his sickness departed from him, and he was quickly and completely cured in about two months. That the ulceration was slight was indicated to me by the fact that he did not complain to me at first of weight in the loins. After he had passed pus, however, I enquired of him whether he had experienced this symptom, and he replied in the affirmative. Had the

¹ *I.e.* before he suffered from fever.
‘Ālī ibnu’l-‘Abbās ("Haly Abbas")

ulceration been extensive, he would of his own accord have complained of this symptom. And that the pus was evacuated quickly indicated a limited ulceration. The other physicians whom he consulted besides myself, however, did not understand the case at all, even after the patient had passed pus in his urine."

In spite of several difficulties, both verbal and material, which I have not yet been able to solve to my satisfaction, the general nature of this case seems fairly clear. The patient suffered from intermittent and irregular attacks of fever preceded by slight rigors, which, in a land infested with ague, were diagnosed and treated as malarial, though really septic in origin. Rāzī himself at first took this view, but subsequently, observing the presence of pus in the urine, diagnosed the case as one of pyelitis, and treated it accordingly with success.

We now come to the third name in our list, ‘Ālī ibnu’l-‘Abbās, known in Europe in the Middle Ages as "Haly Abbas," of whose Kitābu’l-Malikī, or "Liber Regius," the Latin translation by "Stephen the Philosopher," with annotations by Michael de Capella, was printed at Lyons in 1523. The notice of him given by al-Qiftī¹ is so short that it may be translated in full:

"'Ālī ibnu’l-‘Abbās al-Majūsī (the Magian or Zoroastrian), an accomplished and perfect physician of Persian origin, known as 'the son of the Magian.' He studied with a Persian professor (Shaykh) known as Abū Māhir [Mūsā ibn Sayyār], and also studied and worked by himself, and acquainted himself with the writings of the ancients. He composed for the King 'Aḍudu’d-Dawla Fanākusraw the Buwayhid² his System of Medicine entitled al-Malikī ("the Royal"), which is a splendid work and a noble thesaurus comprehending the science and practice of Medicine, admirably arranged.

¹ p. 232.  
² Reigned 949–982.
It enjoyed great popularity in its day and was diligently studied, until the appearance of Avicenna’s Qānūn, which usurped its popularity and caused the Malikt to be somewhat neglected. The latter excels on the practical and the former on the scientific side.”

The Fiḥrist no longer serves us, as it was completed at a date antecedent to that of which we are now speaking, and the only important particular added by Ibn Abī Uṣaybi‘a¹ is that ‘Alī ibn ‘l-‘Abbās was a native of Ahwāz in S.W. Persia, not far from the once great medical school of Jundī-Shāpūr of which so much was said in the last lecture; while his nisba or title of al-Majūsī indicates that his father or grandfather originally belonged to the old Persian religion of Zoroaster. Neither he nor his master Abū Māhir wrote much; the Malikt is the only work ascribed to him by the biographers, though Brockelmann² mentions a ms. at Gotha containing another medical treatise attributed to him, while only two works by his master are mentioned, a treatise on phlebotomy, and a supplement to one of Ishāq ibn Ḥunayn’s smaller manuals on practical Medicine.

Although we know no more of the life of ‘Alī ibn ‘l-‘Abbās than the meagre details just mentioned, and of his date only that he was contemporary with the great and enlightened ‘Aḍudu’d-Dawla, the founder of the ‘Aḍudī Hospital at Baghdad, who flourished in the latter half of the tenth century, his work, the Malikt or “Liber Regius,” is the most accessible and most readable of the great Arabic Systems of Medicine, since an excellent edition in two volumes was printed at Cairo in 1294/1877, and the Latin version, though rare, is

Al-Kitábu’l-Maliki ("Liber Regius")

Fortunately not included amongst the Incunabula, and can therefore be borrowed from the libraries which possess it. The Arabic text comprises some 400,000 words, and is divided into 20 Discourses, each subdivided into numerous chapters, of which the first ten deal with the theory, and the second ten with the practice of Medicine. The second and third of these Discourses, dealing with Anatomy, have been published with a French translation by Dr P. de Koning (Leyden, 1903) in his *Trois Traités d’Anatomie Arabes* (pp. 90–431). The nineteenth Discourse, containing 110 chapters, is devoted entirely to Surgery.

The introductory portion of the book, comprising the first three chapters of the first Discourse, is very well written and very interesting, especially the criticism of previous works on Medicine. Of the Greek physicians he discusses especially Hippocrates, Galen, Oribasius and Paul of Ægina; of the Syrians and Muslims, Ahrún the Priest, Yuḥanná ibn Serapion, and ar-Rází. He finds Hippocrates too concise and hence sometimes obscure, and Galen too diffuse; he criticizes Oribasius and Paul of Ægina for omitting or dealing inadequately with Anatomy, Surgery, Natural Philosophy, Humoral Pathology, and the Ætiology of disease. Of the moderns he finds the work of Ahrún alone adequate in its scope, but complains of the badness and obscurity of the Arabic translation. Ibn Serapion, he says, ignores Surgery, omits all mention of many important diseases which he enumerates, including Aneurism, and arranges his materials badly and unsystematically. I have already alluded to his observations on the enormous size and prolixity of Rází’s “Continens,” which placed it beyond the reach of all save the very wealthy, and so led to an

extreme scarcity of manuscripts, even within a short time of the author’s death, while Râzî’s other and better-known work the Mansûrî he finds unduly concise. He then explains the plan of his own book, in which he seeks to find a via media between undue conciseness and prolixity, and illustrates his method by a specimen description of pleurisy. He begins with the definition of the disease and its aetiology; then proceeds to the four constant symptoms, fever, cough, pain and dyspnoea; whence he passes to the prognosis, and especially the indications furnished by the sputa, and concludes with the treatment. His remarks at the end of this chapter on the importance of regular attendance at the hospitals are worth quoting.

“And of those things which are incumbent on the student of this Art are that he should constantly attend the hospitals and sick-houses; pay unremitting attention to the conditions and circumstances of their inmates, in company with the most acute professors of Medicine; and enquire frequently as to the state of the patients and the symptoms apparent in them, bearing in mind what he has read about these variations, and what they indicate of good or evil. If he does this, he will reach a high degree in this Art. Therefore it behoves him who desires to be an accomplished physician to follow closely these injunctions, to form his character in accordance with what we have mentioned therein, and not to neglect them. If he does this, his treatment of the sick will be successful; people will have confidence in him and be favourably disposed towards him, and he will win their affection and respect and a good reputa-

1 Vol. i, p. 9. The corresponding passage in the Latin translation occurs in the upper part of the left-hand column of f. 7b of the Lyons edition of A.D. 1523.
Fees received by leading Physicians

ition; nor withal will he lack profit and advantage from them. And God Most High knoweth best."

In connection with the concluding words of the above extract, something may be said here as to the fees earned by one of the most eminent physicians under the early ‘Abbásid Caliphs, viz. Jibrá’il ibn Bukht-Yishú‘, who died about A.D. 830. According to al-Qifti1 he received out of the public funds a monthly salary of 10,000 dirhams, and from the Privy Purse 50,000 dirhams at the beginning of each year, besides clothes to the value of 10,000 dirhams. For bleeding the Caliph Hárúnu’r-Rashíd twice a year he was paid 100,000 dirhams, and an equal sum for administering a biennial purgative draught. From the nobles of the Court he received in cash and kind 400,000 dirhams a year, and from the great Barmecide family 1,400,000 dirhams. According to al-Qifti’s computation, the total amount which he received in these ways, apart from what he earned privately from lesser patients, during his 23 years’ service of Hárúnu’r-Rashíd and his 13 years’ service of the Barmecides, amounted to 88,800,000 dirhams, a sum equivalent, if we accept von Kremer’s2 estimate of the dirham as roughly equivalent to a franc, to more than three and a half million pounds sterling.

I come now to the last and most famous of the four Persian physicians of whom I propose to speak to-day, viz. Avicenna, or, to give him his correct name, Abú ‘Alí Husayn ibn ‘Abdu’lláh ibn Siná, generally entitled ash-Shaykh ‘r-Ra’ís, the "Chief Master," or al-Mu ‘allimu’th-Thání, the "Second Teacher," to wit after Aristotle. The difficulty here is to decide what to say

1 pp. 142–3.
out of so much that deserves mention, for in Avicenna, philosopher, physician, poet and man of affairs, the so-called Arabian science culminates, and is, as it were, personified. In the limits prescribed to me it would be impossible to enumerate his multitudinous writings on philosophy and science, or to narrate the details of a life of which he himself kept a record, still preserved to us, up to his twenty-first year, and of which the remainder has been recorded by his pupil and friend Abú ‘Ubayd of Júzján. His father, an adherent of the Isma‘ílí sect, was from Balkh and his mother from a village near Bukhárá, and he was born about A.D. 980. At the age of ten he was already proficient in the Qur‘án and the Arabic classics. During the six succeeding years he devoted himself to Muslim Jurisprudence, Philosophy and Natural Science, and studied Logic, Euclid, the Ἐνδογραφή, and the Almagest. He turned his attention to Medicine at the age of sixteen, and found it “not difficult,” but was greatly troubled by metaphysical problems, until, by a fortunate chance, he obtained possession of a small and cheap manual by the celebrated philosopher al-Fárábí, which solved his difficulties. When he was not much more than eighteen years old his reputation as a physician was such that he was summoned to attend the Sámani ruler Núh ibn Mansúr (reigned A.D. 976–997), who, in gratitude for his services, allowed him to make free use of the royal library, which contained many rare and even unique books. This library was subsequently destroyed by fire, and Avicenna’s detractors did not scruple to assert that he himself had purposely burned it so as to enjoy a monopoly of the learning he had derived from it. At the age of twenty-one he lost his father, and about the same time composed his first book. He entered the
service of ‘Alí ibn Ma‘mún, the ruler of Khwárazm or Khiva, for a while, but ultimately fled thence to avoid the attempt of Sultán Mahmúd of Ghazna to kidnap him. After many wanderings he came to Jurján, attracted by the fame of its ruler Qábús as a patron of learning, but the deposition and murder of that prince almost coincided with his arrival, and he bitterly exclaimed in a poem which he composed on this occasion:

لَيْنَا عَظِمْنِ اللَّهُ مُصْرِفاً، لَيْنَا غَلَابُنِی عَدْمُتُ البَشْرِی

“When I became great no country had room for me; When my price went up I lacked a purchaser.”

Such a “purchaser,” however, he ultimately found in the Amír Shamsu’d-Dawla of Hamadán, whom he cured of the colic, and who made him his Prime Minister. A mutiny of the soldiers against him caused his dismissal and imprisonment, but subsequently the Amír, being again attacked by the colic, summoned him back, apologized to him, and reinstated him. His life at this time was extraordinarily strenuous; all day he was busy with the Amír’s service, while a great part of the night was passed in lecturing and dictating notes for his books, with intervals of wine-drinking and minstrelsy. After many vicissitudes, which time forbids me to enumerate, but which are minutely chronicled by his faithful friend and disciple Abú ‘Ubayd of Júzján, Avicenna, worn out by hard work and hard living, died in 428/1036–7 at the comparatively early age of 58. In his last illness he treated himself unsuccessfully, so that it was said by his detractors that neither could his physic save his body nor his metaphysics his soul.

1 The verses in question are given by Ibn Abí Uṣaybi’á (Tabaqátull-Aṭibá), vol. ii, p. 6), and in the notes to my forthcoming translation of the Chahár Maqála (“E. J. W. Gibb Memorial” Series, vol. xi. 2, p. 156).
His writings were numerous and in many cases voluminous, some of his major works comprising as many as twenty volumes. The professedly complete list of them given by al-Qifti\(^1\) includes the titles of 21 major and 24 minor works on philosophy, medicine, theology, geometry, astronomy, philology and the like. Most of these are in Arabic; but in Persian, his native language, he wrote one large book, a manual of philosophical sciences entitled Dānish-nāma-i-ʿAlāʿ (represented by a ms. in the British Museum\(^2\)), and a small treatise on the Pulse. The list given by Brockelmann in his Geschichte der Arabischen Litteratur (vol. i, pp. 452–458), which includes only extant works, is, however, much more extensive than al-Qifti’s, and comprises 68 books on theology and metaphysics, 11 on astronomy and natural philosophy, 16 on medicine, and 4 in verse, 99 books in all. His most celebrated Arabic poem is that describing the descent of the Soul into the Body from the Higher Sphere (البَحْرُ الأَوْقَعٌ) which is its home, a poem of real beauty, of which a translation is given in my Literary History of Persia (vol. ii, pp. 110–111). The industry of the late Dr Ethé has also collected from various biographical works 15 short Persian poems, mostly quatrains, comprising in all some forty verses, which are ascribed to Avicenna. Of these the best known is commonly, but probably falsely, ascribed to ʿUmar Khayyám, at least one fifth of whose reputed quatrains are attributed on as good or better evidence to other people. The quatrain in question is the one translated by FitzGerald:

\(^1\) Ed. Lippert, p. 418.
\(^2\) Or. 16, 830. See Rieu’s Pers. Cat., pp. 433–4. Mr A. G. Ellis has called my attention to a lithographed edition of this work, published in India in 1309/1891.
Avicenna's Poems

"Up from Earth's Centre through the Seventh Gate
I rose, and on the Throne of Saturn sate,
And many a knot unravelled by the Road,
But not the Master-knot of Human Fate."

The original, as given in the Majma‘ul-Fuṣahā, runs as follows:

أز قعب كله سباه تا اوج حيل.
كودم هيه شكلات كتيه حيل.
بيرون جستير زفيد هرمك وحيل.
هر بند كشذه شد مكرو بجاح.

Of Avicenna's medical works exactly half, viz. 8, are versified treatises on such matters as the 25 signs indicating the fatal termination of illnesses, hygienic precepts, proved remedies, anatomical memoranda, and the like. One or two of them have been published in the East, but I have not seen them. I imagine, however, that they are of little value either as verse or as science. Of his prose works, after the great Qānūn, the treatise on Cardiac Drugs (الدوية الغليبة), of which the British Museum possesses several fine old manuscripts, is probably the most important, but it remains unpublished, and is inaccessible beyond the walls of that and a few other great public libraries.

The Qānūn is, of course, by far the largest, the most famous, and the most important of Avicenna's medical works, and at the same time the most accessible, both in the original Arabic and in the Latin translation of Gerard of Cremona. There is a modern Egyptian edition of the Arabic text, besides the Roman edition of A.D. 1593; and a fine Venetian translation into Latin published in 1544. The work contains not much less than a million words, and, like most Arabic books, is

---

1 Vol. i, p. 68.
2 Berlin, Gotha, Leyden, and the Escorial.
elaborately divided and subdivided. The main division is into five Books, of which the first treats of general principles; the second of simple drugs arranged alphabetically; the third of diseases of particular organs and members of the body, from the head to the feet; the fourth of diseases which, though local and partial in their inception, tend to spread to other parts of the body, such as fevers; and the fifth of compound medicines. These descriptions are in fact very inadequate. Thus Book iv treats not only of fevers, but of critical days, prognosis, tumours and ulcers, fractures, dislocations and toxicology.

I had intended to discuss this great and celebrated book more fully than the time at my disposal to-day actually allows, but this is of the less consequence inasmuch as the College has done me the honour of inviting me to deliver the FitzPatrick lectures again next year, when I hope to recur to it in connection with the topics of which I shall then have to treat. Its encyclopaedic character, its systematic arrangement, its philosophic plan, perhaps even its dogmatism, combined with the immense reputation of its author in other fields besides Medicine, raised it to a unique position in the medical literature of the Muslim world, so that the earlier works of ar-Rází and al-Majúsí, in spite of their undoubted merits, were practically abrogated by it, and it is still regarded in the East by the followers of the old Greek Medicine, the Tibb-i-Yúnâni, as the last appeal on all matters connected with the healing art. In proof of this statement, and to show the extraordinary reverence in which Avicenna is held, I will conclude with a quotation from that pleasant work the Chahár Maqâla, or “Four Discourses,” composed in Persian in the middle of the twelfth century of our era, and dealing with four classes
of men, to wit Secretaries of State, Poets, Astrologers and Physicians, deemed by the author, Niẓám-i-‘Arūḍí of Samarqand, indispensable for the service of kings. After enumerating a number of books which should be diligently studied by him who aspires to eminence in Medicine, the author says that if he desires to be independent of all other works he may rest satisfied with the Qánún, and thus continues: “The Lord of the two Worlds and Guide of the two Material Races saith: ‘Every kind of game is comprehended in the Wild Ass.’ All this, together with much more, is to be found in the Qánún, and from him who hath mastered the first volume thereof nothing will be hidden concerning the general theory and principles of Medicine, so that could Hippocrates and Galen return to life, it would be proper that they should do reverence to this book. Yet have I heard a wonderful thing, to wit that one hath taken exception to Abú ‘Alí [Avicenna] in respect to this work, and hath embodied his criticisms in a book which he hath entitled the Rectification of the Qánún. It is as though I looked upon both, and saw how foolish is the author and how detestable his work. For what right hath anyone to find fault with so great a man, when the very first question he meets with in a book of his which he comes across is difficult to his comprehension? For four thousand years the physicians of antiquity travailed in spirit and spent their very souls in order to reduce the science of

Philosophy to some fixed order, yet could they not effect this; until after the lapse of this period that pure philosopher and most great thinker Aristotle weighed out this coin in the balance of Logic, assayed it with the touch-stone of Definitions, and measured it with the measure of Analogy, so that all doubt and uncertainty departed from it, and it was established on a sure and critical basis. And during these fifteen centuries which have elapsed since his time, no philosopher has won to the inmost essence of his doctrine, nor travelled the high road of his pre-eminence save that most excellent of the moderns, the Philosopher of the East, the Proof of God to mankind, Abú ‘Alí Ḥusayn ibn ‘Abdu'lláh ibn Siná [Avicenna]. Whosoever, therefore, finds fault with these two great men will have cast himself out from the fellowship of the wise, ranked himself with madmen, and revealed himself as fit company only for fools. May God by His Grace and Favour keep us from such stumblings and vain imaginings!”
LECTURE III

Before proceeding further with my subject, it may, perhaps, be well that I should recapitulate very briefly the main points I endeavoured to establish in the two lectures which I had the honour of delivering before you last year. I pointed out that the term “Arabian Medicine” (to which “Islamic Medicine” would be preferable) can be justified only if we regard the language which serves as its vehicle, and the auspices under which it was evolved; that it was an eclectic synthesis of more ancient systems, chiefly Greek, but in a lesser degree Indian and old Persian, with a tincture of other exotic systems less easily to be identified; and that the Medicine of the Arabian people at the time of their Prophet’s advent, that is in the early seventh century of the Christian era, was, as it continues to be, of the most primitive type. In this connection I referred to the observations of Dr Zwemer in his Arabia, the Cradle of Islam, and I must now add a reference to a very interesting little book in Arabic by an Egyptian doctor, ‘Abdu’r-Rahmān Efendi Isma‘īl, published at Cairo in 1892 or 1893, on the popular medicine and medical superstitions of his countrymen, and, still more, of his countrywomen. This system, if such it can be called, is entitled Tibbu’r-Rukka¹, roughly equivalent in meaning to “Old Wives’ Medicine,” and is fiercely exposed and denounced by the author, who regards its survival until

¹ On the word Rukka, which is apparently borrowed from the Italian rocco, see an interesting observation by Vollers in vol. xxi of the Z. D. M. G. (1897), p. 322.
the present day in a country like Egypt, supposed to be in touch with modern enlightenment, as an abomination.

In the development of Arabian Medicine in the wider sense, that is to say, the adaptation of ancient Greek Medicine to the general system of civilization and science eclectically built up by Muslim scholars and thinkers during the "Golden Prime" of the Caliphate of Baghdad, namely from the middle of the eighth century of our era onwards, I distinguished two periods, that of the translation into Arabic of the masterpiece of Greek medical literature, destined to form the basis of further study; and that of the Arabic-speaking or at any rate Arabic-writing physicians (many of whom were Jews, Christians, Sabaeans and even Zoroastrians), who, checking or modifying this material in the light of their own experience, produced more or less independent works. Of these I briefly discussed four of the most notable who flourished in Persia between A.D. 850 and A.D. 1036, the year in which died Abû 'Alî ibn Sinâ, familiar to the West as Avicenna, the three others being 'Alî ibn Rabban, who composed his "Paradise of Wisdom" for the Caliph al-Mutawakkil in A.D. 850; Abû Bakr Muhammad ibn Zakariyyâ ar-Râzî, familiar to medieval Europe as Rhazes; and 'Alî ibnu'l-'Abbás al-Majúsî, called by the Latino-Barbari of the Middle Ages "Haly Abbas." I briefly described four of the chief works of these four great physicians, namely the "Paradise of Wisdom" (which, from its extreme rarity, has hitherto remained unnoticed outside the Arabic Catalogues of the British Museum and Berlin); the Háwî or "Continens"; the Kâmilu'ş-Şînâ'ât or "Liber Regius"; and the Qânûn or "Canon of Medicine" of Avicenna. I further expressed my agreement with the view, advanced by Neuburger, Pagel and other historians
Early Muslims’ Love of Learning

of Medicine, that, notwithstanding the greater celebrity achieved by Avicenna, Rázi, by virtue of his clinical observations (some of which are preserved to us in a manuscript volume of the Ḥawāt in the Bodleian Library¹), deserves to rank highest of the four, and perhaps of all the physicians produced by Islám during the thirteen centuries of its existence. To his work, and to that of the three other physicians just mentioned, I would gladly recur, should the brief time at my disposal allow, but other matters connected with the history, literature and status of Medicine in the Muslim world demand prior consideration, so that the whole field may be surveyed before any attempt is made to fill in details.

It has been already pointed out that the Muslims were rather the faithful transmitters of the ancient learning of Greece than the creators of a new system. Withington, in his excellent little Medical History², puts the case so well that I cannot do better than quote his words. “This display of physical vigour,” he says, after describing the wonderful conquests of the Arabs in the seventh century, “was followed by an intellectual activity hardly less wonderful. A Byzantine emperor was astonished to find that the right of collecting and purchasing Greek manuscripts was among the terms dictated by a victorious barbarian, and that an illustrated copy of Dioscorides was the most acceptable present he could offer to a friendly chieftain. The philosophers of Constantinople were amazed by the appearance of Muslim writers whom they styled with reluctant admiration ‘learned savages,’ while the less cultured Christians soon came to look upon the wisdom of the Saracens as something more than human. It was this

¹ Marsh 156, ff. 239 b–246 a. See pp. 50–3 supra.
people who now took from the hands of unworthy suc-
cessors of Galen and Hippocrates the flickering torch
of Greek medicine. They failed to restore its ancient
splendour, but they at least prevented its extinction, and
they handed it back after five centuries burning more
brightly than before."

"Five centuries," however, is an over-statement,
for while Avicenna was still in the prime of life there
was born in North Africa, probably in Tunis, a man
of whose biography little is known, but who was destined
to become famous, under the name of Constantinus Afri-
canus, as the first to make known to Western Europe
the learning of the Arabs through the medium of the
Latin tongue. He attached himself to the celebrated
medical school of Salerno—the "Civitas Hippocratica"—
and died at Monte Casino, after a life of great literary
activity, about A.D. 1087, exactly a century before the
still more famous Oriental scholar and translator Gerard
of Cremona. To these two, and to the Jewish physician
Faraj ibn Sālim (Fararius or Faragut), who completed
his translation of the "Continens" of Rāzi in A.D. 1279,
medieval Europe was chiefly indebted for its knowledge
of Arabian Medicine.

The transmission of ideas between East and West
was effected, however, through other than literary
channels. However great may have been the bitterness
of feeling on both sides associated with the Crusades, it
is astonishing how much friendly intercourse took place
in the intervals of fighting between the Crusaders and
their Saracen antagonists. Amongst many somewhat
arid chronicles there has been preserved to us, and

1 See an article on his work in vol. xxxvii (pp. 351–410) of Vir-chow's Archiv (Berlin, 1866) by that most erudite Orientalist Moritz
Steinschneider.
rendered available by M. Hartwig Derenbourg in the original Arabic accompanied by a French translation, the illuminating memoirs of a Saracen Amir named Usáma ibn Munqidh, who flourished in Syria in the twelfth century, and spent most of his life in fighting the Franks. He was born in A.D. 1095, the very year in which the Crusaders captured Antioch and Jerusalem, and died in A.D. 1188. It was during a temporary lull in the fighting between A.D. 1140 and 1143 that his intercourse with the Franks chiefly fell. In his discursive but entertaining memoirs he discusses many of their customs and characteristics which seemed to him curious or entertaining, and amongst other matters relates several strange stories about their medical practice. At the request of the Frankish Warden of the Castle of Munayțira in the Lebanon, Usáma’s uncle sent his Christian physician Thábit to treat certain persons who lay sick there. Ten days later Thábit returned, and was greeted with congratulations on the rapidity with which he had cured his patients. For these congratulations, however, there was, as he explained, no occasion. On his arrival they introduced to him two patients, a man suffering from an abscess in the leg, and a consumptive woman. These he proceeded to treat, the first by poultices, the second by suitable diet and drugs. Both were progressing satisfactorily when a Frankish doctor intervened, and, denouncing the treatment pursued as useless, turned to the male patient and asked him whether he would prefer to die with two legs or to live with one. The patient expressed his preference for the second alternative, whereupon the Frankish doctor summoned a stalwart

1 Leroux, Paris, 1886–1893.
2 These will be found on pp. 97–101 of the Arabic text and pp. 491–4 of the French translation.
man-at-arms with an axe, and bade him chop off the patient’s leg at one blow. This he failed to do, and at the second blow the marrow was crushed out of the bone and the patient almost immediately expired. The Frankish doctor then turned his attention to the woman, and, after examining her, declared her to be possessed of a devil which was located in her head. He ordered her hair to be shaved off and that she should return to the ordinary diet of her compatriots, garlic and oil; and when she grew worse he made a deep cruciform incision on her head, exposing the bone, and rubbed salt into the wound, whereupon the woman also expired. “After this,” concluded Thábit, “I asked if my services were any longer required, and, receiving a negative answer, returned home, having learned of their medical practice what had hitherto been unknown to me.”

Usáma relates another similar anecdote on the authority of Guillaume de Bures\(^1\), with whom he travelled from Acre to Tiberias. “There was with us in our country,” said Guillaume, “a very doughty knight, who fell ill and was at the point of death. As a last resource we applied to a Christian priest of great authority and entrusted the patient to him, saying, ‘Come with us to examine such-and-such a knight.’ He agreed and set off with us. Our belief was that he had only to lay hands upon him to cure him. As soon as the priest saw the patient, he said, ‘Bring me wax.’ We brought him some, and he softened it and made [two plugs] like the joints of a finger, each of which he thrust into one of the patient’s nostrils; whereupon he expired. ‘He is dead,’ we exclaimed. ‘Yes,’ replied the priest; ‘he was suffering, and I plugged his nostrils so that he might die and be at peace!’”

Medicine amongst the Crusaders

To the Arabs of that period, then, as we can well understand, Frankish medicine appeared most barbarous and primitive compared with their own; and it is not surprising that, when Usáma was himself attacked by a chill accompanied by rigors at Shayzar, he preferred the services of an Arab physician, Shaykh Abu'l-Wafá Tamím, to those of a Frankish doctor¹. Yet, in justice to the Franks, he relates two cases of successful treatment by their medical practitioners; one of a certain Bernard, treasurer to Count Foulques of Anjou, whom Usáma describes as “one of the most accursed of the Franks and the foulest of them,” whose death he earnestly desired and prayed for²; and the other of the scrofulous child of an Arab artisan named Abu'l-Fath³. The former suffered from an injury to the leg caused by a kick from his horse, and fourteen incisions had been made which refused to heal until the Frankish doctor finally consulted removed all the ointments and plasters which had been applied to the wounds, and bathed them with very strong vinegar, as a result of which treatment they gradually healed, and the patient, to quote Usáma’s expression, “was cured and arose like the Devil,” or, as we should say, ready for any fresh mischief. The scrofulous boy had been taken to Antioch by his father, who had business there, and aroused the compassion of a Frank with whom they foregathered. “Swear to me by thy faith,” said he to the father, “that, if I impart to thee a remedy to heal him, thou wilt accept no pecuniary recompense from anyone whom thou mayst treat therewith, and I will give thee the recipe.” The father gave the required

assurance, and was instructed to take unpounded soda, heat it and mix it with olive oil and strong vinegar, and apply the mixture to the strumous ulcers in the child’s neck, this to be followed by the application of what Usáma calls “burnt lead” mixed with butter or grease. The boy, we read, was cured, and the same treatment was subsequently employed with success in other cases.

The above anecdotes do not exhaust the medical material contained in these interesting memoirs. There was a somewhat notable Arab Christian physician named Ibn Buṭlán who died about A.D. 1063, and was the author of numerous medical works (enumerated by Leclerc⁴ and Brockelmann⁵), of the most celebrated of which, the Taqvimu’s-Sīḥa, a Latin translation entitled Taquini Sanitatis was printed at Strassburg in A.D. 1531 (or 1532). A copy of this work is included amongst the Arabic mss. of this college. Ibn Buṭlán, in the course of his extensive travels, was for a time in attendance on Usáma’s great-grandfather at Shayzar, and our author records some of the anecdotes about him still current in the household when he was young. One of these is of a dropsical man whose case Ibn Buṭlán gave up as hopeless, and whom he subsequently met completely cured of his malady. In reply to enquiries as to the treatment which had proved so successful, the man declared no one had attempted to do anything to alleviate his misery except his old mother, who had daily given him a piece of bread soaked in vinegar which she took from a jar. Ibn Buṭlán asked to see the jar, poured out the remains of the vinegar, and discovered at the bottom two vipers which had fallen into it and become partly macerated or dissolved. “O my

son,” he exclaimed, “none but God, mighty and glorious is He, could have cured thee with a decoction of vipers in vinegar!"

On another occasion a man came to Ibn Buṭlàn in his surgery at Aleppo complaining of hoarseness and complete loss of voice, and stating in reply to enquiries as to his occupation that he was a sifter of earth. Ibn Buṭlàn made him drink half a pint of strong vinegar, whereupon he was presently seized with vomiting and threw up a quantity of mud with the vinegar, after which his throat was cleared and his speech became normal. Ibn Buṭlàn said to his son and his pupils who were present, “Treat no one with this remedy or you will kill him. As for this man, some of the dust from the sieve had stuck in his gullet and nothing but vinegar could have dislodged it.”

I have already observed how general was the interest taken in medical topics in the medieval Muslim world. A very popular branch of literature, both in Arabic and Persian, was constituted by collections of strange and quaint anecdotes, called Nawâdir, in which the historical or quasi-historical stories are grouped under appropriate headings. In such books a special section is often devoted to Medicine and Physicians. The material thus afforded, though it has not hitherto attracted much attention, appears to me worthy of some notice.

One of the older Arabic books of this sort is a work entitled al-Faraj ba’da’sh Shidda ("Joy after Sorrow," or better, perhaps, "Relief after Distress") by the Qâdî Abu ‘Alî at-Tanûkhi, who was born in A.D. 939 and

died in A.D. 994. This book was printed in Cairo in 1903–4 in two volumes. It comprises 14 chapters, of which the tenth (pp. 94–104 of vol. ii) deals with remarkable cases and contains 15 anecdotes, some of which are trivial or disgusting, while others are of considerable interest. Two of them, which I shall notice first, are connected with the great physician Abú Bakr Muhammad ibn Zakariyyá ar-Rázi (Rhazes) of whom I spoke last year in the second of my two lectures, and with whom our author was almost contemporary.

The first of these is about a young man of Bagdád who came to Rhazes complaining of haematemeses. Careful examination failed to reveal the cause or explain the symptom. The patient was in despair, believing that where Rhazes failed, none could succeed. Rhazes, touched alike by his distress and his faith, then proceeded to question him very carefully as to the water he had drunk on his journey, and ascertained that in some cases it had been drawn from stagnant ponds. “When I come to-morrow,” said he to the patient, “I will treat you, and not leave you until you are cured, on condition that you will order your servants to obey me in all that I command them concerning thee.” The patient gave the required promise, and Rhazes returned next day with two vessels filled with a water-weed called in Arabic Tuhlub and in Persian Jáma-i-Ghúk (“Frog’s coat”) or Pashm-i-Wazagh² (“Frog’s wool”), which he ordered the patient to swallow. The patient, having swallowed a considerable quantity, declared

¹ Vol. ii, p. 96. The story is also given by Ibn Abi Uṣaybi‘a, vol. i, pp. 311–312.
² Identified by Achundow (pp. 231 and 383) with *Lemna* or *Herba Lentis Palustris*, the φακός of Dioscorides, in German Wasserlinde. At the present day it is called by the Persians *Jal-i Wazagh*. 
Celebrated Cures of Rhazes

himself unable to take any more, whereupon Rhazes ordered the servants to hold him on his back on the ground and open his mouth, into which he continued to cram more and more of the nauseous substance until violent vomiting ensued. Examination of the vomit revealed a leech which was the source of the trouble, and with the expulsion of which the patient regained his health. This same anecdote occurs in the Persian collection of stories by ‘Awfi of which I shall shortly speak, and it is there added that the leech when swallowed in the drinking-water had attached itself to the mouth of the patient’s stomach and there remained until induced to transfer itself to the more congenial water-weed.

In the next anecdote Rhazes is represented as describing the case of a dropsical boy whose father consulted him at Bistâm in N.E. Persia as he was returning from his celebrated cure of the Amîr of Khurâsân, for whom he composed his “Liber Almansoris.” Rhazes declared the case to be hopeless, and advised the father to let his son eat and drink whatever he pleased. Twelve months later he returned to the same town, and, to his great astonishment, found the boy completely restored to health. On enquiring how this had come about, he was told that the boy, despairing of health and life, and wishing to put an end to his existence, had one day observed a great snake approach a bowl of madîra (a kind of broth prepared with sour milk) which was standing on the ground, drink some of it, and then vomit into the rest, which

shortly changed colour. Thinking to put an end to his life with this poisonous mixture he consumed the greater part of it, after which he fell into a deep sleep, from which he awoke in a copious perspiration, and, after violent purging, found that he was quit of his dropsy and his appetite had returned.

A third anecdote similar to the last, related by a man named Abú ‘Ali ‘Umar ibn Yahyá al-‘Alawí, concerns a fellow-pilgrim from Kúfa who suffered from dropsy and was kidnapped with his camel by Arab marauders. One day his captors entered the hut where he was lying, bringing some snakes which they had caught, and which they proceeded to roast and eat after they had cut off their heads and tails. He, hoping that this unaccustomed food would poison him, craved a portion and ate it, when, after experiencing precisely the same symptoms as the sufferer mentioned in the last story, he similarly found himself cured of his sickness.

A fourth anecdote is of a boy who suffered from violent pain and throbbing in the stomach for which no cause or cure could be found, though he was examined by many physicians of Ahwáz in S.W. Persia, a well-known town situated near the once famous medical school of Jundí-Shápúr, of which I spoke in a previous lecture. Finally he was sent home, and there a passing physician, not named, cross-examined him at length and discovered that his ailment dated from a day when he had eaten pomegranates stored in a cow-house. The physician next day brought him broth made with the flesh of a fat puppy, and bade him take as much as he could of it, while refusing to make known its nature. Then he gave him to eat a quantity of melon, and two hours later beer mixed with hot

1 *Al-Faraj*, vol. ii, p. 100.  
water, after which he informed him how the broth had been prepared. Thereupon the patient was violently sick, and in his vomit the physician presently discovered "a black thing like a large date-stone which moved," and which proved to be a sheep- or cattle-tick which had entered the pomegranate, been accidentally swallowed by the boy, and attached itself to the coats of his stomach, from which, like the leech in a previous anecdote, it was induced to detach itself by being presented with a more attractive substance.

The case of another dropsical patient forms the subject of a fifth of these anecdotes. He was, after being dosed with various drugs, pronounced incurable by the physicians of Baghdad, and thereupon begged that he might be allowed to eat and drink what he pleased, and not, as he expressed it, be "destroyed by dieting." One day he saw a man selling cooked locusts, of which he bought and ate a large quantity. Violent purging followed this repast and lasted three days, at the end of which he was so weak that his life was despairied of, but he gradually recovered and was entirely cured of his dropsy. On the fifth day, being able to walk abroad, he met one of the physicians who had seen him before, and who was amazed at his recovery, about which he questioned him. "These were no ordinary locusts," said the physician, when he had heard the story; "I should like you to point out to me the man who sold them to you." The seller being found and questioned, said that he collected the locusts in a village some miles from Baghdad, whither, for a small reward, he accompanied the physician, who found the locusts in a field in which grew quantities of the herb called Mádharyún (identified by Schlimmer and Achundow as Daphne oleoides, the Laurel-spurge or Spurge-flax),
known to be beneficial in small doses for dropsy, but too dangerous to be commonly prescribed. The double
coction which it had undergone in the locusts' bodies
had, however, so mitigated its violence that its results
had in this case proved wholly beneficial.

Other anecdotes in this book, on which I have not
time to dwell, include a cure of apoplexy by flagellation,
of pleurisy by a scorpion-bite, and of paralysis by a
decoction of colocynth in milk.

The Persian collection of anecdotes to which I
alluded above was compiled by Muḥammad Ḥāfiz
about A.D. 1230, and is entitled Jawāmi‘u'l-Ḥikāyāt wa
Lawāmi‘u'r-Rişāyāt. It is a gigantic work, comprising
four volumes, each consisting of twenty-five chapters,
and has never yet been published; but I am fortunate
enough to possess one complete ms. and another of
the first volume. The twentieth chapter of this volume
concerns Physicians, and comprises nine anecdotes, four
of which are taken from at-Tanūkhī's work "Relief after
Distress," described above. In only one of the five new
stories is mention made of Rhazes, who is represented
as curing a patient of intussusception or obstruction of
the intestines by giving him two drachms of quicksilver.
In the remaining anecdotes there is little worth notice
except one aphorism and one story. The aphorism,
uttered by an unnamed physician to a patient, is as
follows: "Know that I and thou and the disease are
three factors mutually antagonistic. If thou wilt side
with me, not neglecting what I enjoin on thee and

1 See the Qānūn of Avicenna (ed. Rome, 1593), p. 205, and the
Latin translation (Venice, 1544), p. 147, where two drachms of this
"Mezereon" are said to be fatal to man. In the Burhān-i-Qātī and
the Farhang-i-Nāṣīrī the form Māsaryūn (with j instead of ǧ) is
given.
refraining from such food as I shall forbid thee, then we shall be two against one and will overcome the disease.” The story, which concerns Aristotle and an Indian physician named Sarbát or Sarnáb—who came to him incognito as a disciple in order to study his methods, but revealed himself at a critical stage in the trephining of a patient—is a very absurd one, about a millipede or ear-wig (hazár-páy or gůsh-khúrak) which entered the patient’s ear and attached itself to his brain. The interesting point in it is that, before beginning the operation, Aristotle “gave him a drug so that he became unconscious.” I have only met with one earlier reference to anaesthesia in Persian literature, namely the well-known passage in the Sháh-náma, or “Book of Kings,” of Firdawsí¹ (composed early in the eleventh century of our era) describing the Caesarean section practised on Rúdába, the mother of Rustam, at the time of his birth, though in this case wine was the agent used to produce unconsciousness, while the operator was a Múbadh or Zoroastrian priest.

Another Persian book, entitled Chahár Maqála (the “Four Discourses”), and composed about A.D. 1155 by a court-poet of Samarqand named Nižámi-i-‘Arúdí, affords more copious material for our present purpose than either of the books mentioned above. The author treats of four classes of experts whom he considers indispensable at a properly constituted court, to wit Secretaries of State, Poets, Astrologers and Physicians; for, as he observes with propriety, the business of kings cannot be conducted without competent secretaries; their triumphs and victories will not be immortalized without eloquent poets; their enterprises will not succeed

unless undertaken at seasons adjudged propitious by sagacious astrologers; while health, the basis of all happiness and activity, can only be secured by the services of able and trustworthy physicians. Each Discourse, therefore, deals with one of these classes, in the order given above, and, after some preliminary remarks on the qualifications requisite for success in the profession in question, gives a number of anecdotes (about ten as a rule) illustrating the author's views. These are of special value as being for the greater part derived from his own recollections and experience. Twenty years ago I published a complete translation of this work in the *Journal of the Royal Asiatic Society*; ten years later a critical text with Persian notes was prepared by a learned Persian friend of mine, Mirzá Muhammad Khán of Qazwín, and published in the "E. J. W. Gibb Memorial" Series; and I am now engaged on a revised and annotated translation in which special attention has been given to the medical anecdotes. The fact that this book is now reasonably accessible renders it unnecessary for me to speak at greater length about it, and I shall confine myself to a few remarks on the Fourth Discourse dealing with Physicians.

"The physician," says our author, "should be of tender disposition, of wise and gentle nature, and more especially an acute observer, capable of benefiting everyone by accurate diagnosis, that is to say, by rapid deduction of the unknown from the known. And no

---

1 July and October, 1899. The separate reprint, now exhausted, comprises, with the Index, 139 pages.

2 It is vol. xi of this series, and was published in 1910. The revised and annotated translation, now in the Press, will constitute vol. xi, 2, of the same series.
physician can be of tender disposition if he fails to recognize the nobility of man; nor of philosophical nature unless he be acquainted with Logic; nor an acute observer unless he be strengthened by God’s guidance; and he who is not an accurate observer will not arrive at a correct understanding of the cause of any ailment.”

After developing this thesis, and relating the case of a sick man healed by prayer, the author gives an instructive list of the books which should be read by the aspirant to medical science, which range from the Aphorisms of Hippocrates and the Sixteen Treatises of Galen to the great “Thesaurus” of Medicine compiled in Persian for the Sháh of Khwárazm, or Khiva, by Sayyid Isma‘íl of Jurján only twenty or thirty years earlier. “But,” he concludes, “if the student desires to be independent of other works, he may rest satisfied with the Qáinán of Avicenna,” whom he puts second only to Aristotle, and praises in the highest terms as the only thinker during fifteen centuries who has won to the inmost essence of the Aristotelian philosophy and travelled the road of his great predecessor’s pre-eminence.

The anecdotes which follow are of a somewhat different type from those we have hitherto considered; we find none of those grotesque stories of abnormal parasitic invasion, or of the therapeutic virtues of vipers and locusts. On the other hand elementary methods of psychotherapeusic form the subject-matter of no less than four of the narratives, and several of these have passed into general Persian literature, even poetry, and have thus attained considerable notoriety. We may take first two of the best known, wherein the emotions of anger and shame are employed respectively in the treatment of rheumatic affections of the joints.
The great physician Rhazes was summoned to Transoxiana to attend the Amīr Maņşūr, who was suffering from a rheumatic affection of the joints which baffled all his medical attendants. On arriving at the Oxus, Rhazes was so much alarmed at its size and the small and fragile appearance of the boat in which he was invited to embark that he declined to proceed further, until the King’s messengers bound him hand and foot, threw him into the boat, and carried him across by force, though otherwise they treated him with the utmost respect and even apologized for the use of violence, begging him to bear them no grudge. Rhazes assured them that he harboured no resentment and explained the motive of his resistance. “I know,” said he, “that every year many thousand persons cross the Oxus safely, but, had I chanced to be drowned, people would have said, ‘What a fool Muḥammad ibn Zakariyyā was to expose himself to this risk of his own free will.’ But, being carried across by force, had I then perished people would have pitied, not blamed me.”

On reaching Bukhārā he tried various methods of treatment on the Amīr without success. Finally he said to him, “To-morrow I shall try a new treatment, but it will cost you the best horse and best mule in your stables.” The Amīr agreed and placed the animals at his disposal. Next day Rhazes brought the Amīr to a hot bath outside the city, tied up the horse and the mule, saddled and bridled, outside, and entered the hot room of the bath alone with his patient, to whom he administered douches of hot water and a draught which he had prepared “till such time” says the narrator, “as the humours in his joints were matured. Then he went out, put on his clothes, and, taking a knife in his hand, came in, and stood for a while reviling the Amīr, saying, ‘Thou
didst order me to be bound and cast into the boat, and didst conspire against my life. If I do not destroy thee as a punishment for this, my name is not Muhammad ibn Zakariyyá!" The Amír was furious, and, partly from anger, partly from fear, sprang to his feet." Rhazes at once fled from the bath to where his servant was awaiting him outside with the horse and the mule, rode off at full gallop, and did not pause in his flight until he had crossed the Oxus and reached Merv, whence he wrote to the Amír as follows:

"May the life of the King be prolonged in health and authority! Agreeably to my undertaking I treated you to the best of my ability. There was, however, a deficiency in the natural caloric, and this treatment would have been unduly protracted, so I abandoned it in favour of psychotherapeusis (‘iláj-i-nafsáti), and, when the peccant humours had undergone sufficient coction in the bath, I deliberately provoked you in order to increase the natural caloric, which thus gained sufficient strength to dissolve the already softened humours. But henceforth it is inexpedient that we should meet."

The Amír, having recovered from his anger, was delighted to find himself restored to health and freedom of movement, and caused search to be made everywhere for the physician, but in vain, until on the seventh day his servant returned with the horse and mule and the letter cited above. As Rhazes persisted in his resolution not to return, the Amír rewarded him with a robe of honour, a cloak, a turban, arms, a male and female slave, and a horse fully caparisoned, and further assigned to

1 I have slightly abridged and otherwise modified the letter, of which the literal translation will be found on p. 117 of the separate reprint of my translation in the J. R. A. S. for 1899, and on p. 84 of the forthcoming revised translation.
him a yearly pension of 200 gold dinars and 200 ass-loads of corn.

This anecdote is cited in a well-known Persian ethical work, the Akhlāq-i-jalālit, composed three hundred years later than the Chahār Maqāla. In the other anecdote which I place in the same category the patient is a woman in the King’s household who, while bending down to lay the table, is attacked by a sudden “rheumatic swelling of the joints,” and is unable to assume an erect posture. The King’s physician (not named), being commanded to cure her, and having no medicaments at hand, has recourse to “psychic treatment” (tadbir-i-nafsānt) and, by removing first her veil and then her skirt, calls to his aid the emotion of shame, whereby, in the author’s words, “a flush of heat was produced within her which dissolved the rheumatic humour,” so that she stood upright completely cured. This story is retold by the great poet Jámi, who flourished about the end of the fifteenth century, in his Silsilatu’dh-Dhahab or “Chain of Gold,” but, much more important, it has been found by Mírzá Muhammad Khán in a manuscript of Avicenna’s rare and unpublished Kitābu’l-Mabda’ wa’l-Ma’ād (the “Book of the Origin and the Return”), whence the author of the Chahār Maqāla avowedly took it¹. Avicenna, therefore, evidently believed the story, though he too omits the name of the physician, only stating that he was in the service of one of the Sámanid rulers, who flourished in Khurásán and Transoxiana in the tenth century.

Of both the two next anecdotes Avicenna is again the hero. When in his flight from Mahmúd of Ghazna he came incognito to Jurján or Gurgán (the ancient

¹ See p. 73 of the text and p. 242 of the notes in vol. xi of the “E. J. W. Gibb Memorial” Series.
Hyrcania) by the Caspian Sea, a relative of the ruler of that province lay sick of a malady which baffled all the local doctors. Avicenna, though his identity was then unknown, was invited to give his opinion, and, after examining the patient, requested the collaboration of someone who knew all the districts and towns of the province, and who repeated their names while Avicenna kept his finger on the patient’s pulse. At the mention of a certain town he felt a flutter in the pulse. “Now,” said he, “I need someone who knows all the houses, streets and quarters of this town.” Again when a certain street was mentioned the same phenomenon was repeated, and once again when the names of the inhabitants of a certain household were enumerated. Then Avicenna said, “It is finished. This lad is in love with such-and-such a girl, who lives in such-and-such a house, in such-and-such a street, in such-and-such a quarter of such-and-such a town; and the girl’s face is the patient’s cure.” So the marriage was solemnized at a fortunate hour chosen by Avicenna, and thus the cure was completed.

For this story again, or at least for its essential feature, we have the best authority, namely Avicenna’s own statement in the Qânîn¹ in the section devoted to Love, which is classed under cerebral or mental diseases, together with somnolence, insomnia, amnesia, mania, hydrophobia, melancholia, and the like. In the Latin translation² this section is hardly recognizable under the title De Ilíxi, with alhasch as a marginal variant, both these monstrosities being intended to represent

¹ See p. 316 of the Arabic text printed at Rome in A.D. 1593. Ibn Abi Uṣaybi’a (vol. ii, p. 128) relates very similar anecdotes of Galen and of Rashídû’d-Dîn Abî Ḥaliqa.
² Venice, 1544, f. 208 b.
the Arabic al-‘Ishq, “Love.” After describing the symptoms, and especially the irregularities of the pulse, Avicenna says:

“And hereby it is possible to arrive at the identity of the beloved person, if the patient will not reveal it, such knowledge affording one means of treatment. The device whereby this may be effected is that many names should be mentioned and repeated while the finger is retained on the pulse, and when it becomes very irregular and almost ceases, one should then repeat the process. I have tried this method repeatedly, and have discovered the name of the beloved. Then, in like manner, mention the streets, dwellings, arts, crafts, families and countries, joining each one with the name of the beloved, and all the time feeling the pulse, so that when it alters on the mention of any one thing several times, you will infer from this all particulars about the beloved as regards name, appearance and occupation. We have ourselves tried this plan, and have thereby arrived at knowledge which was valuable. Then, if you can discover no cure except to unite the two in such wise as is sanctioned by religion and law, you will do this. We have seen cases where health and strength were completely restored and flesh regained, after the patient had become greatly attenuated and suffered from severe chronic diseases and protracted access of fever from lack of strength resulting from excessive love, when he was accorded union with his beloved……in a very short time, so that we were astonished thereat and realized the subordination of [human] nature to mental imaginations.”

We find a further allusion to this treatment in a later medical encyclopaedia to which I have already alluded, the Dhakhira-i-Khwárazmsháhí, composed between
A.D. 1111 and 1136, and notable as the first great system of Medicine written in the Persian instead of in the Arabic language. Here also the author, Sayyid Isma‘il of Jurján, after repeating the substance of Avicenna’s directions, adds: “Master Abú ‘Ali (i.e. Avicenna), upon whom be God’s mercy, says, ‘I have tried this plan and have so discovered who the beloved object was,’” and appends a fairly close translation of Avicenna’s concluding words as to the rapid recovery of the patient when his desire is fulfilled.

Rather more than a hundred years later, in the middle of the thirteenth century, the great mystical poet Jalálu’d-Dín Rúmí, who may be called the Dante of Persia, made this theme the subject of the allegorical anecdote which comes at the beginning of his celebrated Mathnawi. This anecdote describes how a king while hunting saw a very beautiful girl, fell in love with her, and married her. To his great distress she forthwith sickened, nor could the physicians summoned to her bedside alleviate her malady or assuage her suffering, because, when assuring the king that they could cure her, they omitted the saving clause (istihlā) “Please God.” Hence all their drugs produced the opposite effects to those intended and desired; oxymel (ṣirkan-gabīn) only increased her biliousness, and myrobolans (haltā) desiccated instead of relaxing. Finally, in answer to the king’s prayers, a “divine physician” (tabīb-i-ʿilāhī) appears, and, after a careful examination of the patient, announces that the treatment hitherto pursued has been wholly mischievous and based on a wrong diagnosis. He then asks to be left alone with the patient and proceeds to question her about the towns where she has previously lived, since, he explains, treatment varies according to place of origin or sojourn. While
talking to her about her past history he keeps his finger on her pulse, but observes no sign of emotion until Samarqand is mentioned, and again later at the name of the Sar-i-pul or "Bridge-end" quarter and the street called Ghátafar. In short he finally discovers, in precisely the way indicated by Avicenna, that she is in love with a certain goldsmith living in that quarter of Samarqand. Thereupon, having reassured her and promised her recovery, he instructs the king to send messengers to Samarqand to invite the goldsmith to his court and offer him handsome remuneration. The unsuspecting goldsmith comes blithely, flattered by the king's gracious words, fine gifts and fair promises, and on his arrival, by the "divine physician's" instructions, is married to the girl, who in the course of six months recovers her health and good looks. Then the physician begins to administer to the goldsmith a slow poison which causes him to become "ugly, displeasing and sallow," so that the girl wearies of him before his death, which is not long delayed, places her once more at the disposal of the king, whose bride she now becomes. Into the allegorical meaning of this outwardly immoral story I have not time to enter now, but this purely literary use of medical material indirectly borrowed from Avicenna himself appears to me to be of considerable interest.

From the "Four Discourses" I shall only cite one more anecdote, of which again Avicenna is the hero. A certain prince of the House of Buwayh was afflicted with melancholia and suffered from the delusion that he was a cow. "Every day," says the author, "he would low like a cow, causing annoyance to everyone, and

1 This actually exists. See V. Zhukovski's Разказы о старых
Membar, p. 171, n. 1.
Avicenna cures a Melancholic

crying, ‘Kill me, so that a good stew may be prepared from my flesh’; until matters reached such a pass that he would eat nothing, while the physicians were unable to do him any good.” Finally Avicenna, who was at this time acting as prime minister to ‘Alá’u’d-Dawla ibn Kákúya, was persuaded to take the case in hand, which in spite of the pressure of public and private business, political, scientific and literary, with which he was over-whelmed, he consented to do. First of all he sent a message to the patient bidding him be of good cheer because the butcher was coming to slaughter him, whereat, we are told, the sick man rejoiced. Some time afterwards Avicenna, holding a knife in his hand, entered the sick-room, saying, “Where is this cow, that I may kill it?” The patient lowed like a cow to indicate where he was. By Avicenna’s orders he was laid on the ground bound hand and foot. Avicenna then felt him all over and said, “He is too lean, and not ready to be killed; he must be fattened.” Then they offered him suitable food, of which he now partook eagerly, and gradually he gained strength, got rid of his delusion, and was completely cured. The narrator concludes, “All wise men will perceive that one cannot heal by such methods of treatment save by virtue of pre-eminent intelligence, perfect science and unerring acumen.” This anecdote also has been versified by Jámí in his “Chain of Gold” (Silsilatu’dh-Dhahab) composed in A.D. 1485, three hundred and thirty years after the “Four Discourses,” but I can find no allusion to any such method of treatment in the article on Melancholia in the Qámín of Avicenna.

Before leaving this topic, I must refer to an anecdote given by the poet Nizámí in his “Treasury of Secrets” (Makhzanu’l-Asrár), where suggestion is employed not
to heal but to destroy. This story relates how the rivalry between two court physicians finally reached such a point that they challenged one another to a duel or ordeal by poison, it being agreed that each should take a poison supplied by his antagonist, of which he should then endeavour to counteract the effects by a suitable antidote. The first prepared a poisonous draught “the fierceness of which would have melted black stone”; his rival drained the cup and at once took an antidote which rendered it innocuous. It was now his turn, and he picked a rose from the garden, breathed an incantation over it, and bade his antagonist smell it, whereupon the latter at once fell down dead. That his death was due simply to fear and not to any poisonous or magical property of the rose is clearly indicated by the poet:

دشمن از آن کَلّ یکه ضوُن خوان بَدَاد،
ترس بَدَو چیهره شَد و جان بَدَاد;
آن بعلاچ از تن خود زهر بُرد;
وین بیکه کَلّ ز توهُم بَرد.

"Through this rose which the spell-breather had given him
Fear overmastered the foe and he gave up the ghost,
That one by treatment expelled the poison from his body,
While this one died of a rose from fear."

I have little doubt that suggestion played an important part in Arabian Medicine, and that wider reading in Arabic and Persian books (often sadly discursive and unsystematic, and, of course, never provided with indexes) would yield a much richer harvest in this field. But the people of the East have much of the child’s love of the marvellous; they like their kings to be immensely great and powerful, their queens and princesses incomparably
beautiful, their ministers or ṭawẓirs abnormally sagacious, and their physicians superhumanly discerning and resourceful. This unbounded faith, which is in fact most embarrassing to one who practises medicine in the East, is sustained and extended by such sensational stories as I have cited. Rhazes did this, they will tell you, and Avicenna that, and are not you, the heir of all the ages, greater than these, nay, even than Hippocrates and Galen? Yet the genuine case-book of Rhazes, of which, almost alone in Arabic literature, a fragment has happily been preserved to us in a Bodleian ms.¹ mentioned in a former lecture, altogether lacks this sensational quality, and it is to the credit of that great physician that he should have chosen to record precisely those cases which puzzled him at first or baffled him altogether.

In the opening lecture of this course I explained that, while the Golden Age of Islamic or Arabian literature and science was the first century or two of the ʿAbbāsid Caliphate of Baghdād (i.e. from A.D. 750 onwards), a high level of culture continued to be maintained until the awful catastrophe of the Mongol or Tartar invasion of the thirteenth century inflicted on it a blow from which it has never recovered. The Caliphate was overthrown and its metropolis sacked and laid waste in A.D. 1258, and though the surviving scholars of the younger generation carried on the sound tradition of scholarship for a while longer, there is, broadly speaking, a difference not only of degree but of kind between the literary and scientific work done before and after the thirteenth century throughout the lands of Islám. Medicine and history owed their comparative immunity to the desire of the savage conquerors for health and

¹ Marsh 156, ff. 239 b–246 a. See pp. 50–53 supra.
fame, and in the next lecture I shall have to speak of at least one writer who flourished even as late as the fourteenth century. Of course from that time to the present day there has been no lack of medical literature of a sort: some idea of the number of medical works composed in Persian alone may be gathered from Adolf Fonahn’s *Zur Quellenkunde der Persischen Medizin*, published at Leipzig in 1910. The author of this excellent and painstaking book enumerates over 400 Persian works (very few of which have been published) dealing entirely or partly with medical subjects, and adds a very useful bibliography⁴ and short biographical notices of 25 of the most notable Persian physicians⁴ and writers on Medicine who flourished from the end of the tenth to the beginning of the eighteenth century, excluding, however, such men as Rhazes, “Haly Abbas” and Avicenna who, though Persian by race, wrote in Arabic. This vernacular medical literature of Persia remains almost unexplored, nor could it, as a rule, be explored with advantage until a much more thorough examination of the older Arabic literature has been effected. A thorough knowledge of the contents of the Ḥāwī or “Continens,” the *Kitāb-ʾul-Malikī* or “Liber Regius” and the *Qānūn* of Avicenna would be necessary in order to decide whether any substantial addition to, or modification of, these classics was effected by the later writers. Of one great Persian system of Medicine, compiled in the twelfth century, the *Dhakhra-i-Khwārazmshāhī*, which good fortune has rendered accessible to me in several manuscripts, I propose to speak in the next lecture. Only two other Persian medical works have hitherto, so far as I know, attracted much attention in Europe—Abū Manṣūr

¹ pp. 135–140.
² pp. 129–134.
Muwaffaq of Herât's *Materia Medica*, composed about A.D. 950, and the illustrated *Anatomy* of Manşûr ibn Muḥammad, composed in A.D. 1396. The oldest known Persian manuscript in Europe, copied by the poet Asadî in A.D. 1055, is the unique original of the former, and was produced at Vienna by Dr F. R. Seligmann in 1859 in a most beautiful and artistic edition on which excellent work has been done by Abdul-Châlîg Achündow, Dr Paul Horn and Professor Jolly. The anatomical diagrams contained in the latter have especially attracted the attention of Dr Karl Sudhoff, who published them from the India Office Ms. in *Studien zur Geschichte der Medizin*¹, and who has suggested that they represent an ancient tradition going back, perhaps, even to the Alexandrian School. Of this work I have recently acquired two Ms. in which some of the illustrations show variations which may prove of interest.

Before concluding this lecture I may add a few words about the introduction of modern European Medicine into the Muslim East, where the old system, which we call Arabian and the Muslims Greek (Tibb-i-Yûnânî), still maintains itself, while slowly giving ground, especially in Persia and India. When I was at Ţîhrân in 1887 Dr Tholozon, physician to His late Majesty Nasîrû'd-Dîn Shâh, kindly enabled me to attend the meetings of the *Majlis-i-Šihat*, or Council of Public Health, in the Persian capital, and a majority of the physicians present at that time knew no medicine but that of Avicenna. Since that time a good many young Persians (though far fewer than one would wish) have come to Europe to study, but even in the middle of the nineteenth century much was being done by such men as Dr Polak, the Austrian, and Dr Schlimmer, the

¹ Heft 4, Leipzig, 1908.
Dutchman, who went out to Persia to organize the new Polytechnic and Military Colleges. Dr Schlimmer's *Terminologie Médico-Pharmaceutique et Anthropologique Française-Persane*, lithographed at Tihrân in 1874, is, indeed, invaluable to students of Oriental Medicine by reason of the mass of information it contains and the careful identifications of the Persian names of plants, drugs and diseases. One of the earliest books printed in Persia with movable types was a treatise on inoculation for small-pox (which I have not seen) published at Tabriz in 1825. This very same year marks the introduction of modern medical science into Egypt by Clot Bey and other French scientists invited thither by the Khedive Muhammad 'Ali, and the establishment of the hospital at Abú Za'bal near Heliopolis, which was transferred a year later to its present site at Qašrul-'Ayní. Egyptian students had been sent to Italy in 1813 and 1816 and to England in 1818 to study military and naval science, ship-building, printing and mechanics, but the first medical students seem to have been sent to Paris, no doubt at the instigation of Clot Bey, in 1826. An excellent account of this latest revival of science (*an-Nahdatu'l-Akhíra*, as it is called in Arabic) is given by that indefatigable writer the late Jurjí Zaydán, a Christian Syrian domiciled in Egypt, in his *History of Arabic Literature*, published in Cairo in 1911–14. To speak of it in detail would lead me too far from my subject, but two points connected with its history have a certain bearing on the revival of Greek learning in the East in the eighth century, which I dealt with in my first lecture last year. I spoke there of the

---

prejudice against dissection; and it is interesting to note that Clot Bey’s struggles against this same prejudice brought him within measurable distance of assassination\textsuperscript{1}. I also observed that while some Greek books were translated directly into Arabic for the Caliphs of Baghdad, in many cases there was an intermediate translation into Syriac. So in the “latest revival,” which took place at Cairo a thousand years later, we learn\textsuperscript{2} that one of the most skilful translators, Ḥunayn or Yuḥanná ‘Anḥūrí (whom we may well entitle the second Ḥunayn or Johannitius), “was weak in French but well grounded in Italian, from which he used to translate into Arabic. So when the book was written in French it was first translated for him into Italian, from which he translated it into Arabic.” Whether made directly or indirectly from the original, the first Arabic translation before it went to press commonly passed through the hands of an editor or “corrector” (quite distinct from the reader of the press) who was a good Arabic scholar, knowing something of the science in question and its terminology, but ignorant of any European language, and who gave the book a proper literary form. A similar procedure, according to Dr Lucien Leclerc, characterized the translation of Arabic scientific books into Latin in the Middle Ages\textsuperscript{3}.

How aptly does Abu ʾl-ʿAlā al-Maʿarri liken time to a long poem, in which the rhyme, metre and rhythm never vary, though the same rhyming word is never repeated.

\textsuperscript{1} See his \textit{Aperçu général sur l’Égypte}, vol. ii, p. 415 (Paris, 1840).
\textsuperscript{2} p. 190 of Zaydán’s work mentioned in the last note but one.
\textsuperscript{3} \textit{Histoire de la Médecine Arabe}, vol. ii, pp. 344 and 345.
Arabian Medicine. III

وَقَتَّلْتُهَا هَذَا الْزَمَانُ قَصِيَّةٌ، إِنِّي أَضَطُّرُ شَاعِرُهَا إِلَىٰ أَيْطَالَةٍ،

"Die Zeit, die ewig dahin rollt,
Doch denselben Reim wiederholt
ist wie ein Gedicht:
der Dichter nicht."

So says the historian Ibn Khaldún, “The Past more closely resembles the Future than water resembles water.”

الباضى أشبه بالآتي من الباء بالباء


“And the Maker infinite,
Whose poem is Time,
He need not weave in it
A forced stale rhyme.”
LECTURE IV

The brief survey of the history and development of Arabian Medicine which I have attempted in the last three lectures, and which I must conclude to-day, has necessarily been somewhat severely limited by considerations of time; and I have been obliged to confine myself for the most part to the period and realms of the ‘Abbásid Caliphs, that is the eighth to the thirteenth centuries of our era, and the regions of Mesopotamia and Persia. I regret that I am compelled to exclude from this survey the brilliant civilization developed in Spain and the West under Arab dominion; but, lest you should forget it, or think that I have forgotten it, I must at least mention a few of the most illustrious names associated with Moorish Medicine. In the tenth century Cordova produced the greatest surgeon of the Arab race, Abu’l-Qásim az-Zahráwí, known to medieval Europe as Abulcasis (or even Albucasis) and Alsaharavius, with whom was contemporary the court-physician Ibn Juljul, whose Lives of the Physicians and Philosophers is unhappily lost. “Aben Guefit,” properly Ibnul-Wáfíd, of Toledo, and Ibnul-Jazzár of Qayruwán in Tunisia, who sought relaxation from his professional labours in piracy on the high seas, belong to a slightly later generation. The twelfth century produced the famous Averroes (Ibn Rushd) of Cordova, who was, however, more notable as a philosopher than as a physician; Avenzoar (Ibn Zuhr) of Seville; and the famous Jewish scholar Maimonides (Músá ibn Maymún), also of Cordova, who finally became court-physician to Saladdin in Egypt. One other name of the thirteenth century which must on no account be omitted is the great botanist Ibnul-
Baytār of Malaga, a worthy successor of Dioscorides, who travelled widely through Greece, Asia Minor and Egypt in search of medicinal herbs, and whose works on Materia Medica have been made known in Europe by Sontheimer and Leclerc. In the transmission of the Arabian system of Medicine to Europe, Spain and N.W. Africa, as you are well aware, played the chief part; and in particular Toledo, where men like Gerard of Cremona and Michael Scot\(^1\) sought the knowledge which they afterwards conveyed to Christian Europe.

Turning now once more to Persia, the twelfth century is remarkable for the development of a vernacular medical and scientific literature of which only scanty traces are found in earlier times. Arabic, still the chief vehicle of theological and philosophical thought throughout the lands of Islām, as Latin was in medieval Europe, had hitherto been used almost exclusively even by the great Persian physicians Rhazes, Haly Abbas and Avicenna of whom I have spoken. But in the early part of the twelfth century there came to the court of Khwārazm or Khiva a physician named Zaynu’d-Dīn Isma’īl of Jurjān (Hyrcania), who wrote several medical works, of which the most important and by far the largest is entitled, in honour of the ruler to whom it was dedicated, Dhakhīra-i Khwārazmshāhī, or the “Thesaurus” of the King of Khwārazm. This work, which rivals if it does not exceed in scope and size the Qānūn of Avicenna, remains unpublished, though I believe that a lithographed Urdu translation is still in use in India. I possess, besides several isolated volumes, some transcribed in the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries, one

\(^1\) The period at which Gerard of Cremona (b. 1114, d. 1187) visited Toledo is uncertain. Michael Scot was there in 1217.
complete manuscript of this encyclopaedic work comprising 1403 pages measuring 12 x 8 inches and each comprising 27 lines. The book cannot contain much fewer than 450,000 words; and as the writing is by no means clear, the text far from correct, and there are, of course, neither head-lines nor indexes, it will be easily understood that the perusal of it is somewhat laborious. It is, however, elaborately divided and subdivided, primarily into nine volumes, with a tenth supplementary volume on Materia Medica, and secondarily into innumerable Discourses (Guntrár), Parts (Juz) and Chapters (Báb), of which, with the help of another almost complete manuscript belonging to the Cambridge University Library, I have succeeded in making an exhaustive table. I may note that the Library of this College possesses a very fine old twelfth century manuscript\(^1\) of part of the sixth volume, which treats of local diseases a capite ad calcem, including all six chapters of the eighth Discourse on diseases of the heart, and part of the thirteenth Discourse dealing with dropsy.

The same author composed several smaller medical works, all in Persian, namely the "Aims of Medicine" (Aghråd-i-Tibb), the "Remembrancer" (Yádgår) on Materia Medica and Pharmacy, and the Khuff-i-'Alā'ī written in two elongated volumes to be carried by the traveller one in each of his riding-boots (khuff), whence its name. All these are described by Fonahn in his useful work Zur Quellenkunde der Persischen Medizin, and all are recommended by the author of the "Four Discourses" (Chahår Maqâla), written only twenty years after the death of Zaynu’d-Dīn Isma’il. Of the "Thesaurus," by which term I shall henceforth denote the Dhakhıra-i-Khwārazmshāhī, I shall have a good deal

\(^1\) Marked A. 27.
more to say, but first I will complete my literary survey down to the Mongol period, beyond which I do not propose to go.

The thirteenth century is remarkable for the number of excellent biographical works in Arabic which it produced. First, as containing only medical biographies, I will mention the ‘Uyi‘nu‘l-Anbā fi Ṭabaqātī‘l-Aṭibbā ("Sources of Information on the Classes of Physicians"), compiled by Ibn Abī Uṣaybi‘a at Damascus in A.D. 1245, and printed at Cairo in two volumes in 1882. Then there is the Ta‘rikhul-Ḥukamā, a biographical dictionary of philosophers and physicians composed by al-Qīfī, a native of Upper Egypt, a great lover and collector of books, who combined piety with tolerance and was generous in his help to other scholars, and who died at the age of 76 in A.D. 1248. The text of this valuable work, edited by Dr Julius Lippert, was published at Leipzig in 1903. Another similar but rather earlier work by Shahrazūrī exists in two recensions, one Arabic and one Persian, but is rare and remains unpublished. The great biographical dictionary of Ibn Khallikān, begun in Cairo in A.D. 1256 and finished in the same city in A.D. 1274, is accessible to the English reader in the translation of the Baron McGuckin de Slane, and, though more general in its scope, contains the lives of several physicians of note. The geographer Yāqūt, who flourished about the same time, also wrote a biographical dictionary, of which five volumes have been edited by Professor Margoliouth, but this deals chiefly with men of letters. Lastly, mention must be made of the Christian physician, philosopher, theologian and historian, Abu‘l-Faraj Gregorius, better known as Bar Hebraeus, who died at the age of 60 in A.D. 1286, and
whom the late Dr Wright\(^1\) has described as “one of the most learned and versatile men that Syria ever produced.” He wrote chiefly in Syriac, but at the end of his life, at the request of some Muslim friends at Marágha in N.W. Persia, he produced an Arabic recension of the first or political portion of his great Universal History “enriched with many references to Muhammadan writers and literature which are wanting in the Syriac” original. Being himself a physician of note, enjoying in a high degree the favour and confidence of the Mongol rulers of Persia, he naturally devotes a good deal of attention in his history to medical matters. This book was edited with a Latin translation by Pocock in A.D. 1663, and another excellent edition with full indexes was published by the Catholic Press at Beyrout in A.D. 1890.

What we chiefly lack in order to form a picture of the practice of Medicine in the lands of Islám during the Middle Ages is some account of the actual administration of the hospitals founded in considerable numbers in the more important towns by pious benefactors. About the actual buildings, indeed, we find information in the narratives of travellers like Ibn Baṭūṭa (fourteenth century) and the descriptions of topographers like al-Maqrízí (fifteenth century), who gives particulars as to the history, situation and structure of five hospitals in Cairo\(^2\). The oldest of these was that founded by Aḥmad ibn Ṭūlún about A.D. 873; the most important that founded by Qaláʿūn about A.D. 1284 and called “the great hospital of al-Manṣūr” (al-Māristán al-Kabīr al-Manṣūrī). It was founded by Qaláʿūn

\(^1\) *Syria: Literature* (London, 1894), p. 265. For a list of his medical works, see p. 252 of the same.

\(^2\) *Khitaṭ* (Bulāq, 1853), vol. ii, pp. 405–8. See also E. W. Lane’s *Cairo Fifty Years Ago* (London, 1896), pp. 92–4.
al-Malik al-Manṣūr in fulfilment of a vow which he made some years earlier when he was cured of a severe attack of colic at Damascus by physicians attached to the hospital founded in that city by Nūru’d-Dīn, under whom the great Saladdin first served. The endowments amounted to a million dirhams annually; it was open to all sick persons, rich or poor, male or female, and contained wards for women as well as men, and female as well as male attendants were appointed for the care of the patients. One large ward was set apart for fevers, one for ophthalmic cases, one for surgical cases and one for dysentery and kindred ailments. There were also kitchens, lecture-rooms, store-rooms for drugs and apparatus, a dispensary, and rooms for the medical officers. It is worth noting that the word Māristān, used throughout these books for a hospital, is a corruption of the Persian word Bīmāristān, which signifies in that language “a place for the sick.” It has now been replaced in Egypt by the purely Arabic word Mustashfā, meaning “a place where health is sought,” while Māristān has come to be used in the sense of a mad-house. From the first certain chambers or cells were set apart in these hospitals for lunatics, and Maqrizi tells us how Aḥmad ibn Ṭūlún, the founder of the oldest hospital in Cairo, used to visit it daily until a lunatic begged a pomegranate of him, and then, instead of eating it, threw it at him with such violence that it burst and spoiled his clothes, after which he would never again visit the hospital. Lane, in his Cairo Fifty Years Ago (pp. 92–4), gives a pitiful account of the lunatics he saw in the Bīmāristān of Qalā’ūn when he visited it; while Clot Bey, in his Aperçu général sur l’Égypte, draws a deplorable picture of the state of

Medicine in that country at the beginning of the nineteenth century.

A very valuable, I believe unique, Persian manuscript which I recently acquired from the library of the late Sir Albert Houtum-Schindler, who during his long residence in Persia had gained more knowledge of that country in all its aspects than anyone now living possesses, throws some light incidentally on the state of Medicine there in the early fourteenth century. One of the most learned men and scholarly writers of that period was the physician Rashīdu'd-Dīn Faḍlullāh, born in A.D. 1247 at Hamadān, where Avicenna is buried. He became court-physician to the Mongol ruler Abāqā, whose successor Ghāzān, a convert to Islām, formed so high an opinion of him that he appointed him Prime Minister in A.D. 1295. During the twenty-two years for which he held this high and perilous post (for it was quite exceptional for the Minister of a Mongol sovereign to die a natural death) he enjoyed enormous wealth and power, which he used in the most beneficent manner for the foundation of colleges, hospitals and libraries, the endowment of learning, and the encouragement of scholars. On the beautiful quarter which he founded at Tabrīz and named after himself Rab'-i-Rashi
di he lavished endless care, not only adorning it with noble buildings consecrated to pious and learned uses, but drawing thither by his bounty the greatest scholars, the most eminent professional men, and the most skilful artisans of the time from all parts of the world. The extraordinary and minute precautions which he took to perpetuate and diffuse the learning stored in the incomparable libraries of the Rab'-i-Rashi
di are fully detailed by Quatremère in the Introduction to his Histoire des Mongols. Alas, that these precautions in
the event proved vain, for when, in July 1318, he finally fell a victim to the intrigues of envious rivals and was put to death, the beautiful suburb on which he had lavished so much thought, care and wealth was utterly wrecked and plundered!

Such in brief was the man who at the height of his power preferred to call himself “Rashíd the physician” rather than indulge in the high-sounding titles of a grandiloquent age; and the manuscript of which I have spoken contains a collection of some fifty of his letters, addressed to many different people on many different subjects, collected and arranged by his secretary Muḥammad of Abarqūḥ. My friend Muḥammad Shafi‘, now Professor of Arabic at the Oriental College, Lahore, was good enough to make an abstract of this precious volume, condensing or omitting the precepts and platitudes with which many of the letters are filled, but devoting particular attention to those which contain matters of interest, and especially of medical or pharmaceutical interest. These, ten in number, I propose briefly to discuss, taking them in the order in which they occur in the manuscript.

No. 18 (ff. 34b–36b), addressed to Khwája ʿAlá‘u’d-Din Hindū, demanding various oils for the hospital in the Rab‘-i-Rashidī at Tabrīz, where, according to the report of the physician in charge, Muḥammad ibn‘n-Nīlī, who is described as the “Galen of our times,” they were urgently needed. The quantity of each oil required (varying from 1 to 300 maunds) and the place from which it is to be obtained are carefully specified. Shírāz is to supply six different kinds; Baṣra, seven; Asia Minor, six; Baghḍād, nine; Syria, three; and Ḥilla, three. Most of them are aromatic oils prepared from various fragrant flowers, violets, jessamine, narcissus, roses of different sorts, myrtle, orange-blossoms and
the like, but we find also absinth, mastic, camomile, castor oil, and even oil of scorpions. In a post-script the writer urges speed in the fulfilment of these commissions, and orders that, to save delay, a separate messenger is to be sent to each of the six localities indicated.

**No. 19** (ff. 36 b–40 a), addressed by Rashid to his son Amīr ʿAlī, governor of Baghdād, instructing him as to pensions and presents to be given to learned men throughout the Persian Empire from the Oxus to the Jamna and as far west as Asia Minor and the Egyptian frontiers. The presents in each case consist of a sum of money, a fur cloak or pelisse, and a beast for riding. Only one of the 49 persons named is specifically described as a physician, namely one Maḥmūd ibn ʿIlyās, who is to receive 1000 *dinārs* in cash, a cloak of grey squirrel, and a horse or mule with saddle.

**No. 21** (ff. 45 b–53 b), addressed by Rashīd to his son Jalālu’d-Dīn, governor of Asia Minor, requesting him to send every year to Tabrīz for use in the hospital quantities varying from 50 to 100 maunds of six drugs, namely, anise-seed, agaric, mastic, lavender, dodder and wormwood.

**No. 29** (ff. 87 b–92 a). This letter was written from Multān in Sind to Mawlānā Quṭbu’d-Dīn of Shīrāz. The writer complains that he had been compelled to abandon his pleasant life in Persia and undertake a troublesome journey to India at the whim of Arghūn the Mongol, who wished him to impress on the Indian kings and princes the power and greatness of his master, and at the same time to collect certain useful drugs not to be found in Persia. He expresses satisfaction at the success of his mission and his approaching return home.

---

1 See **No. 41** *infra.*
and incidentally describes how he succeeded without offending Sultán Alá’u’d-Dín, to whom he was accredited, in remonstrating with him on his excessive indulgence in wine, the remonstrance being rendered so palatable by an entertaining anecdote and some appropriate verses that his royal host, instead of being annoyed, assigned to him and his son after him a handsome pension.

No. 36 (ff. 120 b–131 b) is a very long letter, written when Rashid was suffering from what he believed to be a mortal illness, containing elaborate instructions about the disposal of his property and the maintenance of his foundations. He gives some particulars of the library which he had bequeathed to the Rab’i-Rashídí, comprising 1000 Qur’áns, many of them written by the most famous calligraphists, and 60,000 other manuscripts, scientific and literary, including books brought from India and China. He also makes special mention of 1000 Chinese syrup-jars, very artistically made, each bearing the name of the syrup for which it was intended, and Chinese boxes for electuaries.

No. 40 (ff. 136 a–138 b), though not concerned with medicine, is interesting as showing the solidarity of the Muslim world, the rapidity with which ideas permeated it, even to the remotest parts, and the immense stimulus to learning which one generous patron could give, even in lands not politically connected with his own. It contains Rashid’s instructions to one of his agents in Asia Minor as to the adequate renumeration in money and presents of the learned men in the Maghrib, or western lands of Islám, who had written books in his honour. Of these ten, six were resident in Cordova, Seville and other parts of Andalusia, and four in Tunis, Tripoli and Qayruwán. We
flatter ourselves on the facilities of communication existing in these our days, but it is questionable whether an idea, a book, or a philosophical doctrine would travel so quickly now from Tunis to Tabriz or from Seville to Samarqand as it did in the fourteenth century. So potent was the unifying effect of Islam and its universal medium the Arabic language!

**No. 41** (ff. 138b–140b) concerns the reconstruction and re-endowment of a hospital at Shiraz, which, originally founded by the Atabeks of Fars a century earlier, had for some time fallen into decay. Rashid now appoints a new physician, Mahmud ibn Ilyas, who had attracted his favourable notice by a medical work entitled *Laṭṭ if-i-Rashtīyya* composed in his honour. I do not know whether this book is still extant, but Fonahn* mentions another, entitled *Tuḥṣatul-Ḥukamā* (the “Physicians’ Gift”), by the same author, of which there is a manuscript in the *Nūr-i-ʿUthmāniyya* Library at Constantinople. To this physician are hereby assigned a yearly salary and handsome gifts payable from the local revenues, and he is placed in control of the hospital and all its endowments.

**No. 42** (ff. 141a–142b) is entirely concerned with the hospital at Hamadan (Rashid’s native city), which had also fallen into an unsatisfactory state through mis-appropriation of its revenues. A new physician, Ibn Mahdi, is appointed to take charge of the hospital and reorganize it with more regard to the welfare of the patients and the supply of the necessary drugs and medicaments, amongst which special mention is made of several not easily procured, such as Terra sigillata (*tin-i-makhtān*), Oil of balsam (*rawghān-i-balsān*),

---

1 See **No. 19** supra.
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Folia Indica or Malabathrum (sádhaj-i-hindšt), and Theban electuary (tiryág-i-fárûq). Arrangements are also proposed for the proper ordering of the accounts, and the physician, after attending to all these matters, and appointing a dispenser, a dresser, a cook and other officers, is instructed to return to Tabrız, where further favours await him. This letter is one of the few which is dated: it was written from Caesarea (Qayšariyya) in A.H. 690 (A.D. 1291).

No. 47 (ff. 151 a–156 b) is a letter written from India by Malik ‘Alá’u’d-Dín to Rashíd, complimenting him on his public spirit and services to humanity, and containing a long list of presents forwarded to him by the port of Bašra. These presents are arranged in twelve categories, viz. (1) wearing apparel, (2) precious stones, (3) perfumes, (4) rare animals, (5) conserves, (6) drugs and simples, (7) a lotion for removing freckles, placed in a class by itself, (8) upholstery, (9) aromatic oils, (10) plate and china, (11) spices and dried fruits, and (12) rare woods and ivory. The list of drugs is the longest and contains 22 items, including cinnamon, nutmeg, cloves, cardamoms, cubebs, cassia, fumitory and betel-nuts.

No. 51 (ff. 171 b–175 b). From Rashíd to his son Sa’du’d-Dín, governor of Qinnasrín and the ‘Awášim in Asia Minor, describing the concourse of scholars attracted to Tabríz by his bounty and the splendours of the suburb of Rab’-i-Rashíd, on which he has lavished so much care and money. It contained 24 caravanse-rais, 1500 workshops, and 30,000 beautiful houses, besides gardens, baths, shops, mills, weaving and dyeing establishments, paper factories, and a mint. The inhabitants had been carefully chosen from various cities and countries. There were 200 professional Qur’án-
readers with fixed salaries to read the scripture daily in
the chapel appointed for that purpose and to train forty
selected acolytes. There was a Scholars' Street (Kucha-
i-ulama), where dwelt 400 divines, jurisconsults and
traditionists, with suitable salaries and allowances, and
in the neighbouring students' quarters lived 1000 eager
students from various Muslim countries whose studies
were subsidized and directed according to their aptitudes.
Fifty skilful physicians had been attracted thither from
India, China, Egypt, Syria and other countries, to each
of whom were assigned ten enthusiastic students with
definite duties in the hospital, to which were also
attached surgeons, oculists and bone-setters, each of
whom had the charge of five students. All these dwelt
in the Street of the Healers (Kucha-i-mu'alijan) at the
back of the hospital, near the gardens and orchards of
Rashidabad.

I have now completed what I have to say about the
history and literature of the so-called Arabian Medicine
within the restricted limits imposed on me by con-
siderations of space and time, and I propose now to
say a few words about the system itself, with special
reference to the Kamili's-Sina't, or "Liber Regius," of
al-Majusi, the Qanun of Avicenna, and especially
the Persian "Thesaurus" of Khwárazmsháh, which is
accessible only in manuscript. All these three are
systematic treatises dealing with the whole science and
art of Medicine as understood by the medieval Muslim
world. The "Liber Regius" is the simplest in its
arrangement, consisting of two volumes each containing
ten Discourses (Maqala), the first ten dealing with the
theory and the second ten with the practice of Medicine;
and its Latin translation, printed at Lyons in 1523, is
the best and most adequate of these translations which
I have met with. The other two books suffer from the common Oriental fault of exaggerated and over-elaborate subdivision. Ignoring these, the contents of the ten books (i.e. nine books and a supplement) which constitute the "Thesaurus" are briefly as follows:

**Book I**, comprising 6 Discourses and 77 chapters, treats of the definition, scope and utility of Medicine; of the Natures, Elements, Complexions or Temperaments and Humours of Anatomy, general and special; and of the three-fold Functions or Powers of the body, natural, animal and psychical.

**Book II**, comprising 9 Discourses and 151 chapters, treats of health and disease (including General Pathology, classification and nomenclature); signs and symptoms, especially the pulse and the excretions; aetiology; Embryology and Obstetric Medicine and the growth and care of the child; the emotions; and Life and Death.

**Book III**, comprising 14 Discourses and 204 chapters, treats of Hygiene, including the effects of climate, season, air, water, food and drink of all kinds, especially wine; sleeping, waking, movement and rest; clothing and perfumes; bleeding, purging and emetics; dyscrasia; mental states and their effects on the body; the prodromata of disease; and the care of children, the aged and travellers.

**Book IV**, comprising 4 Discourses and 25 chapters, treats of the importance and principles of diagnosis, and of coction, crisis and prognosis.

**Book V**, comprising 6 Discourses and 80 chapters, treats of the varieties, aetiology, symptoms and treatment of Fever, the first four Discourses being chiefly devoted to malarial fevers, the fifth to small-pox and measles, and the sixth to recurrence, prophylaxis, diet, and the treatment of convalescents.
The Thesaurus of Khwárazmsháh

Book VI, comprising 21 Discourses and 434 chapters, treats of local diseases *a capite ad calcem*, including mental affections, epilepsy, apoplexy, paralysis, tetanus, dropsy, gynaecology, obstetrics, gout, rheumatism, sciatica and elephantiasis.

Book VII, comprising 7 Discourses and 55 chapters, treats of general pathological conditions which may affect any organ, including tumours, abscesses, cancer, wounds, fractures and dislocations, and contains a Discourse of 12 chapters on the use of the actual cautery.

Book VIII, comprising 3 Discourses and 37 chapters, treats of personal cleanliness and the care of the hair, nails and complexion.

Book IX, comprising 5 Discourses and 44 chapters, treats of poisons, animal, vegetable, and mineral; and of the bites and stings of beasts, snakes and venomous reptiles and insects.

Here this immense work, comprising 9 Books, 75 Discourses, and 1107 chapters, originally ended with the colophon: "Here endeth the Book of Poisons, with the conclusion of which endeth the Work entitled the Thesaurus of Khwárazmsháh, by the Favour of God and His Help," but there follow three final sections of apology, the first for delay in completing the book, the second for its defects, and the third for all physicians who themselves fall victims to the diseases they treat.

Subsequently the author added a Conclusion, or tenth Book, on Materia Medica, divided into three parts, the first dealing with animal products, the second with simple vegetable drugs, and the third with compound medicaments.

1 Cf. the Arabic verses on pp. 8 and 9 *supra*, and the foot-note on p. 59.
At this point we may pause to consider two questions which have been constantly present in my mind during the preparation of these lectures. The first question is, how far can the fuller study of Arabian Medicine be regarded as likely to repay the labour it involves? The second question is, supposing it to be worth fuller study, how should that study be pursued in the future, and what parts of the subject most merit attention?

From the narrowest utilitarian point of view it is not likely that even the profoundest study of the subject will yield any practical results of importance, seeing that the whole system is based on a rudimentary Anatomy, an obsolete Physiology, and a fantastic Pathology. From the Arabian Materia Medica and from the rules of Diet and Hygiene some hints might possibly be gleaned; but with this exception we must, I fear, admit that little practical advantage can be hoped for. Few educated people, however, and certainly no one in the distinguished audience I have the honour of addressing, will take this narrow, purely utilitarian view, of which, indeed, the very existence of the Fitz-Patrick Lectures is a negation. That the Embryology of Science, the evolution of our present Weltanschauung, is a proper and even a noble subject of research we shall all readily admit; but still the question remains whether the Arabs did more than transmit the wisdom of the Greeks, and whether they added much original matter to the scientific concepts of which for some eight centuries they were the chief custodians. This, unfortunately, is not an easy question to answer, and much laborious research will be needed ere it can be answered definitely. For such research, moreover, a combination of qualifications not very commonly met with in one
individual is required, to wit, a scholarly knowledge of Greek, Latin, Syriac, Arabic and Persian, and, if possible, Sanskrit; a knowledge of, or at least an interest in Medicine; abundant leisure; voracious and omnivorous reading; and great enthusiasm and industry. And it must be said once and for all that no just idea of Arabian Medicine can be derived from the very imperfect Latin renderings of the standard Arabic works. I gave one example in a previous lecture of the unintelligible transcription of Arabic words, evidently not understood, into Latin, and I will now give another. In the Latin translation of the Qánun of Avicenna printed at Venice in 1544, on f. 198 a you will find, under diseases of the head and brain, a section entitled “Sermo universalis de Karabito qui est apostema capitis sirsem.” If you refer to the corresponding passage of the Arabic text (p. 302) printed at Rome in 1593, you will find this mysterious disease appearing as ġarānītus (قرانيطس). But the true reading, given in a fine old ms. which I recently acquired, is farrānītis (فرانيطس), that is φρενίτις, frenzy. Such is the havoc wrought in Arabic letters by the misplacement of dots and dia- critical points, and in the case of these unfamiliar Greek words there is nothing to guide the Arabian scribe if the word be indistinctly written, one form appearing asintelligible or as unintelligible as another. Hence the student of Arabic medical literature must begin by correcting and re-editing even the printed texts before he can begin to read or translate them; and the numerous important books which exist only in manuscript will, of course, give him still more trouble, since to consult what still survives of the Ḥawāt or “Continens” of Rāzī—the most important as well as the most voluminous Arabic work on Medicine—he will have to visit not only the

B. A. M.
British Museum and the Bodleian Libraries, but Munich and the Escorial, and even then he will not have seen half of this great work. Nor is there much hope that critical editions of these books will ever be published unless Egyptian medical students or young Indian scholars with a taste for research and a desire to render service to the renown of Islamic science can be stimulated by material and moral support to undertake this laborious and unremunerative but important work. As an example of what may be done by such workers, I desire to call attention to Mawlawi ‘AZimu’d-Dīn ‘Ahmad’s admirable Catalogue of the Arabic Medical Works in the Oriental Public Library at Bankipore (Calcutta, 1910), a fine and scholarly piece of work carried out at the instigation and under the supervision of Sir E. Denison Ross, at that time Director of the Muhammadan Madrasa at Calcutta, but now of the London School of Oriental Studies.

Apart from new elements, not of Greek origin, which may be disclosed by a more minute and attentive study of Arabian Medicine, there is the practical certainty that the seven books of Galen’s Anatomy, lost in the original, but preserved in an Arabic translation and published with a German translation by Dr Max Simon in 1906, are not the only ancient medical works of which the substance if not the form may be recovered in this way. And we must further remember that the Arab translators, who were at work nearly 1200 years ago, were in contact with a living tradition which went back from Baghdād to Jundī-Shāpūr, thence to Edessa and Antioch, and thence to Alexandria; and that this tradition may well serve to elucidate many obscure points in the Greek texts still preserved to us. Finally the clinical observations (embodied especially in
the works of Rāzī) have an intrinsic value of their own which would undoubtedly repay investigation. On all these grounds, then, even if we rate the originality of Arabian Medicine at the lowest, I venture to think that it well deserves more careful and systematic study.

In considering medieval science as a whole we cannot fail to be struck by two peculiarities which it presents, the solidarity and interdependence of all its branches, and the dominance of certain numbers in its basic conceptions. The sum of knowledge was not then so immense as to defy comprehension by one individual, and it is seldom that we find a medieval physician content to confine his attention exclusively to the medical sciences, or unwilling to include in his studies astronomy and astrology, music and mathematics, and even ethics, metaphysics and politics. It is said in the Qur'ān (xli, 53): “We will show them Our signs in the horizon and in themselves,” and this has encouraged many of the mystically-minded amongst the Muslims to seek for correspondences not only between stars, plants, bodies and the like, but between the material and spiritual worlds. The strange sect of the Isma'īlīs or Esoterics (Bāṭiniyya), out of which were developed the notorious Assassins, instructed their missionaries to arouse the curiosity of the potential proselyte by such questions as “Why has a man seven cervical and twelve dorsal vertebrae?” “Why has each of the fingers three joints, but the thumb only two?” and the like; and it was to them a fact of infinite significance that the number of joints on the two hands agreed with the number of permanent teeth, the number of days in the lunar month, and the number of letters in the Arabic alphabet. So in their cosmogony we notice the great part played by the numbers four, seven
and twelve. Thus we have the four Natural Properties, Heat, Cold, Dryness and Moisture; the four Elements; the four Seasons; the four Humours; the four Winds, and the like. Also the seven Planets, the seven Climes, the seven Days of the Week, and the seven Seas; the twelve Signs of the Zodiac, the twelve Months of the Year, and so on.

According to the conception of the oldest Arabian physicians, it is the four Natural Properties rather than what are commonly called the four Elements which are really elemental. This is very plainly stated by ‘Ali ibn Rabban at-Tabari in the third chapter of his “Paradise of Wisdom,” where he says:

“The simple Natures called elemental are four, two active, to wit, Heat and Cold, and two passive, to wit, Moisture and Dryness. And the Compound Natures also are four, and the fact that they are called ‘compound’ shows that the simple ones precede them, since the compound originates from the simple. Of these Compound Natures the first is Fire, which is hot, dry, light, and centrifugal in movement; the second Air, which is hot, moist and light, moving or blowing in every direction; the third Water, which is cold, moist, heavy, and centripetal in movement; and the fourth Earth, which is cold, dry and heavy, and moves ever towards the lowest.... All earthly substances are subordinate to the Fire, and are affected and changed by it. And the Natural Properties are four, because the Agent becomes active only through the Object on which it acts. The two active Natural Principles are Heat and Cold, whereof each has its own proper object, whence the Four.”

“These Natures,” continues our author in the next chapter, “are mutually hostile and antagonistic, and
most violently so when this antagonism arises simultaneously from two sides or aspects; as, for instance, in the case of Fire, which is antagonistic both by its Heat and Dryness to the Cold and Moisture of Water; or Air, which is antagonistic both by its Heat and Moisture to the Cold and Dryness of Earth. But if the antagonism be on one side only, it is less pronounced, as, for instance, in the case of Air, which is opposed to Water by its Heat, but agrees with it in its Moisture. Therefore hath God made the Air a barrier between the Water and the Fire, and the Water a barrier between the Earth and the Air."

Here follows a diagram which may be further amplified from the Kitābu’t-tanbīh ("Livre d’Avertissement")

of the great historian and geographer Mas‘ūdī, who wrote in the middle of the tenth century of our era. In this diagram Heat opposed to Cold and Dryness opposed to Moisture constitute the four cardinal points. Compounded of Heat and Dryness on the different Planes or orders of Phenomena are Fire of the Four Elements, Summer of the Four Seasons, the South of the Four Regions, Youth of the Four Ages of Man, and the Yellow Bile of the Four Humours. Similarly from Dryness and Cold we have Earth, Autumn, the West, the Mature Age, and the Black Bile; from Cold and Moisture, Water, Winter, the North, Old Age and the Phlegm; and from Heat and Moisture, Air, Spring, the East, Childhood and the Blood.

The Universe or Macrocosm, according to this conception, comprises the Earth or Terrestrial Sphere

1 The Arabic text, printed at Leyden in 1894, constitutes vol. viii of the late Professor de Goeje’s Bibliotheca Geographorum Arabicorum. The French translation by Carra de Vaux was published in Paris in 1896 under the title Le Livre de l’Avertissement et de la Revision.
surrounded by twelve concentric enveloping spheres, namely, the Aqueous, Aerial and Igneous Spheres, the Seven Planetary Spheres, beginning with that of the Moon and ending with that of Saturn, the Zodiacal Sphere or Sphere of the Fixed Stars, and outside all the Falaku’l-Aflak ("the Heaven of the Heavens") or al-Falaku’l-Atlas ("the Plain," or Starless, "Heaven"), the Empyrean of Ptolemy, beyond which, according to the common opinion, is al-Khalá, "the Vacuum," or Lá Khalá wa lá Malá, "neither Vacuum nor Plenum." The generation of terrestrial existences is supposed to have been brought about by the interaction of the Seven Planets, or "Seven Celestial Sires," and the Four Elements, or "Four Terrestrial Mothers," from which resulted the "Threelfold Progeny," or the Mineral, Vegetable and Animal Kingdoms. The first of these was produced in the interspace between the Terrestrial and the Aqueous Spheres, the second between the Aqueous and Aerial Spheres, and the third between the Aerial and Igneous Spheres. The process of Evolution from Mineral to Plant, from Plant to Animal and from Animal to Man is clearly recognized, and is fully discussed by Dieterici in the ninth book of his exposition of Arabian Philosophy, as taught by the encyclopaedists of Baghád in the ninth and tenth centuries of our era, entitled Der Darwinismus im zehnten und neunzehnten Jahrhundert. In the twelfth-century Persian work entitled the "Four Discourses," which I have already had occasion to cite, attempts are even made to identify the "missing links," coral being regarded as intermediate between the mineral and vegetable kingdoms; the vine, which seeks to avoid and escape from the fatal embrace of a kind of bind-weed called ‘ashaqa, as intermediate

\[^1\] Leipzig, 1878.
between the vegetable and animal kingdoms; and the *nasnás*, a kind of ape or wild man, as intermediate between man and the beasts.

The general principles which constitute the basis of Arabian Medicine are the outcome of these conceptions, and the opening chapters of every great systematic work on the subject deal largely with the doctrine of the "Temperaments" or "Complexions" (*Mızâj*, plural *Am-zîja*), the Natural Properties (*Tabâyi*), and the Humours (*Akhlât*). *Mızâj*, which is still the common word for health in Arabic, Persian and Turkish, is derived from a root meaning "to mix," and indicates a state of equilibrium between the four Natural Properties or the four Humours; while if this equilibrium is upset by the preponderance of one of the Natural Properties or the Humours, a disturbance entitled *Inhirâf* or *Mızâj*, or " Deflection of the temperamental equilibrium," is produced. But even the normal healthy *Mızâj* is not practically a constant quantity, each region, season, age, individual and organ having its own special and appropriate type. Nine types of Complexion are recognized, namely the equable (*mu’tadil*), which is practically non-existent; the four simple Complexions, hot, cold, dry and moist; and the four compound, namely the hot and dry, the hot and moist, the cold and dry, and the cold and moist. Excluding the rare case of a perfect equilibrium, every individual will be either of the Bilious Complexion, which is hot and dry; the Atrabilious or Melancholic, which is cold and dry; the Phlegmatic, which is cold and moist; or the Sanguine, which is hot and moist. In treating a hot, cold, dry or moist disease with a food or drug of the opposite quality, regard must be paid to these idiosyncrasies. The Natural Property inherent in each food or drug exists in one of four degrees. Thus,
for example, such a substance if hot in the first degree is a food; if hot in the second degree, both a food and a medicine; if hot in the third degree, a medicine, not a food; if hot in the fourth degree, a poison. Another four-fold division of substances which react on the human body is into those which act beneficially both internally and externally, like wheat, which in the stomach is a food and externally a poultice to “ripen” wounds or sores; those which are beneficial internally but mischievous externally, like garlic, which, taken internally, increases the natural Heat, but applied externally acts as a poison; those which are poisons internally but antidotes externally, like Litharge (Murdásang) and Verdigris or Acetate of Copper (Zangár); and lastly those which both externally and internally act as poisons, like Aconite (Bîsh) and Ergot (Qurún-i-Sunbul).

The third Discourse (Guftâr) of the First Book of the “Thesaurus” is devoted to the discussion of the four Humours. It comprises six chapters, four treating in turn of each of the Humours, one (the first) of their nature, and one (the last) of their production and differentiation. The first chapter is so short that it may be translated in full. “Humour,” says the author, “is a moisture circulating in the human body and naturally located in the veins and hollow organs, such as the stomach, liver, spleen and gall-bladder; and it is produced from the food. Some Humours are good and some bad. The good are those which nourish man’s body and take the place of the fluids which are expended. The bad are those which are useless for this purpose, and these are the Humours of which the body must be cleansed by drugs. The Humours are four, Blood, Phlegm, Yellow Bile and Black Bile.” According to al-Majúsî’s “Liber Regius” they are the proximate, or secondary, and
special elements (ṣuṭuquisṣāt, στοιχεῖα) of the bodies of all warm-blooded animals, as contrasted with the remote, or primary, and common elements, Earth, Air, Fire and Water, with which they severally correspond, as already explained, and from which they arise, being therefore called the “Daughters of the Elements” (Banátu’l-Arkán).

Stated briefly, the theory of the production and distribution of the four Humours is as follows. In the stomach the food undergoes a “first digestion” whereby the more nutritious part of it is converted into chyle, called by the Arabs Kaylās; but, besides the unnutritious residue which is rejected, a portion is converted into Phlegm, which differs from the other three Humours in having no special location, such as the Blood has in the liver, the Yellow Bile in the gall-bladder, and the Black Bile in the spleen. The chyle is conveyed to the liver by the portal vein, which receives the veins of the stomach and mesentery, and there it undergoes a “second digestion” or coction, which divides it into three portions, a scum or froth which is the Yellow Bile; a sediment, which is the Black Bile; and the Blood, which contains its choicest ingredients. The Blood passes on by the Superior Vena Cava to the heart, having dismissed its more aqueous part to the kidneys for excretion, and is thence distributed by the arteries to the various organs, in which it undergoes a fourth and final coction or “digestion” (the third having taken place in the blood-vessels). In the normal body the Humours exist in a state of mixture, save that a reserve of Yellow Bile is stored in the gall-bladder and of Black Bile in the spleen; but the separation and elimination of any Humour can be effected by appropriate therapeutic agents, drugs or otherwise. Each Humour may be
natural and normal, or unnatural and abnormal. The normal Blood is of two kinds, the one dark red and thick, occurring in the liver and veins; the other moister, warmer, more fluid, and of a brighter red, occurring in the heart and arteries. Blood may become abnormal simply through excess of heat or cold, or by admixture with superfluous bilious, atrabilious or phlegmatic matter. Of the Phlegm four abnormal qualities are recognized, the aqueous, the mucous, the vitreous and the calcareous; and of the Yellow Bile the same number.

Here follow, alike in the Ḥānūn and the “Thesaurus,” the sections dealing with general and special Anatomy, the subject-matter of which is accessible to the general reader in Dr P. de Koning’s excellent work Trois traités d’Anatomie Arabes. Thanks to him and to Dr Max Simon, this branch of Arabian Medicine has been more thoroughly elucidated than any other, and I may therefore pass on to the sections on the Natural Functions and Virtues, or Faculties, which complete what may be called the General Physiology of the Arabian physicians. These Functions or Virtues are primarily divided into three classes, the Natural, common to the Animal and Vegetable kingdoms; the Animal, peculiar to the Animal kingdom; and the Psychical, some of which are common to man and the higher animals, while others are peculiar to man. The Natural Virtues are the Nutritive and the Reproductive, the first including the Attractive, Retentive, Digestive and Expulsive. The Animal Virtues or Functions are the active, connected with the phenomena of Respiration and Circulation, and the passive, connected with the simpler emotions of Fear, Anger, Disgust, and the like, common to men and animals. The Psychic Virtues or Functions include motor or sensory powers common to all animals, and the
higher mental faculties, Thought, Memory, Imagination and the like, peculiar to man. Corresponding with the Five External Senses, Taste, Touch, Hearing, Smelling and Seeing, are the Five Internal Senses, of which the first and second, the compound sense (or "Sensus Communis") and the Imagination, are located in the anterior ventricle of the brain; the third and fourth, the Co-ordinating and Emotional Faculties, in the mid-brain; and the fifth, the Memory, in the hind-brain. Here there exists some confusion between the nomenclature adopted by physicians and metaphysicians, which Avicenna especially emphasizes, impressing on the former, to whom his Qānūn is addressed, that their concern is less with abstract philosophical ideas than with what lies within the scope of actual practice.

Here I should like to call your attention to a rather remarkable passage\(^1\) in the Kitābu'l-Malik, or "Liber Regius," of ‘Alī ibnul-'Abbás al-Majúsí, who died in A.D. 982, about the time when Avicenna was born. This passage, which occurs in the chapter treating of the Animal Virtues or Vital Functions, deals chiefly with the two opposite movements of expansion (\textit{inbisāt}) and contraction (\textit{inqibād}), which in the heart and arteries constitute diastole and systole, and in the respiratory organs inspiration and expiration. These movements are compared to those of a bellows, except that they are produced by an internal, not by an external, force; and it is, of course, supposed by the writer that the heart draws air from the lungs to mix with the blood for the elaboration of the Vital Spirit, just as the lungs inhale it from without, and that the "vaporized superfluities" (\textit{al-fudūlu'd-dukhāniyya}), or vitiated air, are expelled by

\(^1\) See my \textit{Year amongst the Persians}, pp. 144-5.
the reverse process. Having concluded his remarks on Respiration, the author continues as follows:

"And you must know that during the diastole such of the pulsating vessels (i.e. the arteries) as are near the heart draw in air and sublimated blood from the heart by compulsion of vacuum, because during the systole they are emptied of blood and air, but during the diastole the blood and air return and fill them. Such of them as are near the skin draw air from the outer atmosphere; while such as are intermediate in position between the heart and the skin have the property of drawing from the non-pulsating vessels (i.e. the veins) the finest and most subtle of the blood. This is because in the non-pulsating vessels (i.e. the veins) are pores communicating with the pulsating vessels (i.e. the arteries). The proof of this is that when an artery is cut, all the blood which is in the veins also is evacuated."

Here, as it seems to me, we clearly have a rudimentary conception of the capillary system.

Corresponding with the three categories of Faculties or Virtues are three Spirits, the Natural, the Animal and the Psychical, the first elaborated in the Liver and thence conveyed by the Veins to the Heart; the second elaborated in the Heart and conveyed by the carotid arteries to the Brain, and the third elaborated in the Brain and thence conveyed by the nerves to all parts of the body. These, and their relation one to another, and to the immortal Spirit or Intelligence of which the existence is generally recognized, are but briefly discussed by Avicenna and the other medical writers whom I have chiefly cited. The fullest discussion of these matters, appertaining rather to Philosophy and Psychology than Medicine, I have found in a very rare Arabic work on the generation and development of
man by Abu'l-Hasan Sa' id ibn Hibatu'llah, court-physician to the Caliph al-Muqtadi, who flourished in the second half of the eleventh century. This work entitled *Maqala fi Khalqi'l-Insan* ("Discourse on the Creation of Man") deals chiefly with the processes of Reproduction, Gestation, Parturition, Growth and Decay, but the last ten of the fifty chapters into which it is divided deal with Psychology, including arguments in favour of the survival of Intelligence after Death and against Metempsychosis. The life of the body, according to this writer, depends on the Animal Spirit and ends with its departure "through the channels whereby the air reaches the Heart," i.e. through the mouth and nostrils. This conception is embodied in the common Arabic phrase *Mut' hatf* anfi-hi, "He died a nose-death," i.e. a natural death, the Animal Spirit escaping through the nose and not through a wound. So also we have the common Persian expression *Jan bar lab amada*, meaning one whose spirit has reached his lips and is on the brink of departure.

My allotted hour runs out, and I must conclude this very inadequate sketch of Arabian Medicine which it has been my privilege and my pleasure to present to you. I hope that you may have found in it, if not much useful instruction, at least a little entertainment. With great misgiving and some unwillingness I undertook the task at the instigation of my teacher and friend Sir Norman Moore, the President of this College, to whose inspiration I owe so much since my student days in St Bartholomew's Hospital. I have been amply rewarded by the task itself, and it shall not be my fault if it is

---

1 His life is given by Ibn Abi Uṣaybi‘a in his *Classes of Physicians* (vol. i, pp. 254–5 of the Cairo edition).
laid aside because its immediate purpose is fulfilled. More remains to be accomplished in this branch of Arabic studies than in any other of equal importance, and much pioneer work is required ere we can hope to reach the ultimate conclusions which are so important for the history of scientific thought throughout the ages. Above all there has grown in me while communing with the minds of these old Arabian and Persian physicians a realization of the solidarity of the human intelligence beyond all limitations of race, space or time, and of the essential nobility of the great profession represented by this College.
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Midhāyrūn or Mūsāyrūn (Mezereon, Dashne elevide), 77–78
Madīna (the ancient Yathrib), 4, 9
Mādash (a kind of broth), 75
Mālghat (Rāzi’s “Introduction” to the Practice of Medicine, x), 48
Magian (or Zoroastrian, q.v.), 2, 53
Ibn Mahdi (physician, xiv), 107
Abū Māhir Mūsā ibn Sawayr (teacher of ‘Alī ibnul’-Abbas-Maljūs, x), 53–54
Maḥmūd, Šultan — of Ghaza (x–xi), 59, 84; — ibn Ilyās (physician, xiv), 105, 107
Maimonides (Mūsā ibn Maymūn, xii), 97
Maljūs-i-Sibbat (“Council of Health” at Tihrān, xix), 93
Maṣma’un’-Fasāḥā (anthology of Persian poets, xix), 61
-Majūs ("Haly Abbas," physician, x).
See ‘Alī ibnul’-‘Abbas —
Makhsanul’-Asrîr (Persian poem by Nizāmī of Ganja, xii), 89–90
Malchūm (Arab tribe), 16
Malabakhum (sahāj-i-hindi), 108
Malaga, 98
-Ma’mūn (‘Abbasid Caliph, ix), 5
Manchū (writing), 22
Manes (Mānī) the heresiarch (iv), 10
Manna, 12
- Maṣnūr (‘Abbasid Caliph, viii), 5, 23;

Khumār (wine-headache), 36
Khusraw, 45, 75, 84
Khurāṣān, 84
Khusraw (Chosroes, Kīšrā) Anūshār-wān or Nūshirvān (Sāsānian king of Persia, vi), 11, 20, 21
Khūzistān, 19
Khwārazm, 59, 81, 98
King’s College, Cambridge, 48
Kīšrā ("Chosroes"), 11, 20. See Khusraw

Kitāb -Buḫkāḥāl ("Book of Miser," by Jāhīz), 7; — Faraj ba’d -Shidda ("Relief after Distress," by -Tanūkhil), 50, 73–78; — Hāwī, q.v.; — 
-Mabda wa’l-Ma’d (by Avicenna, xi), 84; — Malākī (the "Liber Regius" of -Ali ibnul’-‘Abbas-Maljūs, x), 47, 49 n., 53–57, 66, 93, 120; see also Kāmilu’s-Sinā’at, suprā; — Manjūrī (by -Rāzī, x), 45, 48, 56; — Tambih wa’l-Ishrīf (Mas’ūd), 117 and n.

de Koning, Dr P., —, 3, 47, 55, 122
von Kremers, Baron Alfred —, 14, 57, 96 n.
Kūfā, 76
Kūnundh (of -Isī ibn Ḥakam), 16

Lagarde, 7
Lahore, Oriental College, 104
Lane, Edward —, 32, 101 n., 102
Laṭif-i-i-Rashīdyā (medical work by -Maḥmūd ibn Ilyās, xiv), 107
Latin translations from Arabic, 2, 4, 6, 15, 26–27, 28, 34, 95, 113
" Latino-Barbari," 4, 24, 32, 35, 66, 113
Laurel-spurge, 77
Layard, 19 n.
Lebanon, 69
Leclerc, Dr L. — (Histoire de la Médecine -Arabe), 3, 11, 17, 18, 26, 72, 95, 98
Leech swallowed, 74–75
Lemma (water-weed), 74 n.
Lepers (segregated by -Walid in A.D. 707), 16–17
Leprosy, 12, 43
Leyden, 61 n.
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(John of Damascus so named), 15;
Qala‘dun - Malik — (xiii), 101-102;
— ibn Ishāq ibn Ahmad (governor of
Ray and patron of -Rāzi, x), 45, 75 n.,
82; — ibn Muhammad (Persian
anatomist, xiv), 93
Abū Mansūr Muwaffaq of Hcrāt (author
of oldest Persian Materia Medica, x), 93
Maqāla fi Khitātī-Insīn (Arabic work
on Embryology, etc., by Sa‘d ibn
Hībatullāh, xi), 125;
-Maqīsī (author of -Khitāṭī, q.v.),
101-102
Marīgha (in N.W. Persia), 101
Marāl (Syria name), 8
Margoliouth, Professor D. S. —, 49, 100
Mīristān (for Bīr-Mīristān, hospital), 23,
46, 101-102
— Ibn Māsawayh, Yūḥannā — (“Mes-
sues,” ix), 8, 24, 25, 37, 39
-Mās’dī (Arab geographer and his-
torian, x), 117
Mathnawī (Persian poem, xiv), 87-88
Mazdayasnian (Zoroastrian), 22
Māzyār (Persian patriot and rebel, ix), 38
Mēsēs (-Rāzi on —), 47
Mecca, 4
Melancholia, 85, 88-89
Merv, 37, 83, 88 n.
Mesopotamia, 97
“Messues,” 8. See Ibn Māsawayh,
supra
Mezeereon (Daphne oleoides), 77 and
78 n.
Michael de Capelera, 53
Migraine (hemcrania, ḥaqqiga), 12, 35
Millipede (ḥaḍr-ḥḍiy), 79
Missing link, 118-119
Mīsdj (pl. Amizjja). See “Complexions”
Mongols (Tatars, Tartars), 4, 6, 91, 100,
101, 103; letters of the —, 22
Monte Casino, 68
Moore, Sir Norman —, vii, 1, 125
Muʿallim-thulthāt (the “Second Great
Teacher”), title of Avicenna, q.v.
Muʿāwiyah (Umayyad Caliph, vii), 15, 16
Mubāhā (Zoroastrian priest), 79
Mughāṭasīla (Sabaean, so-called “Chris-
tians of St John the Baptist”), 27
Muḥammad the Prophet (vi-vii), 4, 9,
11, 21; — ibn Nīlī (xiv), 104; —
Abarqūlī (xiv), 104; — ‘Alī (Khedive
of Egypt), 94; Shaykh — Mahdi
(xix), 36; Mirzā — ibn ‘Abdullāh
Wahhāb (xix-xx), 11 n., 80, 84; —
Shaft (Professor at Oriental College,
Lahore), 104
Mulkān, 105
-Mulhūs, -Kūhāb — (by -Rāzī, not to be
confounded with al-Kūhūb ‘al-Mulhūs,
the “Liber Regius” of ‘Ali ibn’l
‘Abbas-Majṣūs), 48
Munaytir, Castle of — in Syria, 69
Munich Library, 48, 114
-Muqaddāsī (‘Abbāsid Caliph, xi), 125
-Muqaddir (‘Abbāsid Caliph, x), 40
Mūsā ibn Maymūn, 97. See Maimo-
nides
Mutahafsā (hospital), 102
Mutakharrij (graduate), 40
-Mutanabbī (Arabic poet, x), 30-31
-Mustaṣim (‘Abbāsid Caliph, ix), 37
-Mutawakkil (‘Abbāsid Caliph, ix), 38,
66
-Muṭṭaṣīla (sect), 5
Myriobolan (halīla), 87
Naṣr ibn -Ḥārith (vii), 11 and n.
-Nahjul-akhīrā (the “latest revival”
of learning in the East), 94 and n.
Nāma-i Dīnshūdārān (the “Book of
Learned Men,” xix), 36
Nāsiru’d-Dīn Shāh (xix), 36, 93
Nāṣīrād (a wild man, the missing link
between apes and men), 119
Natures, the Four — (“-ṭabā‘-’arba‘”),
116
Nāvēddir (quaint and rare anecdotes),
73
“Neguegidi” (Latin corruption of
-nawajjih), 34
Neo-Platonists, 11, 21
Nestorian Christians, 21, 22
Neuburger, Dr Max —, vi, 3, 47, 66
Nīgella sativa (fennel-flower), 12
Nightmare, 42
Nīzāmī of Ganja (Persian poet, author
of the Khamsa or “Five Treasures,”
Index

Pleurisy, 12, 43, 56, 78
Pocock’s ed. of the Mukhtasar’-d-Duwal of Bar Hebraeus, 101
Pogonn, H. — (Une Version Syriac des Aphorismes d’Hippocrate), 21, and n., 18–29
Poison, duel by —, 90; varieties of —, 111
Polak, Dr —, 93
Press and Poetry of Modern Persia, by E. G. Browne, 94 n.
Prognostics, 26
Prolegomena (-Mugaddama) of Ibn Kaldun, 7
“Prophetic Medicine,” 12–13
Psychotherapeutics, 81–84
Ptolemy, 118
Pulse (nabf), 42, 43, 60, 85–88
Pyelitis, 53
Qâbus ibn Washmagir (xii), 59
Qalâ’un (xiii), 101
Qiânin (of Avicenna), 4, 13, 27, 34, 47, 54, 61–63, 66, 78 n., 81, 85, 89, 92, 98, 109, 113, 122, 123
Qiânîncha (abridgment of above), 13
Quren (Persian noble house), 38
Abu’l-Qâsim, 97. See Zahrâwi
Qaṣrul’-Ayni hospital (Cairo), 94
Qayruwân, 97, 106
Qiﬁ (Jama’ul-Din Abu’l-Hasan ‘Ali ibn Yusuf —, xiii, author of the Ta’rikhu’l-’Ukhamad), 3, 10, 17, 18, 23 n., 24, 27, 38, 40, 46, 48, 53, 54, 57, 60, 100
Quinassîn, 108
Quatremère, Étienne — (Hist. des Mongols), 103
Quicksilver employed by -Râzî in a case of intussusception, 78
Qur’dn, 7, 11, 12, 13, 32, 58, 106, 108, 115
Qustâ ibn Lûtq( of Baalbek, d. A.D. 923), 27
Qub’ul’-Dîn of Shiráz (xiv), 105
Rabban (“our teacher,” “our master”), 37–38
Rab’i-Rashidî (quarter of Tabriz, xiv), 103, 104, 106, 108–109
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Ragha (ancient name of Ray), 44
Rashīdābād (quarter of Tabriz), 109
Rashīdu’d-Dīn Faḍlullāh (“Rashid the Physician,” xiii-xiv), 103-109; — Abu Hālīqa, 83 n.
Rawlinson, Sir H. R. —, 19 n.
Ray (Ragha of the Avesta, situated near to the modern Tihrān), 44, 45, 75 n.
-Rāzī, Abū Bakr Muhammad ibn Zakariyyā of Ray, hence called -Rāzī (Rhazes of medieval Europe, x), 32, 38, 44-53, 55, 63, 66, 67, 74-75, 78, 82-84, 91, 92, 98, 113-114
“Rectification” (Iṣlāḥ) of the Qānūn of Avicenna denounced, 63
Renascence, 2-3
Rhazes. See -Rāzī, supra
Rheumatism, 43, 48, 81, 82-84
Rieu, Dr Ch. —, 60 n.
Rococo = Arabic Rukkha, 65 n.
Roman Empire, 10
Ross, Sir E. Denison —, 114
Rūdāba (mother of Rustam), 79
Rufus of Ephesus, 28, 33
Ibn Rashīd (“Averroes”), 97
Rustam, 11, 79

Sabaeans, 27, 66
Sa’du’d-Dīn (governor in Asia Minor, xiv), 108
-Sabī (Collection of Traditions) of -Bukhārī, 12
Sahl, called Rabbān (father of ‘Ali ibn Rabbān of Tabaristān, q.v.), 37
Sā’īd, the Qāḍī —, 44
Sa’īd ibn Hibātu’llāh, Abū-Ḥasan — (Court-physician to the Caliph Muqtaṣī, xi), 125
St Bartholomew’s Hospital, 125
Saladdin, 97, 102
Salerno, 68
Salībā (Syrian name), 8
Sāmānī dynasty, 58, 84
Samarqand, 9, 79, 88, 107
Samawartā (a Syriac word of Persian origin, meaning primarily a helmet, and then a headache involving the whole head), 35

Sar-i-Pul (“Bridge-end” in Samarqand), 88
Sabāt, or Sarnāb (name of Indian physician, supposed contemporary of Aristotle), 79
Sāsānian dynasty (iii-vii), 14, 19, 22
See also Khusraw Anusharwān, Jundī Sābūr, etc.
Schindler, Sir Albert Houtum —, 103
Schlimmer’s Terminologie Médico-Pharmaceutique etc., 77, 93-94
Sciatica, 43
Scorpions, oil of —, 105
Scot, Michael —, 98
Scrofula, 43
Seligmann, Dr F. R. —, 93
Sensus communis (-hisn-mushtarīkh), 123
Ibn Serapion, Yuḥannā —, 55
Sergius of Ra’ṣu’l-‘Ayn (d. A.D. 536), 21
Seville, 97, 107
Shahābād (village now occupying site of Jundī Sābūr), 19
Shāh-nāma of Firdawsī (xi), 79
Shahrazūr (author of a History of Philosophers), 100
Shamsu’d-Dawla of Hamadān, Amīr — (patron of Avicenna), 59
Shāhpūr I (iii), 19-20; — II (iv), 20-21
Shapīqa (migraine), 35
Shatṭu’l-‘Arab, 27
-Šaykh-Ra’īs (“the Chief Master”), 57. See Avicenna
Shayzar (in Syria), 71, 72
Shīrāz, 107
Shock, 43
Silsilatu’d-Dhahab (the “Chain of Gold,” poem by Jāmī, xv), 84, 89
Simon, Dr Max —, 3, 28, 114, 122
Sinān ibn Thābit ibn Qorra, 27, 40-41
Sirkangabīn (oxymel), 41, 87
de Slane, Baron McGuckin — (translation of Ibn Khalikān’s biographies), 7, 100
Small-pox, 43, 47, 94
“Soda” (Latin transcription of Ŝūdid’, headache), 4, 35
Sontheimer, 98
Spain, 9, 14, 97-98
Spasm, 43
Index

Spheres, the twelve —, 118
Spirits, the three — (Natural, Animal and Psychical), 124, 125
Spurge-flax (Mezereon), 77
Steinschneider, Dr Moritz —, 47, 68 n.
Stephen the Philosopher, 53
Στρουχία (ustuquṣūd, elements), 121
Stone, Ṣūfī on —, 47
Strassburg, 72
Styptic, ashes of burnt matting used as —, 12
Sudhoff, Dr Karl —, 93
Sydenham Society, 47
Syria, 14, 27, 69, 101, 104, 109
Syriac language, 6, 26, 28, 33, 35, 95, 101; — Book of Medicines (ed. and transl. by Dr E. Wallis Budge), 22
Syrian contributions to “Arabian” Science, 2, 7, 21-22, 28-29, 55, 94
Syro-Persian technical terms, 34-35
-Tabarî (Arab historian), 16, 38-39
Tabaristân, 37, 38, 48
Tabriz, 94, 103, 104, 106, 107, 108
“Tacuinum Sanitatis,” 72. See Taqīwī- mu’-Ṣilḥa
Takhtân (manual of Astronomy by -Birānī, xi), 6
Tamīm, Shaykh Abū’l-Wafā’ —, 71
-Ṭanukḫī (author of Faraj ba’da 'tk- Šiddâ), x), 50, 73, 78
Taqīwī-μ’-Ṣilḥa (by Ibn Butlân, d. A.D. 1063), 72
Tartars (more correctly Tatars), 4, 6. See Mongols
Tawaddud the slave-girl, 31-32
Terminology, evolution of Arabic medical —, 33-36
Terra sigillata (τῆς μακρότης), 51, 52, 107
Tetanus, 42, 43
Thābit ibn Qurra (ix), 27; — (physician to Usâma’s uncle), 69-70
Theodore, Theodore, 16, 20
“Thesaurus.” See Dhakīra-i-Khwâ razmshâhl
Tholozon, Dr —, 93
Ṭībī-ʿNabl (the “Prophet’s Medicine”), 11-14; —. Yûnâni (“Greek Medici- cine”), 62, 93; —. Rukkâ (“Old Wives’ Medicine”), 65
Tigris (Dijâl), 37
Tihrān, 36, 44, 93, 94
Tinnitus, 42
Toledo (Tulayyulta), 97, 98
Torpor, 42
Translator’s methods, 26, 28-29, 95
Transoxiana (Māward’a’-n-Nahr), 82, 84
Trinity, doctrine of the —, 17
Tripoli (in Africa), 106
Tuhfatu’l-Hukamâ (by Mahmûd ibn Ilîsâ, xiv), 107
Tubhîb (a kind of water-weed), 74
Tunis, 68, 97, 106, 107
Turkish influence on Muslim theology, 5; — terminology borrowed from Arabic, 36
Abū ‘Ubayd Jûzâ’tān (disciple and biographer of Avicenna, xi), 58-59
‘Umar ibn Yahyâ’-’Alawi, Abû’-Ali —, 76; —. I-Khayyâm (x-xiii), 60
Umayyad Caliphate, 9, 14-17, 19
Urdû language, 36, 98
Urîne (bârîl), 47, 43, 51-53
Usâma ibn Mûnûfîth (Syrian anâr, xii), 69-73
Ibn Abî Usâyi’bî’ta (author of the Tūhfaatu’l-Asâbî, or “Classes of Physicians,” xiiî), 3, 10, 11, 15n, 37, 44 n., 45, 46, 50, 54, 59 n., 75 n., 85 n, 100, 125 n.
Uṣṭuquṣūd (στρούχια, elements), 121
Ibn Uthâl (physician to Mu‘âwiya, vii), 16
Üyghûr script, 22
Vacuum and Plenum (Khalâ wa Ma‘lû), 118
Valerian, the Emperor —, 20
Van Vloten, 7 n.
Vhez-As-Ancw (“Better than Antioch”), 20. See Jundî Sâbûr
Vindiddâ (Avesta), 22, 44 n.
Vergonis (sangdr), 120
Vertigo (dâwûr), 35, 42
Vinegar as a therapeutic agent, 71, 72-73
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Vipers, therapeutic use of —, 72–73, 81
Virchow’s Archiv, 47 n., 68 n.
Virtues, Natural —, 122–123
Vital Spirit, 123
Vollers, 65 n.

Ibnul-Wafid (“Aben Guefi”), 97
Wald (Umayyad Caliph, viii), 16
Wasserlinde (Arabic Tuhlub), 74 n.
Wenrich, 3
Withington, E. T. — (Medical History from the earliest times), 3, 67
Wright, Dr. William —, 101
Wüstenfeld, Ferdinand — (author of Die Academiae Araber und ihre Lehrer, 1837, and Geschichte der Arabischen Aerzte, 1840), 3

Yaqūt (“the Remembrancer,” a manual of Medicine by Zaynu’d-Din Isma’il of Jurján, xii), 99
Yahyā-Nahw (“John the Grammarian,” “John Philoponus,” vi or vii), 17–18, 26
Yazid ibn ‘Abdul-Malik (Umayyad Caliph, viii), 30
Year amongst the Persians, by E. G. Browne, 123 n.

Yuhannā. See Ibn Māsawayh, Ibn Serapion
Yusuf the physician, 24–25

Abū Za’bal (site of first modern hospital at Cairo), 94
-Zahrāwī, Abūl-Qāsim — (Moorish surgeon, x, known to medieval Europe as “Alsaharavius,” “Abucaasis” and “Albucasis”), 97
Zabrún, family of —, 27
Abū Zakariyya (Christian Ausuya), 8
Zaydān, Jurjī — (Syrian writer and publicist, editor of -Hilāl, xix–xx), 94, 95 n.
Zaynab (woman oculist in Umayyad period), 16
Zaynu’d-Din Isma’il of Jurján, Sayyid — (Persian physician, xii), 98–100. See also Dhakhīra-i-Khwārazmshāhī
Zhukovski, Valentin —, 88 n.
Zohāb, 19 n.
Zoroastrians, 22, 53, 54, 66, 79. See also Avesta, Magian
Ibn Zuhr of Seville (Moorish physician, xii, known to medieval Europe as Avenzoar, q.v.), 97
Zukām (catarrh), 35
Zwemer, Dr. (author of Arabia, the Cradle of Islam), 17, 65
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